

Contents

<i>List of Illustrations</i>	x
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xi
<i>Preamble</i>	xvi
Introduction	1
Part 1 Walking/Theatres	14
Introduction	15
1.1 How Scratched Car Revealed the Price of a Peasant's Life <i>Jonathan Watts</i>	26
1.2 Naples <i>Walter Benjamin and Asja Lacis</i>	28
1.3 Eight Fragments on Theatre and the City <i>Tim Etchells</i>	35
1.4 Sleepwalking in the Modern City: Walter Benjamin and Sigmund Freud in the World of Dreams <i>Steve Pile</i>	41
1.5 Moving in the Cityscape: Performance and the Embodied Experience of the <i>Flâneur</i> <i>Petra Küppers</i>	54
1.6 A Manifesto for a New Walking Culture: 'Dealing with the City' <i>Wrights and Sites</i>	69
Part 2 Drifting/Things	87
Introduction	88
2.1 Situationism <i>Carl Lavery</i>	92
2.2 'The Map is Not the Territory': The Unfinished Journey of the Situationist International <i>Andrew Hussey</i>	94

2.3	'The Accident of Where I Live' – Journeys on the Caledonian Road: An Interview with Joe Kerr <i>Richard Wentworth</i>	105
2.4	'How Long I 'Been On?' Mark Dion's Performative Archaeology of the City <i>Alex Coles</i>	123
2.5	What a Carry On <i>Lyn Gardner</i>	127
2.6	Nosing Around: A Singapore Scent Trail <i>Paul Rae with Low Kee Hong</i>	128
Part 3	Sounding/Rhythms	143
	Introduction	144
3.1	The Pepys of London E11: Graeme Miller and the Politics of <i>Linked</i> <i>Carl Lavery</i>	149
3.2	Wandering and Wondering: Following Janet Cardiff's Missing Voice <i>Sarah Gorman</i>	167
3.3	Attempt at the Rhythmanalysis of Mediterranean Cities <i>Henri Lefebvre and Catherine Régulier</i>	179
3.4	Rumours: A Conversation Between Francis Alÿs and James Lingwood <i>Francis Alÿs and James Lingwood</i>	191
Part 4	Playing/Place	194
	Introduction	195
4.1	Graffiti <i>Susan J. Smith</i>	206
4.2	Skating on Thin Eyes: the First Walk <i>Iain Sinclair</i>	211
4.3	The Policing of the Artist <i>Madeleine Bunting</i>	214
4.4	Horror Vacui <i>Ursula Hofbauer and Friedemann Derschmidt</i>	216
4.5	Radioballett <i>LIGNA</i>	221

4.6	Circle Line Party <i>Space Hijackers</i>	222
4.7	Paris Plage <i>Bertrand Delanoë</i>	224
4.8	An Explosion of Delight <i>Geoff Dyer</i>	225
4.9	Non-places <i>Marc Augé</i>	226
4.10	All the World's a Car Park <i>Jessica Winter</i>	231
4.11	By Way of a Conclusion: One Place After Another <i>Miwon Kwon</i>	234
4.12	Performing the City: Krzysztof Wodiczko <i>Nick Kaye</i>	237
Part 5	Visioning/Flows	244
	Introduction	245
5.1	The Right to Participate in the Work of the Imagination <i>Arjun Appadurai</i>	249
5.2	Zero Tolerance, Maximum Surveillance? Deviance, Difference and Crime Control in the Late Modern City <i>Nicholas Fyfe</i>	260
5.3	A Nigger in Cyberspace <i>Keith Piper</i>	277
5.4	Cyborg City <i>James Harkin</i>	283
5.5	25 Instructions for Performance in Cities <i>Carl Lavery</i>	286
	<i>Bibliography</i>	290
	<i>Index</i>	297

Introduction

Most of us live in cities; it is the urban, the congregation of strangers, which defines our contemporary situation.

Mike Pearson and Michael Shanks, *Theatre/Archaeology*

Urban populations

The simple observation above from Pearson and Shanks's interdisciplinary book is a useful point of departure, on several counts (2001: 147). For one thing, based on the assumption that the 'us' here is a universal one, it draws attention to the momentous fact that the majority of the world's population does indeed live in cities now: in 2007 the balance of urban to rural (or 'other') officially tipped irrevocably towards the former. By 2030, moreover, five billion out of a global population of just over eight billion is projected to be made up of city dwellers, with the major area of growth being in the so-called developing world. Thus, an 'exploding' city such as Lagos in Nigeria, with a current total of just over ten million, is expected to have doubled in size by 2020, making it the third largest city in the world.¹ By contrast, London will maintain the equilibrium of its present population of some eight million, and an advanced mega-city like Tokyo, which already has a staggering population of 35 million – the world's largest at the time of writing – is set to rise by a mere million in the same period (UN-HABITAT 2006: 8).

The global *differences* of growth rate are nowhere more marked than in the statistic that nearly a third of current city inhabitants live in slums, of which 90 per cent are in the developing world (ibid.: 11). The very nature of slums – officially defined *in part* as dwellings lacking sufficient living space (ibid.: 19) – makes clear, in turn, that one-third of the global urban population lives in circumstances of extremely high density. A high population in any one city of the developing world does not, therefore, imply a corresponding expanse of available space. Cities such as Cairo and Mumbai have residential densities of around 35,000 per km² as against 4,500 in London, for example. Mexico City by contrast, which has experienced a massive increase in population size since the mid-twentieth century – currently around 19 million, the bulk of which lives in poverty – has a density not that much higher than London's owing to its geographical positioning on a high plateau, which permits low-rise sprawl (*Global Cities* exhibition information, Tate Modern, London, 20 June to 27 August 2007). As such, it is important to bear in mind, on the one hand, that a rising urban demographic

2 Introduction

brings massive problems of overcrowding with it and, on the other, that contextual or local factors diverge enormously, producing quite distinct cities in terms of socio-economic and cultural/human geographic infrastructure.

