



Selection, introduction, and editorial matter © Alex Flynn and Jonas Tinius 2015
Individual chapters © Respective authors 2015

All rights reserved. No reproduction, copy or transmission of this publication may be made without written permission.

No portion of this publication may be reproduced, copied or transmitted save with written permission or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or under the terms of any licence permitting limited copying issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency, Saffron House, 6–10 Kirby Street, London EC1N 8TS.

Any person who does any unauthorized act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

The authors have asserted their rights to be identified as the authors of this work in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

First published 2015 by
PALGRAVE MACMILLAN

Palgrave Macmillan in the UK is an imprint of Macmillan Publishers Limited, registered in England, company number 785998, of Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 6XS.

Palgrave Macmillan in the US is a division of St Martin's Press LLC, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010.

Palgrave Macmillan is a global academic imprint of the above companies and has companies and representatives throughout the world.

Palgrave® and Macmillan® are registered trademarks in the United States, the United Kingdom, Europe and other countries.

ISBN 978–1–137–35059–6

This book is printed on paper suitable for recycling and made from fully managed and sustained forest sources. Logging, pulping and manufacturing processes are expected to conform to the environmental regulations of the country of origin.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

Typeset by MPS Limited, Chennai, India.

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	vii
<i>Series Editors' Preface</i>	viii
<i>Notes on Contributors</i>	xi
Reflecting on Political Performance: Introducing Critical Perspectives <i>Alex Flynn and Jonas Tinius</i>	1
Part I Ethnographies of Political Performance in Developing Contexts	
Section 1.1 Interventions	
1 Re-imagining Political Subjectivities: Relationality, Reflexivity, and Performance in Rural Brazil <i>Alex Flynn</i>	33
2 Performing Transformation: Cultivating a Paradigm of Education for Cooperation and Sustainability in a Brazilian Community <i>Dan Baron Cohen</i>	53
3 Embodying Protest: Culture and Performance within Social Movements <i>Jeffrey S. Juris</i>	82
Section 1.2 Development and Governance	
4 Embodiment, Intellect, and Emotion: Thinking about Possible Impacts of Theatre for Development in Three Projects in Africa <i>Jane Plastow</i>	107
5 Resistant Acts in Post-Genocide Rwanda <i>Ananda Breed</i>	127
6 Governance, Theatricality, and Fantasma in Mafia Dance <i>Stavroula Pipyrrou</i>	147

**Part II Theatre as Paradigm for Social Reflection:
Conceptual Perspectives**

Section 2.1 Theatre and Tradition: Politics and Aesthetics

- 7 Aesthetic, Ethics, and Engagement: Self-cultivation
as the Politics of Refugee Theatre 171
Jonas Tinius
- 8 The Invisible Performance/the Invisible Masterpiece:
Visibility, Concealment, and Commitment in
Graffiti and Street Art 203
Rafael Schacter
- 9 Whose Theatre Is It Anyway? Ancient Choral
versus Modern Drama 224
Clare Foster

Section 2.2 Political Theatricality

- 10 Theatre in the Arab World – Perspectives/Portraits from
Lebanon, Syria, and Tunisia 261
Rolf C. Hemke
- 11 Pussy Riot's Moscow Trials: Restaging Political Protest and
Juridical Metaperformance 279
Milo Rau
- 12 Reinventing the Show Trial: Putin and Pussy Riot 286
Catherine Schuler

**Section 2.3 Theatre as Ethnographic Method:
Ethnography as Theatrical Practice**

- 13 For a Verbatim Ethnography 305
Nicholas J. Long
- 14 The Anthropologist as Ensemble Member:
Anthropological Experiments with Theatre Makers 334
Caroline Gatt

- Index* 357

Reflecting on Political Performance: Introducing Critical Perspectives

Alex Flynn and Jonas Tinius

Rural Santa Catarina in sub-tropical South Brazil, and Mülheim, a pleasant German city in the post-industrial Ruhr valley. As editors, our field sites are strikingly different and hard to imagine side by side. In Brazil, you arrive along a dusty track to huge concrete gymnasias where state meetings of Latin America's largest social movement, the Landless Workers' Movement (MST) take place. Cows stand idly in pens in adjacent fields. Coaches that have transported hundreds of people to the meeting line up in parking lots nearby. Sentries bar the gates and word of mouth communication from a leader is required before they allow you to pass. A brief exchange and they either swing open the broad wooden gates or they turn you back. Once beyond the perimeter, in this rural location outside a small town in the Brazilian interior, the meeting itself is abuzz with energy, people going hither and thither, camping down on a concrete floor in a mixture of tents, old mattresses, and dusty blankets. The meeting will last four days and there is excitement and anticipation about the programme, of which a key part will be the dramatic performances, the *mística*. Images line the main hall. Sebastião Salgado's series on the Landless Workers' Movement has pride of place, hasty photocopies of his work strung out down the full length of one wall. Stands of prize vegetables demonstrate what organic farming can produce. There are pumpkins, squashes, courgettes, apples, and tomatoes proudly on display in a political statement that counters the hegemony of the agroindustrial companies, such as Cargill and Monsanto. On a stage at the front of the hall, there are Brazilian flags, movement flags, and flags of solidarity: Palestine, Bolivia, and Venezuela. A few people are readying a rudimentary mixing desk and public address system. People mill around, waiting for the performance to begin, wearing the red MST baseball cap and the red MST t-shirt, with

Che Guevara or Fidel Castro's image printed on the front and lyrics from a Silvio Rodriguez song, printed on the back. The *mística* will open the day's meeting and coordinators are rounding people up and ushering them into the main hall. There is much fidgeting, much rustling of notepads, chewing of pens and then silence, before the performance starts.

