

Essay 3 - Content Revised

Here the content is considered and revised in more detail.

Look out for additions or changes to the original text in orange.

'Advertisers seek only to ensure that consumers make informed choices.' *Discuss.*

Most advertising executives are willing to defend their profession by arguing that all they are doing is informing the public and in doing so protecting the democratic freedoms of individuals, in particular their freedom of choice. To a certain extent, of course, this is true: without advertising we would be less informed about new developments in technology, in fashion and in medical advances. Even government warnings about the dangers at work and in the home depend upon advertisements. But the key to this is the claim that this is the 'only' thing they do, when most of the public suspect their paramount concern is to manipulate consumers into buying products that they may not want or need.

As this suggests, at least part of the advertiser's role is to provide consumers and the public with information. But this goes to the heart of what we mean by an 'advertisement'. Few of us would doubt that in some sense a railway timetable is an advertisement, after all it is telling the customer what is for sale and how to buy it. Yet there are no catchy jingles urging you to 'Let the train take the strain.' Nor are there any persuasive messages offering to let children travel free if the parents take the whole family to the beach. It is simply informative: it gives you information on the routes and the stations the train will stop at on the way; it tells you the departure and arrival times; and it tells you on what platform you can find the train.

1

Given this, it might not be unreasonable to conclude that advertisements can, after all, be purely informative. Yet we still might be right in **suspecting** that behind all this information lies a covert message intended to persuade us that we ought to travel by train because it is more convenient, efficient and less stressful than the alternatives. We could argue that by putting out this sort of information the intentions of the managers of the train companies are not just to give us information, but to so impress us with their efficiency and the convenience of travelling by train, that we will travel this way more frequently.

In this sentence the verb 'thinking' is not sufficiently specific, therefore, it has to be shored up with the adverb 'suspiciously'. As a result, the idea that is created is not as sharp and direct as it could be; we are not immediately clear about the meaning that the author wants to convey. By substituting the stronger verb 'suspecting' immediately the meaning is clear and the sentence is sharper and more direct.

2

Indeed the intentions of advertisers may be the central defining characteristic that enables us to decide what is and what is not an advertisement, including those that are presented as just informative. **A small sign nailed to a village tree announcing where and when the local village fete will take place might be giving just information, but beneath it lies a covert message, an appeal to people to come along and support local causes in their fund raising activities.** The information may just be the surface appearance. What matters is the underlying intention of those who wrote the advertisement – to persuade us to adopt a certain course of action.

In this paragraph the words 'who might be reading it' have been taken out. This phrase is unnecessary: it adds no meaning to the sentence. We already know that it can only appeal to people if they read it. As a result, not only is the point of the sentence expressed more economically, but it means the really significant words are no longer smothered by the unnecessary phrase, so they stand out and have a more direct impact. The key part of this sentence is 'an appeal to people to come along and support local causes', which stands out a lot better now that it is not diluted by the unnecessary phrase.

3

Nevertheless the statement in the question seems to be right at least in one respect. **It is possible to argue that almost all advertisements, with the exception of a few, are informative. Indeed, as we have already seen, some appear to be wholly concerned with this. Although government bodies releasing warnings about smoking or the use of domestic fire alarms, are clearly intent on changing our behaviour, they are still concerned to give the public what they believe is vital information.**

This paragraph is dominated by one very long, rambling sentence. Its complex structure makes it difficult to navigate successfully, so you get easily lost. As a result, you end up unsure whether you have, in fact, understood everything. Breaking it up into three shorter sentences makes it much easier to understand. The simpler sentences are easier to navigate, so at the end of the paragraph you are much more confident that you understand the point that is being made.

4

The same can be said of many commercial companies. Although their intention is to persuade us to buy their products, they are an important **source** of information about new products and technology, like computer equipment and software, new developments in digital technology, and the latest improvements in telecommunications, like smart phones. Other advertisements are a source of information on the latest designs in fashion or in equipment, like washing machines, dishwashers and microwaves, that we use every day.

In this paragraph the word 'provenance' is replaced by the much simpler word 'source'. As a result, the meaning is much clearer. Replacing complex, more obscure words with simpler words makes it much easier to understand what you are saying. If, for example, you use the word 'utilize' instead of the simpler word 'use', then you are piling on unnecessary layers of complication that will make it more difficult for your reader to understand you.

5

But where the question appears to go too far is in arguing that informing the consumer is the 'only' thing that advertisers do. They may give us information on the latest technology, but they are also covertly suggesting that we cannot afford not to keep up with **progress**. Similarly, while they inform us of the latest designs in fashions and household equipment, they are also persuading us that we cannot afford to let ourselves be left behind by our friends and neighbours, who will be clamouring for these products.