The tension between a *perception* of trans-urban homogenisation in an age of globalisation and the *actual* specificities of local cultures is not one that should be suppressed then, as it might be, by reference to a universal 'us'. In the same way that it is still a majority of the world's population that does not in fact have the means to take advantage of digital communications technologies in all their various forms, so it is that there are vast discrepancies of wealth and amenities in urban centres around the globe. So, yes, most of us live in cities now, but most of 'us' are not even indirect beneficiaries of the first order of global finance and power. In fact, most of 'us' probably find ourselves at its mercy, so to speak: condemned as a member of 'the rest' or 'other world' to serve the interests and merely feed off the scraps of a dominant minority. (And I hasten to point out my own privileged position in sketching this scenario. Alone, the fact that I *can* sketch it in these perspectival terms is doubtless indicative of that.) Even within the 'secure confines' of, say, Europe there are considerable discrepancies of opportunity. Identifying the states of the former Soviet bloc as 'postmodern serfs, providing low-wage labour for the factories where the clothes, electronics and cars are produced for 20–25 per cent of the cost of making them in Europe', Naomi Klein describes the symbiotic mechanism of the new 'fortress continents' as

a bloc of nations that joins forces to extract favourable trade terms from other countries, while patrolling their shared external borders to keep people from those countries out. But if a continent is serious about being a fortress, it also has to invite one or two poor countries within its walls, because somebody has to do the dirty work and heavy lifting.²

(Klein 2003: 23)

As the sociologist Zygmunt Bauman reminds us, Klein's succinct analysis not only applies to Europe but is replicated in North America, for instance, where an arrangement between the USA and Mexico witnesses the latter 'policing its southern boundary to effectively stop the tide of impoverished human waste flowing to the US from Latin American countries' (Bauman 2004: 20). Thus, as Klein concludes, you stay *open for business* by expanding the perimeter and *closed to people* by subsequently locking down (Klein 2003: 23).

Urban bodies

The second main point to make, therefore, regarding Pearson/Shanks's quotation, is that it is the urban in all its *complexity* and *diversity* – more

often than not produced by inequalities – which defines the contemporary circumstances of humanity as a whole. In fact, it may not be exaggerating the matter to say that the question of the city has superseded the preoccupation in recent decades of arts and humanities critical discourse generally with the signifying body as implicated and expressive, indeed performative, locus. Instead, so the argument might go, it is cities that have become the prime indices of a fast-changing super-modernity. Importantly, however, one should not lose sight in claiming this precisely of Pearson/Shanks's 'congregation of strangers': the body has not been replaced at all but *re-placed*, wandering en masse into the space of the city (not for the first time, of course), performing *in situ*, a relational body or 'switching station' that acts within and is acted upon by its urban surroundings. Thus bodies can be said to both *produce* and *be produced by* the city. And while cities obviously contain bodies, bodies also contain cities. In fact, the city itself functions as an ecological body, one that *facilitates* the circulation of particular socio-economic and cultural discourses while also thereby *delimiting* them. In other words, the various component parts of a city – its built environment, cultures, peoples, networks of communication and so on – operate interdependently, producing – but importantly also restricting or suppressing – possibilities of expression, identification and, in a more acute sense, survival via any number of visible and invisible interactions and overlaps.

In this sense cities can be said always to be 'on the move'; bodies, moreover, move because the city does, and vice versa. The notion of characterising cities according to their physical 'mobilisations' – how things and people moved or behaved within them – was a central concern of Walter Benjamin's in what might be called his dialectical 'thought experiments' (*Denkbilder*) relating to diverse European locations. For example, the improvisational 'porosity' of Naples, in which he observed a form of interchangeability between 'inside' and 'outside', private and public living; or Moscow's interpenetration of the technological on the one hand and the primitive on the other. Meanwhile, Benjamin's major work, the fragmentary *Arcades Project* – in the dual sense of being both unfinished and made up of fragments – centred on the nineteenth-century Parisian arcade as ruin. Once an architectural site promising the fulfilment of urban dwellers' desires, it had come to epitomise, for Benjamin, the transiency and inherent 'will to decay' of capitalism by the early twentieth century. Like the arcade itself, figures such as the *flâneur*, who seemed to operate on the cusp of such transitional 'moments', intrigued Benjamin for the way they embodied the contradictions of evolving urban conditions: a man immersed in the crowd, yet alienated from it. And the archetypal figure of the urban walker or 'wanderer' continues to have currency in the twenty-first century as the embodiment of the city's transiency. (Petra Küppers's contribution to Part 1

critiques the figure of the modern-day *flâneur*, specifically with regard to its gendering.)

As we have seen in relation to population figures specifically, cities change at differing rates and for a multitude of reasons, in some instances significantly revising their own physical or ecological constellations in the process. Like bodies they alter their appearance, growing and shrinking, renewing themselves, decaying ‘naturally’, being razed or ‘quaked’ to the ground, or dying out completely. Los Angeles is known to some as the hundred mile city, owing to the way it stretches endlessly along its smog-smothered valley, with no discernable single ‘city centre’ (Sudjic 1992). Another US city, Detroit, possessed a modern ‘civilising centre’ as little as half a century ago, but effectively lost it. With so-called ‘white flight’ in the 1960s and 1970s – a term which masks a plethora of complex, interconnected socio-economic and cultural factors – it deteriorated rapidly into a vast ghost town of abandoned civic, commercial and residential buildings as its white working- and middle-class demographic migrated to the city’s suburbs: a modern industrial city with a large void at its core. The same can be said of Tokyo, but for very different reasons. Roland Barthes writes in his detailed account of the world’s largest city – entitled *Empire of Signs* – that its spatial centre, the forbidden residential parklands of an unseen Emperor, are far from being an expression of power. Instead, having an ‘evaporated notion’ at the heart of the city has the function of ‘giving the whole movement of the city the stabilising benefit of its central emptiness, permanently forcing traffic to be diverted. In this way ... the system of the imaginary circulates via detours and return trips around an empty subject’ (Barthes 1982: 30–2). Arguably there is a philosophical principle at stake here, which relates to notions of absence and presence as the respective progenitors of ‘social being’. In both instances, though, a performative premise applies. In other words, the constellation of the urban installs constitutive effects and behaviours in the body of the citizenry. And these implicitly render ways of being in the city ‘inconceivable’ as much as they do conceivable. Barthes’s concern is to contrast the discursive modes of the ‘oriental void’ and the (European) occidental one, which is built around ‘space-filling plenitude’ or presence: a holistic urban core in which certain identities are made available to or are sought by the citizen via the concentric arrangement of ‘civilising institutions’. These are articulated through the built embodiments of spirituality (churches), power (offices), finance (banks), goods (shops) and general ‘language flow’ (cafés, bars). The implied security of that particular form can be violated, of course, in all kinds of ways: having been split down the middle for 28 Cold War years, Berlin is still engaged in a process of attempting to ‘centre itself’ again. When the Wall went up in 1961, it

was West Berlin that ended up ‘emasculated’ in terms of those centralising institutions. As a result there was no up or down town to which one might take oneself. The tendency was for neighbourhood clusters to form and key civic amenities existed randomly in all parts of the enclave. When the Wall tumbled in 1989, the problem for the conjoining city was often how to negotiate the inevitable duplication of key institutions that had resulted.