There are fewer cows at pasture in Mülheim. You approach the Theater an der Ruhr down a suburban street in a genteel neighbourhood not far from the region's post-industrial sites. The tree-lined avenue throws glimpses of the theatre premises itself, an elegant 19th-century country house, established as part of a spa complex for public health. Flanked by a freshwater pool, the gardens, designed by the architect Baron von Engelhardt, conduct you through stone terraces and sweeps of steps to the entrance of the theatre, where cosmopolitan artists sip gin in a well-appointed foyer. Theatrical lighting highlights current and former performers, blown up in posters where they strike dramatic poses. Theater an der Ruhr literature sits organised in neat rows on tables, behind which smiling bilingual interns offer to assist and translate. A savvy crowd of theatregoers float around from the foyer to the bar area, where red curtains and carpet, spacious high ceilings, and stucco plaster complement a small stage for seminars and presentations, decked out with a stark black lighting rig and simple table and chairs. There are several performing spaces, and the corridor to the main auditorium transports you from the openness of the public area to an atmosphere altogether more intimate. Again, portraits, paintings, and theatre placards line the wall, to where a large and heavy double door marks the entrance to the reason why people have come; this is where the performance will take place.

Much anticipated and much contested, these instances of performance which occur in dusty towns of the Brazilian interior and in a well-appointed, state-funded German theatre, although seemingly so different, offer important points of analytical similarity. Indeed, these points of similarity can be found in all the performances that are described by the contributors to this volume. Although they occur across three different continents, play to vastly different audiences, and draw numbers of participants from the tens to the hundreds of thousands, they all have qualities that lead us to analyse them conceptually as *political* performance, a choice of term which we will explain a little later in this introduction. What links these political performances for us as editors is a conviction that there is something immanent to their happening that can be perceived as both an ethnographical reality and

as an analytical proposition. There is a powerful ethico-aesthetic quality inherent to these political performances that *moves* people, one that causes them to *reflect* and therefore consciously decide that they will interact with the world in a different manner. The audience and performers in these performances experience a deep sense of introspective interrogation, and through this ethical and affective inquiry of the self, in a shared space, those people present come to new understandings of the world, *together*.

A rigorous anthropological analysis of what occurs in such milieus therefore prompts questions whose consequences for studies of the social are profound. How can we conceptualise the unique second-order reflection of embodied acting of roles that can take place on any stage? What are the potentials of considering political performances as a genre of critical social inquiry? How do these capacities relate to institution-alised structures, political aspirations about democracy, and basic tenets of human development, such as freedom and equality? In this book, the interstices of anthropology, theatre studies, and development studies are the starting points for an analysis that explores how the potential of performance has not only been under-explored by practitioners in its current guise, but has also been under-theorised by scholars within these fields.

Rationale

This collection aims to provide an interdisciplinary analysis of political performance, juxtaposing ethnography and anthropological theory to highlight how dimensions of aesthetics and politics can interrelate to create new forms of sociality. This, we argue, is key to understanding how political performances can make innovative contributions to international development and political debates on the role of artistic expression, as people's experiences and wishes for social, economic, political and cultural change can entirely determine what development and transformation mean on a quotidian level. In Rolf Hemke's chapter on political theatre in the aftermath of the Arab Spring, a participant in such a performance describes very aptly what we see as the central contribution to the theoretical and ethnographic corpus of this book:

We try to reflect with our means, with the means of theatre. Theatre is a method to observe, from some distance, what is happening to us. On the basis of the objectification through one's own work, we can try to understand what changes have occurred and how the crisis

is affecting us. Although we're just small pieces in a large puzzle, we can describe this puzzle much more accurately when going into detail. (Hemke, this volume)

As the Jasmine Revolution in Tunisia demonstrates, performance and ritualised stagings can be defining in their potential to create radically affective bonds between actors and audience. By focusing on 'humans embodying other humans', as German philosophical anthropologist Helmuth Plessner described it (1982: 146), contexts of political performance can provide a rich field for anthropological explorations of people's own reflections on humanity, sociality, change, and aspiration. We argue that these affective and reflective aspects of cultural politics are considered marginal in mainstream development discourse, yet are entirely intrinsic to the wider processes upon which such a discourse is premised. As such, this book aims to create new pathways in which critical anthropology can theorise instances of reflected action with an intended transformative *telos*, and therefore development as change, while anchoring our ethnographies in contexts that are pertinent to the international development community.

Our main theoretical concerns therefore organise, but also draw upon, the ethnographic contributions presented in this volume. Key to the theoretical underpinnings of our contribution is to make clear the difference between dimensions of performance as deliberately reflective, metaperformative actions and performativity as action intended to incite transformation. Our terming of the ethnographic realities presented in this volume as *political performance* follows from this conceptual differentiation. Following this important distinction, we also wish to establish the 'political' as a space where dissent can be articulated, even if it may not result in what can be conveniently termed as 'revolutionary'.¹ Following Chantal Mouffe therefore, political performance for us opens the possibility of a more nuanced analysis that can better perceive ethical dimensions of transformation of the self, the collective, and of interests, in their potential if not in their immediate impact. Linked to this idea of 'impact' is our contention that the articulation of these discrete transformations of the self and therefore the elaboration of new collective political subjectivities is a process that grounds wider instances of development. In her contribution, which puts forward both academic and practitioner perspectives, Jane Plastow argues that transformation through performance and commitment to dialogic approaches can result in lasting outcomes as opposed to asserted impacts. In this vein, this volume aims to highlight how the potential

of performance has not been realised by development practitioners in its current incarnation of Theatre for Development (TfD).²

Through the mobilisation of diverse ethnographies, we also aim to explore how political performance offers possibilities for both wider political transformation and also self-transformation. As such, we see a pathway into more subtle readings of the negotiation of how political self-transformation occurs in contexts that can often be subject to dichotomous power-resistance readings. This tension between ethics and politics is felt perhaps most keenly in the counterpoint that can exist between aesthetics and politics: Rafael Schacter, Alex Flynn, and Jonas Tinius, amongst others, all touch on the subtleties of how a performance is staged; the tensions between rehearsal and performance, and how this impacts on people's own projects of self-transformation elaborated within collective spaces. These tensions are important to highlight if we are to consider performance as a method of research. Through Caroline Gatt and Nicholas Long's contributions, this volume aims to put forward performance as a means of reinterpreting research design and output, and understanding such antagonistic points of encounter is intrinsic to this project. Clearly, we do not seek to offer political performance as an ethnographic phenomenon or research technique that is without its problems; on the contrary, the element of critique that runs through this volume calls into question performance as a means of emancipation, the efficacy of performance as a development tool, and also the legitimacy that the complex multiple roles that academics involved as practitioners (or vice versa) can exercise. Indeed, we hope that readers of this collection will find these points of contention productive and be stimulated to engage in the following debates.