And, of course, in most of the advertisements we see in our papers or on television these messages are not the subtle, covert subtext of a simple statement of information. Indeed, if we cannot free ourselves of their influence, most of us can, at least, recognise the different strategies employed overtly by advertisers to manipulate our thinking and shape the choices we make.

In this paragraph 'latest developments' has been replaced by 'progress'. The problem is that the word 'developments' is too general. It's not specific enough and, therefore, it fails to create a clear image. To make up for this, the writer has had to shore it up with the adjective 'latest', which, as you can see, waters the idea down. As a result, it loses impact. By replacing it with 'progress' a clearer and more specific image is created, one that has more impact and meaning. It's not just the latest developments that are the issue here, but the whole idea of progress and whether this is necessarily a good thing.

6

Probably the most obvious of these is the selective use of information to promote their products. **They will tell you what is good about their product**, but **omit** any evidence that suggests it is not all that they claim it to be. An advertising company will tell you that the car they are promoting accelerates from 0 to 60kph in just six seconds, but they are likely to omit to tell you that recent research has revealed that it has an alarming tendency to rust severely within five years of purchase. Similarly, a manufacturer of computer printers might be keen to tell you that theirs is the most advanced printer on the market, but be **reluctant** to reveal that their print cartridges cost on average five times as much as any other printer.

In the second sentence of this paragraph the phrase 'if you're thinking of making a purchase' has been deleted. Not only do these words contribute nothing to the sentence, they obscure the important words, making the meaning of the sentence less clear and concise. By removing the unnecessary words we produce a sentence that is sharper and more direct.

The word 'pretermite' has been replaced by the simpler word 'omit'. As a result, it is easier to understand the meaning of the sentence as it edges closer to the rhythms and the language of spoken English.

In the last sentence of the paragraph 'really nervous' has been replaced by 'reluctant'. To describe the manufacturer as being 'really nervous' about revealing this information doesn't convey accurately the type of nervousness he feels. The word is too general. It needs a more specific word that identifies exactly what he feels. By substituting the word 'reluctant', the meaning of the sentence is clearer and more direct.

7

Even more, we are probably all aware of examples of advertisers using comments and information taken out of context to promote their product, particularly when they are taken from reports that are critical of it. **A report from a consumer association might heavily criticise a product**, but if it contains just a single sentence of praise, this is likely to find its way into promotional literature. A publisher or a theatre promoter is likely to comb through an unfavourable review in search of any isolated expression of **approval** that can be used to promote the book or play they are producing.

In the second sentence of this paragraph the phrase 'for one reason or another' has been deleted. It adds no meaning to the sentence and only serves to distract our attention from the more important words that carry the key ideas of the sentence. As a result of removing this phrase the sentence is sharper, clearer and more direct in the way it conveys its meaning.

In the last sentence of the paragraph the words 'a favourable comment' have been replaced by the single word 'approval'. This might not convey more meaning than 'a favourable comment', but it is more economical. Consequently, it doesn't bury the meaning of the sentence under unnecessary words. The sentence is more direct and, therefore, clearer.

8

However, advertisers have developed still more effective forms of manipulation, particularly in their exploitation of the sex, status and prejudices of the consumer. **As our understanding of the psychology of the individual has grown, so too has the advertiser's capacity to tap into our deepest motivations. By associating their product with our strong feelings, desires and prejudices they can by-pass our reason, short circuiting our ability to make conscious choices.** Cars, clothes, perfume, even alcohol are all promoted by associating them in the consumer's mind with sexual desires. The advertiser works to establish a close **association** between driving a certain car, wearing a certain perfume and drinking a certain drink, and a full, active social and sexual life.

In the first sentence of this paragraph the words 'for the consumer' have been deleted. They add no meaning to the sentence, which is perfectly clear without them.

The following sentence is long and rambling. The structure is difficult to follow, so it's easy for the reader to get lost. To safeguard against this, it would help to break it up into smaller sentences and use punctuation to indicate the logic of what's being said. Once you have broken it up into three sentences and used commas to indicate the structure it is a lot easier to navigate the ideas and the argument.

In the final sentence of the paragraph the word 'contiguity' is replaced by the simpler word 'association'. This makes the meaning of the sentence a lot clearer.

9

In the same way our desire for status and our respect for authority have **given** advertisers an effective way of **exploiting** our feelings to promote all manner of products. For example, our respect for the authority of science has convinced many advertisers that the only way of promoting washing machines, vacuum cleaners, dishwashers or detergents is to have a figure dressed in a laboratory coat, supposedly putting the product through rigorous tests and declaring authoritatively that it is the most successful product of its kind on the market. The same strategy can be extended to popular and respected public figures. Sportsmen and women, and TV personalities have, in their time, sold anything from mobile phones, health drinks and clothes to deodorants and shampoos.