I could go on when it comes to the performative morphology, texture or substance of cities: Venice by design the eternal floating city (just), New Orleans on the other hand by ‘natural accident’ – supposedly compounded by wanton political failure – the temporarily flooded one. But there are too many resonant examples to list, and I assume the sense of what is being said is probably clear by now. To make something of a theatrical analogy: cities take forms in which specific kinds of showing and looking, doing and interacting occur. In short, and at the risk of sounding trite, they are places in which things happen in a multitude of ways, and the ways in which they actually *do* happen are what determines how these cities and their inhabitants (are permitted to) *become what they are*, but they are not always going to remain that way.

Urban rights: theatre/play

In this respect I have always found a wholly irregular expansion of the German word *Schauplatz* quite useful. Meaning literally ‘a place for viewing’ and/or ‘showing’, and hence a kind of theatre, it signifies the ‘event-site’ or ‘arena of operation’ in everyday usage. In other words, it is where important things are ‘going down’, where spectacles take place, where the cut and thrust of ‘battle’ may occur. By usefully corrupting the term to *Schau-spielplatz* you make explicit the degree to which performance is intrinsic to such ‘scenes’ or events. Thus, the urban *Schauspielplatz* or ‘place of performance’ is an integrated location in which there is both ‘staged drama’ or ‘drama for show’ (*Schauspiel*) and play(ing) (*spiel[en]*). Importantly, where the former may suggest it is conducted ‘officially’, for or on behalf of the spectator-citizen, the latter involves the spectator-citizen’s participation in the playground (*Spielplatz*) that is the ‘unofficial’ or ‘unaccounted for’ city. Perhaps there is a tension in that relationship between the discursive enactment of that which is supposed to happen – or given to be enacted – and the unplanned, random, sometimes ‘anarchic’ play that arises as a consequence of the former’s failure, inadequacy or inappropriateness. In other words, the former is premised on the idea – not dissimilar to the one that might apply to the upholding of a ‘working society’ – of a stable, *functioning* city, one that serves its inhabitants’ needs, interests and aspirations, and

that is socially *just*. So, the city is built around the operation of certain agreements, a functional order that strives, moreover, to be moral as well as pragmatic. When it turns out not to be quite so – that is, when it turns out to be deluded about fulfilling its role in this regard, or when it implicitly disallows certain claims to or possibilities of existence – radical ‘play’ can assert itself in myriad ways, challenging the city’s authority. (The appearance of phenomena such as graffiti and its offshoots is probably the most obvious example of this, as we shall see in Part 4.)

For Henri Lefebvre, that seminal theorist of the social production of urban space, the city ‘revealed the contradictions of society’ (Kofman and Lebas in Lefebvre 1996: 14). In particular, as Ben Highmore succinctly puts it, for Lefebvre ‘the contemporary urban everyday of capitalism is characterised by the saturation of mass cultural forms ... penetrating everywhere as an act to cover and hide the discontinuities of everyday life’. But, Highmore goes on, such ‘fissures in the urban fabric’ – referring, for example, to ‘spaces of different temporalities, outmoded spaces with distinct cultural characteristics’ – existed and had the capacity precisely to ‘interrupt the homogenising and hypnotising effects of capitalist standardisation through their cultural and historical differences’ (Highmore 2002: 140–1). Central to a tactical, embodied response to a normative urban scene was the notion of the ludic city in the form of the ‘festival’ (*fête*) or ‘collective game’, which Lefebvre saw as the ultimate expression of social revolution. Staking his position on the city as the place in which use value is potentially preserved, resisting its subordination to exchange value – ‘an urban reality for “users” and not for capitalist speculators’ (1996: 167–8) – Lefebvre outlines his desire to ‘restitute the *fête* by changing daily life’ (ibid.: 168). In ‘Right to the City’, which, as the title suggests, polemically asserts the urban dweller’s claim to participatory citizenship, he writes that such a ‘renewed *fête*’ was ‘fundamentally linked to play’ and involved ‘subordinating to play rather than to subordinate play to the “seriousness” of culturalism. ... Only relatively recently and through institutions has theatre become “cultural”, while play has lost its place and value in society’ (ibid.: 171). ‘Theatre’, it is implied, has effectively been annexed and institutionalised by a privileged, complacent constituency of society when it ought to be both situated and sought (or encountered) on the street: ‘to city people the urban centre is movement, the unpredictable, the possible, and encounters. For them it is either “spontaneous theatre” or nothing. ... Leaving aside representation, ornamentation and decoration, art can become *praxis* and *poiesis* on a social scale’ (ibid.: 172–3). Thus, Lefebvre envisages a role for art that creatively produces the city in the interests of its citizens.

Consciously or not Lefebvre’s anti-elitist proposal echoes the tenor of his compatriot Antonin Artaud’s famous treatise ‘No More Masterpieces’,

written some thirty or forty years previously. For Artaud, the urban public 'has the sense of what is true and always reacts to it when it appears. Today, however, we must look for it in the street, not on stage. And if the crowds in the street were given a chance to show their dignity as human beings, they would always do so' (Artaud 1974: 57–8). Moreover, Bertolt Brecht, that other pillar of twentieth-century theatre innovation, whose entire theory of epic theatre is premised on taking seriously 'that theatre whose setting is the street' (as the poem 'On Everyday Theatre', dated slightly earlier than Artaud's statement, puts it), is none too far from this impulse either, as we shall see (Brecht 1976: 176).

Psychogeography

If the likes of Lefebvre seem to be addressing the socio-political dimension of the *Schauspielplatz* of urban existence at the level of conscious engagement, a significant psycho-analytical and geographical aspect relating to this hybrid term is brought into play by Steve Pile via a well known Freudian anecdote. Employed as an example in the psychoanalyst's famous essay on the 'uncanny' to illustrate his personal experience of the so-called 'strangely familiar' within the space of an 'unknown city', Freud narrates the following tale of 'circular walking' on a hot summer afternoon in Genoa:

I found myself in a quarter of whose character I could not long remain in doubt. Nothing but painted women were to be seen at the windows of the small houses, and I hastened to leave the narrow streets at the next turning. But after having wandered about for a time without inquiring my way, I suddenly found myself back in the same street, where my presence was now beginning to excite attention. I hurried away once more, only to arrive by another *détour* at the same place yet a third time. Now, however, a feeling overcame me which I can only describe as uncanny, and I was glad enough to find myself back at the piazza I had left a short while before, without any further voyages of discovery.