Key concepts

In this book, we argue that political performance can bring about radical changes in people's conceptions of themselves and their understanding of wider political subjectivities. Having studied a diverse range of such instances of performances, the need for an analytical tool with which to synthesise what occurs in such processes becomes evident. Recognising this necessity, we propose the concept of *relational reflexivity* as a means to productively theorise what we argue are the key dimensions of political performance. This term, which underpins the volume's theoretical approach, prompts questions that are explored in each of the contributions: what is the role of relationality? What are the roles of audiences and collectives that are always implied in performances? How

is collective meaning elaborated from within relational contexts and yet premised upon reflective processes? Any desire for change implies a conceptualisation of the status quo and conscious envisioning and imagination of a desired state of being; the very possibility of reflection is derived from intersubjective interrogation.

In the elaboration of this definitional proposition, we have drawn together what we consider to be important theoretical perspectives on performance, the political, and relationality, while also attempting to mobilise them in a precise and specific manner. For example, the adjective 'political' is often interchangeably ascribed to a wide variety of collective expressions, ranging (not exclusively) from performance arts, Brechtian and post-Brechtian theatre, bodily alterations, and gender performativity, to reperformances of the European drama canon. Once concepts such as 'performance', and 'political' become all-encompassing and almost tautological, their significance as meaningful reference points for either analytical scholarship or applied practitioners is rendered irrelevant. As such, in the following paragraphs, we seek to outline the theoretical positions that underpin the analytical tool that we propose.

Judith Butler offers perhaps one of the most cogent discussions of the distinction between performance and performativity.³ Having developed J. L. Austin's theory of speech acts⁴ with regard to an analysis of gender identity and articulation, she writes:

There is no gender identity behind the expressions of gender; identity is performatively constituted by the very 'expressions' that are said to be its results. (Butler 1990: 25)

Operating on the basis of work made possible by Foucault's 'archaeological work' (Baert 1998: 116), Butler elaborates the performative dimensions of 'rules of formation which stipulate the conditions of possibility of what can be said' (*Ibid.*). As Butler puts it:

One exists not only by virtue of being recognised, but, in a prior sense, by being recognisable. If language can sustain the body, it can also threaten its existence. [...] Even if hate speech works to constitute a subject through discursive means, is that constitution necessarily final and effective? Is there a possibility of disrupting and subverting the effects produced by such speech? (Butler 1997)

For Butler, performativity is thus a reiterative and cited power (not limited to speech acts) which produces the phenomena that it also

regulates. In other words, each performance of, say, gender, also contains the possibility of its performativity, that is, its execution or enactment. When the Australian-Bosnian model Andrej Pejić self-identifies as ‘in between genders’, preferring ambiguous pronouns and modelling for both male and female designers, such performances on the catwalk *are* perlocutionary acts; they already enact the transformations they imply. There is thus a nuanced and perhaps deliberately ambivalent discrepancy between creating a reflexive and artistic gesture towards an audience (a *performance* of queer identity) and articulating one’s own transformation through this gesture (a *performative* act).

Although Butler’s distinction is vital in developing a critique of essentialism (cf. Rorty 1989), it also risks denigrating the performance-aspect in favour of the performative-aspect and thus overemphasising the ‘perlocutionary force’ over the thoughts and reflections on performance’s affects and effects. What this volume intends to propose is to highlight these slippages by shifting attention from *teloi* and physical transformation to their reflection and deliberation. We believe that such a singular focus on performativity (what is *done* by means of performance), which is akin to a development discourse prioritising impact, obscures the relevance of (self-) reflexivity on *how* and *what* is done and performed.

The concept of ‘the political’ in the context of performance and performativity is no less problematic or ambivalent (Butler 2013).⁵ Yet it offers similar potential for a productive refocus on negotiation and the idea of process with a concomitant emphasis on the dimensions of reflexivity that are part of such an approach. Rather than understanding ‘political’ as an adjective indicating instrumentalisation, ideology, or an applied teleological practice, we understand it to be a critical term highlighting deliberation and dissent. Inspired by Chantal Mouffe’s elaboration of the term (1993, 2008, 2013) and what she labels ‘agonistic pluralism’ (Mouffe 1999), we consider the political in our conceptual discussion of relational and reflexive performances to be those kinds of performances that *problematise* negotiation and process, rather than *propagate* fixity and identity.

Central to Mouffe’s reconceptualisation of the political is a critique of the postulation of a rational public sphere, ‘where power and antagonism would have been eliminated and where a rational consensus would have been realized’ (Mouffe 1999: 752). In such a universal-pragmatic model of ‘democratic’ politics, there is no space for the conflictual dimension and ‘its crucial role in the formation of collective identities’ (*Ibid.*). In foregrounding antagonism, Mouffe de-universalises our notion of political subjects. For her ‘the political’ refers to forms of antagonism inherent

to all dimensions of human society that emerge and are constituted by social relations. ‘Politics’, we agree with Mouffe, refers to the ‘ensemble of practices, discourses and institutions that seek to establish a certain order and to organize human coexistence in conditions that are always potentially conflictual because they are affected by the dimension of “the political”’ (Mouffe 1999: 754). This observation rests on a key proposition: seeing ‘the other’ in political discussion no longer as an enemy to be eradicated, but as an ‘adversary, i.e. somebody with whose ideas we are going to struggle but whose right to defend those ideas we will not put into question’. Such a conceptualisation of pluralist politics includes and conceptualises the ‘subversion of the ever-present temptation that exists in democratic societies to naturalize their frontiers and essentialize their identities’ with the aim to be receptive to ‘the multiplicity of voices that a pluralist society encompasses, and to the complexity of the power structure that this network of differences implies’ (*Ibid.*, 757).