A similar problem arises in the first sentence of the following paragraph. The writer has decided to use the word 'bestowed' for the simpler alternative 'given'. Using 'given' in this sentence immediately makes the meaning clearer.

However, the sentence still needs work on it to make it perfectly clear. The clumsy phrase 'deceptively taking advantage of' can be replaced by the single word 'exploiting' and the sentence suddenly becomes clear, direct and economical.

10

So, rather than promoting consumers' freedom to choose, this appears to do quite the opposite. By appealing to their **passions** and feelings advertisers successfully by-pass consumers' reason and their capacity to make rational choices. The most successful form of this has been subliminal manipulation, where messages have been recorded onto sound tracks at low speeds. These can only be picked up by the subconscious, when the tape is played at normal speed, without individuals knowing that they have been manipulated. The same can be achieved visually by inserting isolated frames into a reel of film to suggest and stimulate certain behaviour.

In this paragraph the writer has used the weak noun 'tastes' and has had to shore it up with the adjective 'strong' in an attempt to make his meaning a little clearer. The problem is that the word needs to be more specific and definite to make the meaning clear. It is too general: 'tastes' can mean a number of things. As a result, the meaning of the sentence is unclear and loses its impact. By replacing the noun and its adjective with the single, more specific noun 'passions' a clearer image is produced, one which carries much more meaning. The point is that it's not just tastes that the writer is discussing, but passions – a particular type of taste which is much stronger than all the rest, at times even irresistible.

11

In one experiment in the 1970s subliminal messages were recorded onto the music played throughout some US supermarkets by managers, who wanted to reduce shoplifting. Messages, like 'I will not steal', 'I will be honest', were so successful in altering behaviour that shoplifting fell by 30% in a matter of weeks. If the same strategy were to be employed to improve the turnover of the store or to promote a certain range of products, this would amount to a serious invasion of the individual's freedom to choose.

The first sentence of this paragraph is a good example of where the passive form of the sentence is much more effective than the active form. The key question is what is the most important aspect of the sentence that needs to be stressed? If it is the doer of the action – in this case, the managers – then the active form is the most effective. It will make your points clearer, by making them more concise and direct. However, when what is done – in this case, recording subliminal messages – is more important than the doer of the action, the passive form makes the point more effectively, because it puts what is done at the beginning of the sentence, rather than the doer. With this example, clearly the most important aspect is what was done – the recording of the subliminal messages.

12

But in fact most advertisers do not have to go to these lengths to switch off the thinking process. It is enough just to appeal to a convincing, though distorted, picture of what is taken for common-sense or accepted values in our societies. Archetypal characters and scenarios are created to evoke predictable responses that advertisers believe we will all share. **Those promoting slimming products try to convince us that everyone wants to be slim, that it is associated with success, and that if you are overweight this is a sign of social failure and self-indulgence.** We are induced to believe that for most people, if dishes come out of the dishwasher unclean or the kitchen floor is not spotless, these are major life crises.

In the middle of this paragraph the words 'and desires' have been deleted. They add nothing to the sense of the sentence – what someone 'wants' is what they 'desire'. They clutter up the sentence, so by removing them we make the sentence clearer and more direct.

Appeals are made to some imagined social consensus: to 'basic' or 'shared' values. It is assumed we all want to drive the latest and fastest car on the road and our lives will be unfulfilled unless we have a 'multi-valve engine' and 'ABS braking'. And to sustain these appeals myths have to be created by the media. It is thought we are all in a desperate race to keep up with our neighbours and that we fret endlessly about what people will say if they see us out in last year's fashions. We are all expected to share the myth that the average housewife is constantly paranoid about the whiteness of her wash and the cleanliness of her floors.

This paragraph begins with a long, complex sentence. It needs to be broken up and punctuated clearly, so readers can navigate their way through it safely without getting lost. In fact, when you look at it closely, it's clear that you don't need to do more than break it up into three sentences. You can then understand clearly what is being argued.

In the light of this the arguments of most advertising executives that the only thing advertisers are doing is informing consumers, thereby protecting their freedom of choice, appears implausible. As we have seen, to convince a manufacturer that they can deliver more customers advertisers need to do more than just inform – they need to escape the unpredictability of the consumers' freedom to choose by switching off their thinking process. If they do inform, it can hardly be claimed that this is the 'only' thing they do. Perhaps all that can be said in their favour is that the alternative of government manipulation would be worse still.