(Freud 1990: 359)

The compulsive, subconscious return outlined in this event is understood as repeatedly performing the transition from desire to fear: 'his desire to know and his fear of knowing', as Pile describes it, adding: 'for Freud uncanniness is linked to boys' feelings about women's genitalia – both as archaic site/sight of desire and the site/sight of evidence of castration ... a desire to be (w)hole and a fear of being punished' (in Borden et al. 2002: 265–6). Be that as it may, the fear of punishment can alternatively, or simultaneously, be equated with the fear of public exposure: being outed as subconsciously desiring that which is morally frowned upon by society. Importantly, though,

Pile points elsewhere to the way in which “The city becomes the “*show place*” of [Freud’s] desire/fear. More than a stage on which the vicissitudes of mental life play out, *the city constructs the experience* (in mind and body)’ [my emphases] (in Bridge and Watson 2003: 81). Although Pile’s piece on ‘Sleepwalking in the Modern City’ features in Part 1 of this volume (for good reasons), the notion of place producing psychic responses naturally introduces the important realm of psychogeography, which is covered in Part 2 in specific relation to Situationism. As the introduction to this part strives to show, the Situationists’ aims certainly had socio-political change in cities in mind, but their preoccupation was with the complex role of desire in the playing out of any such revolution. Rather than a rational blueprint for an improved urban ecology, the Situationists proposed ‘disruptive mappings’ premised on spontaneous encounters and events, as we shall see.

Between disciplines

Squeezing a further drop out of Pearson/Shanks – though less from the quote with which I began than their joint book as a whole – I would wish to ally the present volume with the interdisciplinary premise of their endeavour. The latter concentrates its energies on theatre and archaeology explicitly, but stretches beyond those fields to incorporate aspects of anthropology, architecture and myth, to whisper nothing of human/cultural geography and cartography. The tell-tale use of a forward slash in *Theatre/Archaeology*, moreover, already points graphically to some form of intersection or act of ‘going over’ (otherwise the link would have been made by ‘and’).³ Like Benjamin’s pertinent declaration that ‘memory is not an instrument for exploring the past but its theatre’ (1997a: 314), archaeology too is performed or ‘given life’ in and by its mobilisations in the present. In its attempt to calibrate that to which the past might amount, archaeology is dependent on performance for it to *come about* or *become*. Not only that, but the method of enquiry of *Theatre/Archaeology* clearly exploits the intersection of theory and practice, suggesting by implication that the former can indeed be the latter and vice versa, to the extent that the structural opposition of the two begins usefully to disintegrate. Pearson, strictly speaking the ‘theatre’ half of this authorial double-act – though I threaten to destroy precisely the position I have just established by asserting that – casts himself above all as an artist who, typically, might draw on his immediate experience of walking in the city (in this instance Copenhagen) ‘as a kind of anthropological and archaeological enquiry ... to reveal the city through purposeful activity’ (Pearson and Shanks 2001: 147). (The approach hinted at here is a central concern of Part 1, Walking/Theatres.)

Returning to the tenor of the present book's preamble for a moment (named so with deliberation in case you missed it), there are of course any number of ways of organising a reader relating to the city. As I have suggested, the plethora of possibilities is redolent of the multiplicitousness of cities themselves. Thus there are anthologies of texts that variously use culture, architecture, social sciences, gender, postmodernism, the 'unknown', hieroglyphics and so on as their conceptual or thematic framing devices for a critical contemplation of urban space. Striking in all of these publications is not only the range of disciplines officially represented by the contributors – even if it is billed, say, as a reader in architecture theory – but also the degree to which these writers are compelled to articulate themselves by recourse to fields outside of their supposed expertise: geographers on art and performance, anthropologists on the built environment and so on. In fact, it would be true to say that a primary motive in putting together a reader on performance and the contemporary city is, to some extent, to reclaim the term 'performance' for the field of performance given its frequent application in other discourses on urbanity, while simultaneously acknowledging the desirability of actively upholding the interdisciplinary methodology of much of this work.

One compilation of texts I am particularly fond of – in fact, I would go so far as to admit my considerable envy of the editors for the inventiveness of its conceit – is entitled simply *City A–Z*. Describing itself as being 'a contribution to a wave of experimentation which is concerned with writing the city' (Pile and Thrift 2000: xiii), the editors have collaged together a lexicon of entries – several per letter of the alphabet – relating to the urban experience (perhaps this too is a form of 'dream dictionary'). You can drift at your leisure from 'air' to 'airports', 'dream' to 'dust', 'tourists' to 'traffic lights', each entry penned by a different author. One of the several suggested modes of reading is provided by a metro map of 'entries-as-stops' inside the back cover of the book. These form specific urban 'themes-as-lines': for example, 'dis/order', 'nature', 'pleasure'. The approach is acknowledged to be influenced by Simon Patterson's well known rewriting of Harry Beck's London Underground map – entitled *The Great Bear* (1992) – in which, for example, the Victoria Line becomes 'Italian artists', the Circle Line 'philosophers' and the Jubilee Line 'footballers'. So a form of relationship to the publication is proposed in which the premise is placed on chance encounters occurring for the 'wandering reader' along the various routes or, more resonantly perhaps, at various intersections. Arguably, then, readers are positioned to experience an enhanced, affirmative sense of themselves assembling their own urban narratives in a form of 'textual drift'.

Following from this, and for the purposes of approaching the collection of texts presented here, I would wish to highlight two key determinants, both of which direct us – finally, I promise – to Pearson and Shanks. First, regarding the layout of the book, it is organised into parts relating to certain urban *phenomena*: aspects or features of the city, as well as actions or movements within it, rather than themes. So, on the one hand there are theatres, places, things, rhythms, flows, and on the other walking, drifting, sounding, playing and visioning. I have attempted as far as possible in this title selection to imply a linkage: ‘walking theatres’, ‘drifting things’, ‘playing place’ and so on. Inevitably there are overlaps between these diverse aspects of the city’s make-up – in the same way that streets are not strictly separate from buildings, but a form of continuation – but the active binding factor and, therefore, rationale for this, is the spatio-temporal movement implied by *performance*. This second determinant provides both the all-encompassing framework for the book – the lens through which everything is viewed and weighed – and the conceptual dynamic that serves to interlink the phenomena in question. The writer Jonathan Raban’s 1970s account of modern metropolitan existence *Soft City* recognised some time ago now not only the extent to which urban living was dependent on ‘performances’ but also that these were frequently fleeting and imperceptible:

in every contact with every stranger, the self is projected and exhibited – or at least, a version of the self, a convenient mask which can be looked at and listened to, quickly comprehended, easily forgotten. ... It does seem to me to be a logical product of the way in which cities make us live in them, of the urban necessity of playing many parts to a succession of short-order audiences.