The notion of the political as defined by process and dissent is deeply significant for our mobilisation of relationality. We understand the idea of performing to transform to be premised within a relational, precarious, and collective context, and as such we mobilise and extend Nicolas Bourriaud’s (2002) theory of relational aesthetics. Bourriaud is an art critic and theorist and became well known for his curation of visual artists of the 1990s. Bourriaud argues that these artists cannot be interpreted using outdated notions of art history and art objects and instead puts forward the idea that the value of their work is premised on its potential to bring together the audience as a harmonious community, thus facilitating the creation of shared meaning. We extend Bourriaud’s theory of how meaning is elaborated through intersubjective encounters by applying his theory to ethnographic instances of political performances by groups, often in interaction with institutions, in movements, or on stage. Following Bourriaud, we suggest that political performances create ephemeral, precarious, and collective spaces akin to the temporary democratic communities that Bourriaud terms ‘micro-utopias’. Similarly, we understand these spaces and practices as fundamentally relational. However, in our anthropological development of this line of thought, we elaborate the relational to encompass the intersection and interaction of juxtaposed and imbricated values and spheres – aesthetic, cultural social, political.

What is particularly productive about Bourriaud’s conceptualisation of art works (or performances) as a starting point for intersubjective encounters is the debate that his writings have produced. Claire Bishop’s critique of Bourriaud draws heavily on Mouffe’s articulation

of antagonism to ask ‘what types of relations are being produced [by relational art], for whom, and why? (2004: 65).

Bourriaud wants to equate aesthetic judgment with an ethicopolitical judgment of the relationships produced by a work of art. But how do we measure or compare these relationships? The quality of the relationships in ‘relational aesthetics’ are never examined or called into question. (*Ibid.*)

Bishop argues that, in the contemporary art world, works that Bourriaud classifies as exemplifying the tenets of relational aesthetics may create intersubjective relations, but they also stray dangerously into the territory of exclusivity to which only the privileged few have access. She cites the observations of an art insider recounting how many art world professionals he met at a Rirkrit Tiravanija exhibition. The artist conducted a performance in which he cooked a vegetable curry and pad thai for those people attending. This cosiness, in what is supposed to be an ethicopolitical intervention, is problematic for Bishop. Addressing Tiravanija’s work, which sits as an exemplar of Bourriaud’s theory, Bishop criticises the homogeneity of voices that make up these intersubjective relations and calls into question therefore not only the emancipatory potential of this ‘micro-utopias’, but also the *intentions* of the agent who has created the possibility of these relations.

The importance of Bishop’s influential critique of relational aesthetics here is to relate her emphasis on antagonism with concerns around the structure of a political performance; while Bishop questions how open-ended such works as Tiravanija’s curry kitchen may be, we interrogate the emancipatory vocabulary of participatory theatre; what are the dimensions of spontaneity and script that lie behind political performances? How might political performance, as much as the exhibitions of contemporary art, be subject to different interpretations of ‘rehearsal’ and ‘performance’? How can ‘antagonism’ be connected to dissenting performers and those out of step with prescribed choreography?

In such a vein, an antagonistic critique of relationality reinforces our conviction that the ‘political’ in performance denotes process over fixity. Participants’ reflections on the *status quo*, and their desire for change, are not necessarily the tools with which the ‘now’ can be turned into the ‘then’, but rather the basis for articulations of *eu-topias*⁶ and contested collective meaning. In our understanding of the antagonistic political, artists no longer produce political theatre, but instead produce it *politically*.

Thus understood, ‘the political’ in our discussions of ‘performance’ directs our analytical perspective to a critique of instrumentalist rationalities in and beyond development, performance, and theatre studies. Rooted in a critique of instrumentalised art performances, often related, but not limited, to TfD, we seek to reorient scholarship of political performances or the political of/in performances from dichotomous ideas about structure vs. agency, power vs. resistance, and institution vs. individual towards a focus on the potential for self-reflexivity and the desire for self-determined transformation.

Performance, development, and change

Although the contributions of this volume are interdisciplinary, the approach and questions posed in this volume are at heart anthropological: How do I articulate selfhood, subjectivity, or belonging? How do groups, institutions, and movements imagine and articulate themselves as collectives? How do we perceive ourselves in relation to others? This volume, then, asks less ‘Is power challenged, or reproduced in political performances?’ than ‘How do people create precarious relational spaces to negotiate shared meaning by reflecting on their situation, and, by performing to transform, articulate where or who they want to be?’ We believe that such an approach can make an important contribution to issues of development that sit beyond the mainstream understandings of the term. Post-development scholars such as Arturo Escobar have placed great emphasis on development solutions that are specifically premised on social movements and place-based politics (2004: 220), and we contend that political performance is intrinsic to this reconceptualisation of how development can take place. Escobar argues that processes which attempt to go beyond conceptualisations of the ‘third world’ are being enacted by self-organising, non-hierarchical networks that are place-based and thus mobilise at a local level (while engaging with transnational networks). However, Escobar highlights that although such movements of people provide the most realistic opportunity for re-imagining and re-making local and regional worlds, these processes of dissent are subject to two important questions:

What are the sites where ideas for these alternative and dissenting imaginations will come from? Second, how are the dissenting imaginations to be set into motion? (2004: 220)

We argue that analysing political performance through the concept of relational reflexivity can both lead to better understandings of the

sites through which new political subjectivities can emerge, and also, through our focus on the way meaning is elaborated in relational spaces, *how* they can be set in motion. By speaking deliberately to development contexts from an anthropological point of view, the book prompts a vocabulary that sees the aesthetic, the transformative, and the performative as parts of the same conversation about social and political realities.