(Raban 1998: 72–3)

Thus, the ‘hard city’ or ‘outer shell’ of the built environment is sustained by the ‘softness’ of human movement and (inter)activity in all its variations. But arguably ‘things’ such as buildings can begin to *move* too as events begin to happen in and around them. One need only think in this regard of the enormously powerful impact of Christo’s famous wrapping of the highly contested Reichstag building in Berlin six years after the fall of the Wall. The event attracted a staggering five million visitors within the period of a fortnight – which is as many as Tate Modern in London receives in a year (and *that* is considered overwhelming) – marking the transition of both the building and the German nation towards reunified democracy. As I have described elsewhere (in my book *Street Scenes: Brecht, Benjamin and Berlin*) in a passage worth quoting at length, what emerges as significant in Christo’s piece is:

first, at the interface between the formal functioning of the work; second, what it actually takes to bring it about; and, last, how it mobilises its

viewing constituency in the contextual circumstances – historical, political, topographical – in which it ultimately occurs. Each one of these aspects is premised on generating *movement*. Bureaucratic authorities are moved to negotiate, debate and legislate in what Christo refers to as the software stage. Spectators are moved to participate in the event physically – by being there and responding to it – and imaginatively, by speculating creatively over the broader significance of its impact. The formal act itself, finally, occurs as both a time and motion-based event. Lasting a fortnight and incorporating a three-phase process – the hardware stage – of *becoming*, then *being*, wrapped, as well as becoming unwrapped again, the estranged building also reproduces the remarkable sense of a breathing movement as the tied fabric envelops it and the wind gets under its skirts. The machinery of ‘wrapping’ corresponds formally in fact to the Brechtian sense of a ‘staging of a veiling’ in which a familiar object or circumstance is not just made strange but *shown* to be made so. The phenomenon in question both is and is not itself, replicating the Brechtian actor’s *demonstration* of a character or situation and pointing to that character/situation’s capacity to ‘be otherwise’. Here a ‘sick’ building – one that is ‘not quite itself’ – is bandaged (or mummified), undergoing a two-week period of healing and convalescence in which it is ‘wrapped as the Reichstag and unwrapped as the Bundestag’ (Large 2002: 612). Effectively it has had ‘the gift of life’ breathed back into it, a repackaged present (or swaddled rebirthing) to the city from the artists. What you witness at each individual stage and as a whole is the ritualised performance of democracy in action.

(Whybrow 2005: 180–1)

Regarding the cultural/archaeological artefact, Shanks urges that the question, in evaluating it, be posed not in terms of “‘What is it?’” Instead ask “‘What does it do?’” Enquire of its social work. ... The task is to establish the relationships which make an artefact what it is’ (Pearson and Shanks 2001: 53). And the ‘purposeful activity’ of archaeology implies dealing also ‘with the gaps between things – the dirt trapped between floor tiles – documented trivia – the result of slow processes of life and death’ (ibid.: 44). There is, in fact, no better exemplification of this than a ‘scientific artwork’ by Gail Olding, which is documented in *Breathing Cities: The Architecture of Movement* (Barley 2000). Entitled *Dirt Analysis*, the work performs a kind of urban archaeology-cum-forensic practice. Olding collected scrapings of accumulated dirt from under her fingernails having spent a period of time in five separate European cities. These she placed in Petri dishes and sent off for formal forensic analysis. The results revealed distinctive differences that implicitly proposed ‘the very singular presence of each city’. Thus, ‘in Amsterdam diamond dust was detected. Traces of red, white and blue fibres were found in Paris, and residue from diesel fumes were prevalent in Berlin. ... As the analysis shows, the city is ingested by us, consumed by the body’ (in Barley 2000: 77).

For Shanks, then, attending to the materiality of the cultural artefact corresponds to maintaining

a sensitivity to its historicity, its life and the way it gathers many sorts of things, people, feelings, aspirations. The assemblages respect no absolute distinctions between cultural categories, such as things and people, values and materials, strategies and resources, architectures and dispositions. And in this archaeological cyborg world we will have to talk a great deal of ‘might’ and ‘if’, of slippage and fluidity, of mess and what is missing, of gaps and bridges between different worlds, of time breaking up, moments lost and regained. We will need our dramaturgical imagination.

(Pearson and Shanks 2001: 101)

So it is with the performance of the global cultural phenomenon that is the contemporary city, a living machine in which, as the artist Richard Wentworth once casually remarked to me, ‘everything you see and hear is the consequence of a decision’. Thus, cities are *made* by human beings, even if the ‘decisions’ that have driven that making have often been unconscious ones. As cultural artefacts cities similarly recontextualise or ‘write over’ the relics and memories of their pasts in the present, while also constantly seeking out that which is new and generating material visions for the future. Of course, in making such a general claim, I realise that I may well be falling into the ‘universal we’ trap with which I began this introduction. I would wish the reader to keep in mind at all times, therefore, an assumption of geocultural diversity and difference in the way cities relate to performance. By the same token I am only too aware that this selection here reflects – simply because I cannot hope adequately to cover ‘everywhere’ – a bias towards events and phenomena relating to certain kinds of cities – London enjoying a particular prominence in this regard – as well as certain kinds of discourses around cities. Being based in a privileged UK/European context I fully acknowledge that this anthology will not manage to address directly all manner of relevant issues arising in cities around the world. One need only point out the lacking coverage of the ‘broken city’ that is Baghdad to underscore the point. However, I sincerely hope that there is much to be gained from the examples that *are* given, precisely because of the places to which they may refer but also over and above their specific localities.

Notes

1. Fascinated by the implications of this rapid expansion in Lagos, the architect Rem Koolhaas has engaged – as part of an investigation into the state of world urbanisation entitled the ‘Harvard Project on the City’ – in a long-term exploration of ‘the hidden logic that makes a “dysfunctional” city function’ (DVD notes, *Lagos Wide and Close: an Interactive Journey into an Exploding City*, Amsterdam: Submarine, 2005: 1).

2. In *The Vanishing Map* Stephen Barber describes the post-Cold War ‘regime change’ in Eastern Europe in more playful, quasi-allegorical terms: ‘On one occasion, an authoritarian power based on the eastern edge of Europe grasped the entire eastern lands of the continent and rendered their inhabitants subject to a capricious regime in which vast monuments were revered, only for that initiative to be abruptly overturned, and the same lands seized by a satanic trade cartel based on the western edge of Europe; the liberated inhabitants began to celebrate the onset of their new masters’ power, but before long, that euphoria turned to dismay’ (2006: 42).
3. Pearson himself pointed this out, in fact citing his co-author as the original source, at the beginning of a keynote speech given at the University of Plymouth (conference entitled ‘The Hidden City: Mythogeography and Writing for Site-Specific Performance’, 4 October 2008). Observant readers will perhaps have noticed that the forward slash has been adopted for the titles of parts in this publication with a similar end in mind.

Index

- Adams, Kate 248–9
 Adorno, Theodor 56
 Alÿs, Francis 147–8, 191–3
 Appadurai, Arjun 246–7, 249–59
 archaeology xix, 8, 11–12, 46, 90, 123–6, 246
 architects/architecture xv, 3, 8–9, 11–12, 18–20, 22, 30, 36, 45, 55, 59, 78, 80–1, 84, 92, 95–6, 105–6, 112, 132, 136, 139, 146, 148, 187, 193, 198, 205–6, 218, 229, 238, 240–3, 251–2, 264, 284
 Artaud, Antonin 6–7
 Arget, Eugène 109
 Augé, Marc 152–3, 162, 202–3, 226–31, 246
- Banham, Reyner 109
 Banksy 198, 214
 Ballard, J. G. 200–2, 231
 Barber, Stephen xviii–xix, 13
 Barthes, Roland 4, 201
 Basquiat, Jean Michel 207–10
 Baudelaire, Charles 20–1, 56–60, 96–7, 101–3
 Bauman, Zygmunt 2
 Beckett, Samuel 24
 Benjamin, Walter xvi–xviii, 3, 8, 18, 20–5, 28–35, 41–53, 54–68, 90–1, 96, 110, 147, 153, 203
 Berger, John 197
 Berlin 4–5, 10–11, 193, 204, 224
 Bigelow, Kathryn 54
 Bilderwerfer 54, 60–4
 Blast Theory 248–9
 body, the xvii–xviii, 3–4, 8, 11, 24–5, 33, 54–68, 74, 135–7, 146, 149, 155, 169, 175, 180–1, 185–6, 197, 206, 210, 235–6, 238–42, 246–7, 251–5, 281
see also embodiment
 Bombay 1, 199, 247, 252–6
see also Mumbai
- Borden, Iain 148–9
 Bordo, Susan 63
 Bratton, William 260–1, 263, 265, 267
 Brecht, Bertolt 7, 11, 15–17, 21–2, 69, 72, 79, 81, 83
 Briginshaw, Valerie 57
 Buck-Morss, Susan xviii, 42, 47
 built environment, the 3, 9–10, 18, 24, 37, 49, 56, 91, 187, 191–3, 197, 238, 240–1
 Bunting, Madeleine 198, 214–15
 Bürger, Peter 154
- Calle, Sophie 174, 286
 Calvino, Italo xv, 41
 Cardiff, Janet 167–78
 choreography 19, 24, 39–40, 54–64, 90, 126, 128, 147, 180, 185–6, 221–3, 225–6, 246, 266, 286
see also dance
 Christo 10–11, 204
 Clark, T. J. 96–7
 Clifford, James 152
 Cohen, Jem 201–2, 231–3
 Coles, Alex 123–6
 community 28–35, 127, 144–5, 149–68, 173–4, 183, 196, 199, 205, 246, 248–59, 273–4, 279
 Cresswell, Tim 196, 208
 Crevel, René 181–2
 Crimp, Martin 237
 Critical Mass 93, 195
- Dada(ism) 25, 69, 72, 77, 83, 92
 dance 19, 24, 39–40, 54–64, 90, 126, 128, 147, 180, 185–6, 221–2, 223, 225–6, 246, 266, 286
see also choreography
 de Botton, Alain 203

- de Certeau, Michel 19, 23–3, 150, 155–6,
163, 174–5, 177, 241
- Debord, Guy 71, 88–9, 92–105, 163–4
- Delanoë, Bertrand 224
- DeLillo, Don 24, 203, 234–5
- Deller, Jeremy 162
- democracy, performance of 10–11,
18, 139, 183, 205, 208, 216,
218, 226, 248, 262, 268,
281–2, 285
- dérivel*/drift(ing) 9–10, 20, 70–1,
80, 88–99, 103, 129–30, 141,
213, 287
- Derrida, Jacques 89, 149, 160–1
- Derschmidt, Friedemann and
Ursula Hofbauer 199, 216–21
- détournement/détourner* 88–9, 92–3, 97–9,
164, 206
- Deutsche, Rosalyn 205, 240
- Dion, Mark 89–90, 123–6
- dreams/dream worlds xvii, xix, 8–9, 15, 23,
35, 37, 41–53, 60, 78, 84, 96, 108, 160,
203, 282, 289
- Dyer, Geoff 200, 225–6
- Eldon, Stuart 147
- embodiment xvii–xviii, 3–4, 8, 11,
24–5, 46, 51, 54–68, 74, 135–7,
146, 149, 155, 169, 175, 180–1,
185–6, 197, 206, 210, 235–6,
238–42, 246–7, 251–5, 281
see also the body
- Etchells, Tim xix, 15, 19, 23, 35–41
- everyday, the/everyday life xvii–xix, 6–7,
15–23, 35, 38, 40, 63, 88, 91–5,
105–6, 124, 126, 135, 144, 146, 150,
154–8, 163–4, 174–5, 179, 184–6,
196, 203, 208, 225, 238, 251, 255–6,
262–3, 267
- ffrench, Patrick 89, 97
- Fisher, Jennifer 174, 176
- flânerie/flâneur* 3–4, 20–5, 54–68,
89, 97, 213, 247, 261, 274, 287
- flash-mobs 80, 199–200, 225
- Forced Entertainment xix, 35–41, 88
- Foucault, Michel 63–6, 242
(Bentham's) panopticon 37, 270
- Freud, Sigmund xix, 7, 23, 41–53, 97,
203, 227
- Frisby, David xviii, 20–2
- Fyfe, Nicholas 195, 247, 260–77
and Jon Bannister 16, 270
- Gardner, Lyn 127–8
- Garner Jr., Stanton B. 149
- Gavin, Francesca 198
- getting lost xvi, xix, 25, 57, 88,
234–6
- Gilloch, Graeme 18, 22, 43, 57, 88, 234–6
- Gordon, Avery 161
- Gorman, Sarah 145, 167–78
- Gourevitch, Philip 258–9
- graffiti 36, 100, 170, 196–8,
205–14, 249, 260, 265,
282, 285
- Grosz, Elisabeth 64
- Gruen, Victor 218
- guided tours 40, 70–1, 145, 150,
167–78, 249
- Hammergren, Lena 58
- Harkin, James 283–6
- Haussmann, Georges 96–7,
102–3
- Hegel, Friedrich 95, 99, 104
- Highmore, Ben 6, 91
- hooks, bell 208–10
- Hussey, Andrew 88–9, 94–105
- interdisciplinary xv, xvii, 1, 8–9,
144, 179
- Jacobs, Jane 19, 24, 147, 162, 197, 247,
261, 274
- Jameson, Fredric 16, 59, 235
- Jaulin, Robert 185
- Jorn, Asger 92, 98, 103
- journeys xvii, 12, 46–7, 103–5, 105–22,
127, 135, 171, 173–4, 177, 203–4, 213,
223, 234–7, 260, 288
- Joyce, James 203, 249, 284
- Khan, Kublai xv, 41–2
- Kaprow, Allan 88, 93, 157–8, 175
- Kaye, Nick 205–6, 237–43
- Keiller, Patrick 200–2
- King, Rodney 277–9
- Klee, Paul 153
- Klein, Naomi 2
- Kerr, Joe 90, 105–22
- Kingsnorth, Paul 195
- knowing/knowledge xvi–xviii, 7–8,
19, 21, 36, 56–7, 59, 61, 63–7,
70, 84, 119, 121, 152, 168,
255, 280
- Kong, Lily 138