Following Escobar's emphasis on solutions that can re-make and re-imagine political subjectivities rooted in colonial and imperialist tropes, this book's analysis of political performance builds on and develops the praxis of TfD that for many audiences, represent a straightforwardly didactic tool. The ethnographies of Jane Plastow in Uganda and Ananda Breed in Rwanda foreground how development practice that encourages deep personal reflection on process and negotiation can prompt action and knowledge in entirely separate ways to that directed by the direct impositions of TfD, with its emphasis on results.

From the 1950s onwards, theatre was recognised by development practitioners as a valuable tool. In a manner which scholars like Dale Byam (1999) term as propaganda for colonial government development policies, theatre was utilised by development practitioners to disseminate ideas such as immunisation, sanitation, and cash crop production. As such, development interventions have historically employed theatre in a limited sense, which as Zakes Mda (1993) has stressed, was merely concerned with disseminating development messages, or conscientising communities about their objective social political situations. This situation has indeed persisted; even today theatre is still commonly used in educational programmes relating to HIV in Sub-Saharan Africa, or in wider programmes to 'educate' people about gender equality. However, theories that underpin more progressive uses of political and theatrical performances began to evolve from the 1970s, based on Paulo Freire (1973, 1975) and Augusto Boal's (2000) reconceptualisations. Penny Mlama has identified what she terms as 'Popular Theatre' as having the potential to act as a counterpoint to the development process. For Mlama, popular theatre becomes a mode of expression based on people's genuine participation to 'assert the culture of the dominated classes ... making people not only aware of but also active participants in the development process' (1991: 67). The use of theatre and other forms of performance in this new and radical context of empowerment has attracted huge interest from scholars, practitioners, and activists from around the world with instances of activity encountered across the global south. The Zapatista movement in Chiapas (Barmeyer 2003; Kampwirth

1996), the Mothers of the Disappeared in Buenos Aires (Borland 2006), and the movement to oust President Fujimori in Peru (Moser 2003) have all employed instances of theatre and performance outside of traditional development settings to mobilise communities, while Femi Osofisan (1999) in Sub-Saharan Africa and Jacob Srampickal (1994) in India, among others, have highlighted how theatrical performances are increasingly employed by communities to intervene in political debates.

This interest has come about because, used in these settings, political performances have been employed in a free, profoundly embodied, and non-rehearsed way. Through a reflected and embodied methodology, these performances have elicited recognitions of personal transformation that more straightforward programmes of Tfd have mostly ignored. What is interesting about these more open-ended performances are the inherent connections to participation and participatory models of development. As Bill Cooke and Uma Kothari have compellingly illustrated in *Participation: The New Tyranny* (2001), the mechanisms of participation are easily suborned to accommodate the interests of sponsors, with their powerful and often ideologically driven agendas. One such agenda that can underpin participatory development, as highlighted by Maia Green (2000) and Harri Englund (2006), is the denial of poor people's capacity to bring about change for themselves. In this book we explore how political performances can dialogue with more genuine models of participation through activating the creative potential of interaction and discussion inherent in people's lives. Unlike more didactic models, some of the instances that our contributions explore detail how performance can create spaces that incite people to act out their lives and the issues that are important to them in an emergent, rather than prescribed, fashion. In this sense, these performances, which prioritise negotiation over propaganda engage, as Jane Plastow understands it, with a different ideology to limited ideas of participatory development that can underlie current development thinking. Even to the most reactionary of the development community, it is clear that change in development cannot be brought about in a sustainable manner through an imposition of values and ideals. Engaging with participants in political performances can reveal the fallacy of equality as a feasible aim of development, shifting notions towards more realistic notions of equality as participation (Englund 2011).

This book therefore engages with a contemporary intellectual art tradition that envisages political performance as a 'particular conjunction of contemplative thought, reasoned action (*praxis*) and creative production (*poiesis*)' (Lambek 2000b: 309). As such, throughout this book, we

are detailing the dynamics of a generative process by which, for example, theatre as art and as performance creates a nexus of techniques which can enliven spaces with the capacity for change.

Structure of the book: situating contributions

Each of the contributors intervenes in debates pertaining to relational reflexivity in separate ways pertinent to their disciplinary standpoints. The contributions come from different disciplines, but as editors we have structured the book to ensure a productive dialogue between these differing approaches.

The first part of the book, 'Ethnographies of political performance in developing contexts' looks at how performances are mobilised in diverse parts of the world to bring about change. This first part is itself split into two sections, the first of which is entitled 'Interventions'. Alex Flynn's chapter opens this section, discussing how the *mística* of the Landless Workers' Movement of Brazil can be understood as a performance through which change is imagined through the collective elaboration of meaning. The chapter highlights how the MST's stylised form of performance is latent with the pedagogy of Paulo Freire, intending to enable movement members to envisage change within themselves and also collective change in the conception of political subjectivity. Flynn highlights how the spaces in which these performances occur are wholly relational; *mística* performances are embedded into the cultural politics of the MST and are used to open meetings at which hundreds of people are in attendance. In these performances, however, the MST community is represented as embedded within wider schemes of the global political economy; the political symbols of the movement flag, the Brazilian national flag and anthem, and the props that signify the reach and power of multinational corporations all go to demonstrate how political subjectivities elaborated in relational and reflexive spaces are never disengaged from the spheres in which MST leaders understand their struggle to take place. One of the interesting facets about *mística*, however, is the extent to which the performance is subject to control. In the closing section of the chapter, Flynn highlights the tensions that exist between the spontaneity of expression and the improvisation of artistic expression to call into question, from the standpoint of theatricality, the kinds of meaning that can be elaborated by individuals in these relational and reflexive spaces.

Dan Baron Cohen's contribution equally draws attention to Brazilian issues of marginalisation and powerlessness, albeit from a point of view

which is more characterised by his work as a practitioner in a small community in the Amazon. Baron Cohen foregrounds the concept of transformance as an activist cultural politics, a practice that builds performances through pedagogy, singing, and the creation of poetry. As with the *mística* that Flynn discusses, Baron Cohen's work demonstrates that seemingly obscure performances in marginalised spaces are anything but unconnected; indeed, both *mística* and Baron Cohen's work highlight the consciousness that small communities have of global and local frameworks. Central to Baron Cohen's work as a practitioner who mobilises performance is the concept of *transformance pedagogy*. Drawing upon 15 years of ethnographic research, and resisting a clear delineation between academic and activist roles, Baron Cohen illustrates his conceptualisation of transformance pedagogy through his work with young artist producers and how these young people transform their violent lives, streets, and schools in the city of Marabá. Central to his thinking is the idea that transformance pedagogy distinguishes between crude narcissistic empathy and reflexive empathy. Baron Cohen argues that the former is merely an uncritical identification, whereas the latter is inherently affective; a quality that leads to questioning and analytic identification. Sensitive to his position as an activist and practitioner, Baron Cohen echoes Flynn's questioning of performance and how anthropologically it is merely a technique within a wider field of social relations. Although both authors argue that performance can generate radical new understandings of self and change amongst disempowered communities in Brazil, as with much 'participatory development', such processes can be open to manipulation within a wider political framework. Nevertheless, both authors, aware of these critiques, refer to performance's unique artistic language, and its key role in the transformation of sentimental empathy into reflexive empathy in Baron Cohen's work, and marginalised rural aspirations to mainstream political subjectivities in that of Flynn.

To complete this first section, Jeffrey S. Juris' chapter, while also focusing on performance and intervention, specifically puts forward an analysis of the transformative capacity of embodiment and affect, at both macro and micro levels. Juris explores the links between concepts and mobilisations of culture and performance in social movements to make observations on the power that political performance can have. Based on ethnographic data from contexts including the Occupy movement and the movements for global justice, Juris argues that it is through what he terms cultural performance that alternative meanings, values, and identities are produced, embodied, and publicly communicated

within social movements. It is important to note here that such performances are often constructed by media-savvy organisers. As Juris highlights, some groups may operate a mass media oriented strategy that explicitly relies on performance for achieving visibility. For Juris, as for Flynn and Baron Cohen, many of the participants and activists with whom these authors have worked consciously reflect upon the performative, aesthetic, and 'practical' dimensions of their performances. How these reflections are premised within wider, collective notions of protest tactics is a key contribution of this section.

The second section of Part 1, entitled 'Development and Governance' opens with Jane Plastow's chapter, which, seeking to move away from TfD and toward experiential learning through development/image theatre, combines ethnographic analysis with an illustration of the use of performance in developing contexts. With reference to projects undertaken by the author, the chapter explores how relational and reflexive performances have been used with marginalised social groups in three Sub-Saharan African contexts. Plastow highlights how such techniques have been employed to explore participants' lives: their concerns about violence, gender, and schooling. Her work illuminates the generative process whereby, through performance and the use of the body, participants come to their own understandings of questions that were emergent: that is, not outlined as one of the goals of the session. As such, the idea of dialogic learning is fundamental to Plastow's work and echoes Baron Cohen's commitment to processes, which engenders a learning through dialogue between individuals. As with Baron Cohen's work, the body is central in this methodology in the way that it promotes a self-reflexive, and fundamentally democratic activity that evokes the potential of participants' transformative responses within a relational sphere. In this way both Baron Cohen and Plastow emphasise how relational and reflexive performance can be put toward development goals, going beyond the simplistic notion that theatre is effective in development contexts because it allows illiterate people to learn and participate. Indeed, both authors stress that such a commitment to dialogic approaches to theatre making, with and for communities of the marginalised, can result in lasting outcomes as opposed to asserted impacts.

Ananda Breed's contribution to this volume furthers Plastow's concerns with notions of development that sit outside mainstream development discourse. Breed's chapter problematises resistant performances in the context of post-genocidal Rwandan gacaca courts and local dramatic performances, calling into question how performance intersects

with notions of restorative justice in Sub-Saharan Africa. Breed proposes that performances of justice and human rights have served as international platforms for 'truth-telling' and nation-building (see also Breed 2014) and she analyses the overlap between actual court proceedings and their immanent understandings of law-as-performance (Alexander 2011; Benjamin 1978). In foregrounding the use of theatre for dialogic negotiations between past atrocities and present juridical systems for reconstruction and reconciliation, Breed draws attention to how performance can serve as means through which to better understand notions of justice without succumbing to ethnocentrism. Breed draws on Erika Fischer-Lichte's elaboration of the transformative power of empathy within the co-presence of the performance space derived from an encounter with 'otherness' (see also Caroline Gatt, this volume, and Fischer-Lichte 2004). Similarly, and yet from a different starting point and a different *telos*, she argues that the theatrical space offers critical distance to evaluate and reflect upon the political, emotional, and juridical frames of the genocide. These can be conceptualised in and of themselves as enablers of significant relational socio-political events. Her work, inspired by her multidisciplinary training in development, political studies, anthropology, and theatre studies, provides multifarious perspectives on and theoretical implications for the study of theatrical frames as alternative and evocative 'stages' for the emergence of hidden transcripts and relationally reflective moments of reconstruction in post-genocide Rwanda.