- Koolhaas, Rem 12, 132–6
 Küppers, Petra 3, 24–5, 54–68
 Kwon, Miwon 145, 169, 203–4, 234–7
- la Cecla, Franco xvi
 labyrinths 46, 48–9, 98, 101, 147
 Lacin, Asja xvii, 18, 28–35, 43
 Lavery, Carl 88, 92–4, 144–6, 149–67,
 249, 286–9
 Layer-Burcharth, Ewa 239, 241
 Layzell, Richard 69, 130
 Leach, Neil 20
 Lee, Kuan Yew 131–2
 Lefebvre, Henri 6, 91, 95, 146, 149–50,
 154–5, 195–6, 200, 238, 241
 and Catherine Régulier 144, 147, 179–90
 Leslie, Esther 22
 LIGNA 200, 221–2
 Lingwood, James 147, 191–3, 204
 London 1, 12, 20, 45–6, 61–2, 92, 105–26,
 137, 144, 148–79, 191–3, 197, 200–4,
 211–15, 222–6, 239, 245, 247, 249,
 266, 269, 272, 283–6
 Lone Twin 75, 91, 127–8, 148
 Long, Richard 80
 Los Angeles xviii, 4, 59, 278
 Lovejoy, Bess and Damon Morris 69–70, 72–3
 Low, Kee Hong 91, 128–142
 Lynch, Kevin 289
- Machiavelli, Niccolò 99–103
 Macpherson, Katrina 54, 64
 map(s)/mapping xvii–xix, 8–9,
 13, 25, 35, 51, 59, 69, 71, 78, 81–4,
 89, 91, 96–100, 103–6, 135, 145, 148,
 150, 152–4, 159, 197, 211, 241,
 249, 288–9
 Marx, Karl/Marxism 41, 51, 63, 92, 95,
 99, 150
 Massey, Doreen 204–5
 McGonigal, Jane 199
 McGregor, Jon 144
 McKenzie, Jon 135, 140–1
 memory 8, 12, 46, 51, 55, 102, 135,
 150–1, 153, 158, 160–1 204–5, 233,
 238, 243, 246
 memorials 151–2, 154, 213
 Mexico City 1, 192, 252
 Miller, Graeme 93, 144–6, 149–67
 Mitchell, William J. 248–9, 283–6
 mobilisation 3, 8, 10, 20, 50, 64, 67,
 199, 240, 253
 mobility 66, 246–7, 253, 258
 movement 3–4, 10–11, 20, 24, 54, 56–65,
 70, 83, 102–3, 107, 149, 156, 181–2,
 186, 193, 196–7, 204, 206, 211, 214,
 227, 236, 245, 252–3, 281
 Mulder, Arjen 245, 247, 249–59
 Müller, Heiner 22, 246
 Mumbai 1, 199, 247, 252–6
see also Bombay
 Mumford, Lewis 100–1
 Musil, Robert 21
- Nancy, Jean-Luc 145
 Naples xvii, 3, 18–19, 28–35, 70,
 147, 188
 New York City 19, 23, 70, 98, 179, 205,
 208–9, 213, 216, 232–3, 239, 247,
 260–2, 265–9, 274
 Newman, Barnett 121
 Nietzsche, Friedrich 64, 92
 Nieuwenhuys, Constant 92, 96
 non-place(s) 152–4, 162, 164, 201–3, 218,
 226–31, 288
 Nooteboom, Cees 111, 204
- Olding, Gail 11
 Opie, Iona and Peter 81, 83–4
 Orwell, George 270, 272, 285
- Paris xviii, 3, 11, 20–1, 40, 45, 57, 59, 62,
 70, 83, 92, 95–8, 200, 203, 224
parkour 24, 148
 participation 6, 11, 16, 30, 59, 66,
 76, 134, 145, 153, 156–8, 164, 168–78,
 199, 222, 240–1, 246, 248, 259, 272,
 282, 287, 289
 Pearson, Michael 13, 93
 and Michael Shanks, *Theatre/*
Archaeology 1–3, 8, 10–12
 pedestrians 21–3, 29, 59–62, 70, 75, 81,
 89, 108, 127, 154, 156, 158–9, 161,
 164, 168, 177, 199–200, 214, 216–18,
 221–2, 241, 243, 282
 Petit, Chris 201–3
 Pile, Steve xix, 7–9, 23, 41–53, 200–1
 Piper, Keith 248–9, 277–83
 place(s) xvi–xvii, 3, 5–6, 8, 10, 12,
 15, 19, 37–8, 42, 45–6, 48, 50,
 52, 70, 80, 90, 99, 103, 111,
 127, 130, 135, 139, 147–8,
 152–6, 159–60, 177, 180–1,
 185, 193, 196, 203–7, 209–12,
 223, 226–32, 234–7, 245, 247,
 253, 255, 258