This book's preoccupation with notions of development that can remain overlooked is well served by Stavroula Pipyrou's chapter. From an ethnography of N'drangheta mafia dancing, Pipyrou argues that whilst such affective fields of cultural politics as performance may be considered marginal in mainstream development discourse, they are entirely intrinsic to the wider processes upon which such a discourse is premised. Having trained as a dancer herself, Pipyrou's chapter resonates with the emphasis on the body that is made by several other authors in the book. Pipyrou's concept of 'embodied observation' furthers the ongoing debate in all of the chapters as to the lines between researcher and participant, which itself hints at the use of performance as a research method, a subject that is addressed in the second part of this book by Caroline Gatt and Nicholas Long. Being able to interact with N'drangheta mafiosi through dance allowed Pipyrou a privileged access, as public dance performance is perceived as a living part of N'drangheta where politics and aesthetics meet. Through the theorisation of this performance therefore, Pipyrou's chapter unravels the aesthetics of the

political, thereby revealing an aesthetics of Mafia governance: how people interact with it, how people reflect upon it, and importantly, how an administration which is entirely parallel to the state is constituted through the deliberately porous boundaries of affect, emotion, and power. Performance in this sense becomes a means to understand public engagement, territorial patronage, and embodied governance.

The second part of this collection, entitled 'Theatre as paradigm for social reflection: conceptual perspectives' moves from questions of political intervention, governance and development to approaches that, while premised in ethnography, seek to conceptualise theatre and performance from historical, political, and aesthetic standpoints.

The first section of this second part, 'Theatre and tradition: politics and aesthetics' opens with Jonas Tinius' exposition of the philosophy of theatre as reflection through a discussion of a contemporary German theatre institution and its critical engagement with a refugee project in an abandoned asylum camp in the post-industrial Ruhr valley. Tinius highlights his informants' own rituals of reflecting and conceptualising the engaged social and political role of theatre as a publicly accountable institution, yet also explores the ethical quandaries that emerge from a concern with aesthetic questions rather than pedagogic interventionism. Tinius' chapter reinforces the editors' concerns with locating the reflexive and relational at the heart of performance; his enquiry centres itself upon an analysis of the heightened intensity of conscious ethical behaviours that emerge from political theatre rehearsal processes, a practice that Tinius, citing Helmuth Plessner, describes as revealing because it is where 'humans embody[...] other humans'. Situated thus, amid concerns of artistic labour and self-cultivation, Tinius' chapter opens this second part by touching on what will become iterative and resonant themes in the wider collection: the interplay of politics and aesthetics; the transformation of the ethical self as well as wider political subjectivities embedded within relational contexts; and the question of how we can better understand the reflexive and self-cultivating dimensions of performance, rather than reducing political theatre to simplistically staged interventions in wider schemes of power. For Tinius, as for Flynn, political performance is not a mere reproduction of schemes of power; holding placards and conducting a protest march is not simply a sideways take on more formal and state incorporated rituals that incarnate the procession of power. The perspective argued for here offers a pathway into more subtle readings of the negotiation of political self-transformation, often overseen by dichotomous power-resistance readings. Tinius' ethnography demonstrates how marginalised people

seek to instantiate an ethico-aesthetic political subjectivity through the use of their bodies within a global political situation to which they are marginal and in which they are precarious.

The precariousness and the invisibility of the marginalised are also themes that Rafael Schacter takes up in his chapter, which traces the communicative dimensions of illicit performance art in Denmark and its implications for conceptualising the public sphere and political discussion. Drawing on his fieldwork and experience with the world of independent public art, Schacter juxtaposes a European context with the other contexts explored in the book and thereby avoids reiterating the trope of development in *developing* countries. Furthering a perspective on local instances and emic representations of performance, Schacter's ethnography discusses two artists who have created a precarious dwelling within the capacious functional engineering spaces of Copenhagen's central rail station. Crucial to his chapter is the idea that a particular subset of independent public artworks, which have been carefully hidden and revealed in complex performative ways, offers a pathway into conceptualising the linking and severing of relations between performer, artist, and audience and at the same time the invitation to reflect upon this process. As revealed in Schacter's ethnography, this dwelling is as much a place to stay as a performance of illicit invisible art, and as a political statement articulated against the neoliberal closing down of hitherto public urban space. Schacter subverts the idea that public street art is about the visibility of tagging and reputation gained to foreground the fundamentally relational idea that for these artists, commitment to politicised performance matters more than fame; the status of the self is less important than allegiance to the social body. As such, performance becomes not merely the instrument through which material is produced; embedded in wider communities of meaning and driven by a desire to reflect on political subjectivities, it is an ethico-aesthetic statement and therefore a product in and of itself.

Clare Foster equally shifts the focus from developing contexts to rethink the particular trajectories and ideologies that characterise the study of European performance history. Foster's contribution therefore enters into dialogue with Schacter's and Tinius' accounts by emphasising the extent to which theatre performs and reperforms anthropology. Foster suggests that the history of performance studies and anthropology share common and increasingly contemporary agendas which seek to move beyond the limited notion of 'going to a play' to explore the ritualised, symbolic enactment of individual identity and collective meaning-making. Key to Foster's chapter is the idea of the theatrical

event, pre- and post-drama, as a relational ritual and public event, which she explores through the conceptualisation of chorality. Foster argues that literary drama, together with a late 19th-century European interest in the authentic, marked a significant shift in ideas of theatre towards the object on stage and away from a concept of theatre as relational ritual and public event. This shift still influences attitudes to theatre today, and has had a profound impact on approaches to theatre historiography. Drawing on a range of anthropological, classicist, historic, and performance-based perspectives, she contends that we should not take our received notions of modern theatre as a normative given. In fact, an analysis of a classicist perspective on chorality, relationality, and reflexivity, she argues, throws a critical look at how we understand theatre in other periods and places. Theatre before the 1880s, and most recently experimental forms of theatre (see Gatt, this volume), were performed not only *for* audiences, however, but explicitly *about* them:

The audience were the performers, and the city the set: there was no 'off stage' in an Athenian dramatic festival. The city itself, in the visual field of spectators, was a geographical participant in the narratives. (Foster, this volume)