- play/(game) playing 5–6, 8, 10, 19,
25, 32, 38, 40, 69–86, 96, 98,
193, 195–206, 216, 224, 240,
248, 277–8, 280
- Poe, Edgar Allan 60, 161
- Polo, Marco xv, 41–3, 48, 51
- psycho geography 7–8, 80, 88, 92,
96, 98, 103, 197, 200
- public
rights 6, 146, 155, 195–206,
215–16, 219, 223, 247, 253
space(s) 30, 61, 71, 76, 79, 103,
127–8, 133, 146–7, 152, 162–4,
186–7, 195, 198–201, 206,
214–22, 231, 233, 235, 238,
257, 261–2, 265–70, 285
- Raban, Jonathan 10
- rag-pickers/rag-picking 20, 90–1, 110,
113–19, 123–6
- Rae, Paul 91, 128–42, 147
- Read, Alan 158
- Rendell, Jane xvi–xvii
- rhythm(s)/rhythm-analysis 119, 144–50,
152, 155, 179–93
- Ruby, Andreas 245
- ruins 3, 19, 23, 38, 45–6, 77, 113, 213
- Rumney, Ralph 92, 96, 103
- Sartre, Jean-Paul 92–3
- Schauplatz* 5, 7–8, 48
- Schauspiel* 5, 7
- Sennett, Richard 16, 163, 260–2
- Silverman, Kaja xvi–xvii
- Simmel, Georg 45, 52
- Sinclair, Iain 20, 96, 197, 200–3 211–14
- Singapore 91, 128–42, 199
- site 3, 5, 7, 27, 45, 92, 98–9, 110, 123–6,
130, 133, 144, 150, 152, 155,
164, 174, 186, 206, 230, 238,
242–3, 245–7, 251–3, 278, 281,
286–8
- site-specific 69–70, 91, 123, 139, 145,
150, 152, 168–9, 171, 203, 205, 236–7,
243, 288
- Situationism/Situationists 8, 25, 71,
88–9, 92–105, 145–6, 163–4,
206
- skateboarding 56, 148–9, 200, 213
- Smith, Susan J. 196, 206–10
- Sontag, Susan 22
- sound(s)/sounding 10, 40, 144–68, 179–80,
193, 282, 288
- Space Hijackers 222–3
- spectacle/spectacular 5, 15, 32,
56, 61–2, 88–9, 93–105, 126,
155, 162–4, 226, 230–1, 239
- Spielplatz* 5
- strangers xvi–xvii, 1, 3, 10, 18–19,
30, 35, 79–80, 91, 134, 145, 157,
159–63, 174–5, 179, 188, 200,
225, 247–8, 261, 263, 279,
288–9
- streets xvii–xix, 6–7, 15–21, 30–2, 37–8,
43, 46–51, 54–63, 84–5, 90, 105–22,
137, 144, 147–51, 162, 168, 170–1,
175, 179, 181, 186–7, 191–2, 195,
205–6, 208, 214–17, 220, 224, 231,
245–8, 262–74, 288–9
- street furniture 10, 22, 24, 30, 333, 59, 79,
105–22, 187, 198, 214–15, 218, 220
- Sudjic, Dejan 4
- surveillance 37, 64, 101, 195, 197, 201,
212, 233, 245, 247–8, 260–83, 285
- Templeton, Fiona 69, 93, 286
- Tester, Keith 21
- theatre xix, 5–11, 15–19, 21, 31, 35–41,
49, 72, 83, 88, 90, 125–6, 139, 149,
152, 157, 163, 171, 196, 203, 230, 246,
287–8
- Thrift, Nigel 9, 267, 271
- Tokyo xviii, 1, 4
- tourism/tourists 28–9, 74, 77, 82, 135,
141, 159, 167–9, 174–5, 187–8, 216,
220, 220, 229–33, 246
- traffic 4, 9, 15–17, 21, 23, 26–7, 33, 36, 38,
56, 62, 75, 77, 108, 110, 150, 157–8,
162, 164, 168, 171, 195, 199–201,
224, 232, 249, 252–3, 258, 287
- trans-urbanism 245, 247, 249–59
- Turner, Mark 225
- Tzara, Tristan 69–86
- Ulmer, Greg xvii
- uncanny, the 7, 23, 47–8, 50, 160, 168
- urban
citizenry/citizens 4–6, 99–101, 146,
150, 152, 157, 162–3, 184, 186, 189,
195, 197, 262, 270, 272, 282, 285
constellations 4–5, 22, 30, 252
dwellers xvii, 1, 3, 5–6, 19, 45,
54, 59, 101, 127, 144, 150,
196, 218
encounters xv–xviii, 3, 6, 8–9,
15–17, 25, 38, 60–1, 65, 85, 96,

- 124, 127–8, 145, 154, 163–4, 180,
186, 237, 249, 261, 284, 288
- experience xvi, xviii, 7–9, 16,
23–5, 48–51, 54–68, 74, 97–8,
105–9, 121, 150–3, 160, 171, 173,
205, 213, 238, 240, 247, 261
- life/living xvii, 1, 3, 6, 10, 12, 30, 47,
50, 53, 55, 59–60, 62, 89, 144–6, 154,
163, 183, 192, 196, 233, 247, 249, 283
- planning 1–2, 19, 24, 76, 79,
92, 100, 132, 146, 162, 175,
218, 220, 224, 252, 259
- populations 1–2, 4, 27, 53, 131,
182, 199, 245, 251, 254, 259
- space xviii, 23–4, 37, 40, 45, 52, 56–62,
64, 96, 102–3, 146–7, 154, 186–7, 195,
199–200, 241–2, 247–8, 258, 262
- theatres 5–7, 10–11, 15–19, 22, 30,
35–41, 48, 60, 82, 90, 92–3, 108,
117, 122, 132–3, 147, 186–7, 241
- unknown, the xvi–xvii, 7, 9, 25, 85,
91, 187, 230
- Urry, John 174–6
- Vaßen, Florian 17
- Vaneigem, Raoul 93, 103
- Venice 5, 32, 92, 96, 123, 147–8, 186–8
- Vienna xviii, 60–2, 150, 199, 216, 218,
220, 224
- Vilalta-Ollé, Francesca 54, 61–4
- Virilio, Paul 103, 153, 246, 248
- voyeurism 57, 90, 108, 146, 173
- walk(s)/walking xvi–xvii, 3, 7–8, 10,
19–25, 36, 44–51, 69–86, 92, 108, 127,
144–5, 148–78, 186, 192–3,
201, 211–14, 241, 260–4, 283–7
- Wander, Philip 154
- wandering/the wanderer 3, 7, 9,
20–1, 70, 78, 97, 155–6, 164, 167–80,
232, 284, 287
- Weigel, Sigrid 43, 47
- Wentworth, Richard 12, 89–90, 105–22,
193
- Whiteread, Rachel 204–5
- Winter, Jessica 201, 231–3
- Williams, Raymond 250
- Wilson, Ben 198, 214–15
- witness, the/witnessing xix, 11, 15–16,
21–2, 55–7, 60, 66–7, 105, 108, 111,
122, 127, 141, 152, 155, 157, 160–2,
176, 192, 197, 199, 209, 228, 249, 281
- Wodiczko, Krzysztof 205–6, 237–43
- Woolf, Janet 56–8
- Wright, Elizabeth 15
- Wrights and Sites 25, 69–85, 93
- writing xvii–xix, 9, 12, 19–23, 38, 40,
69–79, 148, 152, 156–7, 163, 196–7,
201, 206–14, 286
- Yeoh, Brenda 138
- Zanotti, Marisa 54, 64
- Zinganel, Michael 218
- Zukin, Sharon 262