The second section of Part 2, entitled 'Political theatricality', examines more closely how theatre makers have articulated performance within paradigms which are more formally theatrical. Rolf Hemke's work concerns the practice of theatre and its arts-based aesthetic responses to political upheavals. His chapter contributes important perspectives on the politics of aesthetics within the theatre and issues of private symbolism and dramatic writing in the context of political unrest. As the editorial note that prefaces his chapter highlights, the text-based performances that Hemke describes as part of his work for the Theater an der Ruhr (Tinius, this volume) are not political in the sense of an overarching, ideologically driven interventionist practice. Nor do they extend from the theatrical 'scene' or 'stage' to those outside of actual theatre buildings (though Meriam Bousselmi's performance installation *Truth Box* has been placed in public spaces, churches, and streets). Instead, they throw light onto artistic and literary engagements that seek reflection upon conflictual political contexts through socio-psychological artistic readings. They enable a different view onto the semiotics of political artistic reflection and meaning-making. By relocating political struggles into the realm of the artistic process rather than the 'performance', rehearsal practices, performance spaces, dramatic

metaphors, audience responses, and many more aspects of a supposedly 'traditional' theatre context become laden with adversarial and political meaning. As we suggest in this introduction, these artistic processes therefore become relational spaces within which reflection and political discussion initiate intersubjective and artistic transformations.

This tension between process and product, which Foster problematises, is further explored in the juxtaposition of two contributions which focus intimately on Pussy Riot, albeit from very different perspectives. Filmmaker, theatre director, and founder of the *International Institute for Political Murder*, Milo Rau offers an explanation of his motivations behind his 'retake' on the Pussy Riot trial, which resulted in the sentencing of three of the collective's members. In this translated, reprinted, and recontextualised interview, Rau discusses his most recent project *Moscow Trials*. Embedded in a series of theatrical and documentary reenactments, the *Moscow Trials* reperformed the judicial trial that caused widespread dismay both within and without of Russia. Rau's reenactment brought together members of Pussy Riot, lawyers, journalists, and other members of the Russian public to perform and reflect upon the trial. In the interview, he notes that the transcript for the trial was only loosely agreed upon, leaving space for spontaneous interventions and reactions, thus allowing both participants and performers to think through the relation between rehearsal and improvisation, script and spontaneity, and performance and performativity. In the text, he contextualises the idea of a reenactment in the political act of 'making-visible' previously unmarked and unreflected relations between people and institutions, law and art, and religion and the public. Rau's remarks also problematise the idea of historical reperformances and the reception of western activist art in Russia: what is significant about the performance of *Moscow Trials* is that while the act it reperformed garnered widespread critical support internationally, within Russia Pussy Riot was subject to widespread condemnation. Indeed, Rau's own performance of the *Moscow Trials* was interrupted and ultimately stopped by people claiming to be part of the Russian Federal Migration Service, and then later by a group of Cossacks accompanied by a film crew. As such, the *Moscow Trials*, although iterative in many senses, is more than mere repetition through iteration. As Rau argues, his work goes beyond the simulation of a juridical process; rather it is a reperformance that is continually open to spontaneous reinterpretation, calling into question the tensions between audience and performer and the differences between aesthetic and political gestures of justice that Breed's chapter also touches upon.

Theatre, for Rau, therefore becomes more than a medium for the transmission of information, a point which Catherine Schuler advances in her contribution written about the original performance, the show trial of the Pussy Riot members. Schuler describes how the trial occurred and provides a contextualisation of the incident in Christ the Saviour Cathedral in Moscow that resulted in three members of the collective being found guilty of criminal hooliganism, a charge that is premised upon the action being understood as a premeditated hate crime against the Russian Orthodox faith, rather than a political performance calling into question the relationship between Vladimir Putin and the Russian Orthodox Church. Indeed, as Schuler notes, comments indicating that the women were making expressive *avant garde* art appear only twice in the court judgement, reinforcing the idea that Pussy Riot's intervention was not an artistic performance, but mere profane *graffiti*: the defacing of a sacred space. Schuler's contribution calls into question the motives of the Russian justice system, but also questions Pussy Riot's intentions in staging this performance within a theoretical framework that she argues would not make sense to the people who were in the church. As such, Schuler raises the question of performance and audience, and for whom Pussy Riot's performance was intended, noting that international celebrity support, such as Madonna's act of stamping on an Orthodox cross, did nothing to help the members of the collective sentenced to two years of confinement in a labour camp.

The notion of audience and an awareness of what is being produced for whom points toward the difficulties in researching performance and indeed employing performance as a research method. This latter concern is at the heart of the third and final section, entitled 'Theatre as ethnographic method: ethnography as theatrical practice'. Opening this section, Nicholas Long argues for the value of Anna Deavere Smith's 'verbatim' technique of documentary theatre as a means of anthropological knowledge transmission. Long outlines the technique's potential, the obstacles it has encountered in practice, and some possible ways in which these might be overcome, if performance can really be mobilised as an alternative form of research enquiry. Long's chapter signals one of the more general aims of this collection, which begins with an exploration of ethnographic instances of political performance and intervention, before seeking to mobilise theoretical conceptualisation to suggest new pathways which could be of theoretical and practical use. In particular, Long argues that verbatim techniques of documentary theatre represent an exciting new possibility for ethnographic representation, perfectly suited to the intellectual needs of an anthropology currently undergoing

the 'affective turn' (see Long 2013: 4). It also carries considerable potential as a means of informing debates in fields such as development and social policy, both because of its capacities to reveal the experiences and stories of real people and because of the therapeutic benefits that people may experience from watching (or performing) their lives and memories on stage (see for example Nicholson 2009; Paget 2010; Stuart Fisher 2011). In analysing documentary theatre, Long develops a new theory for understanding ethnographic sociality. Theatre as a means of education, he argues, rests upon its capacity to create actual (if temporary) and affectively charged relations between and among both audience members and performers. As a means of *ethnographic representation*, Long's approach draws attention to ways of focusing on and accessing people's marginalised subjectivities, aspects of social life that can elude documentation in textual transcription and speech (see also Gatt, this volume).

This volume's concern with practice as well as theory is furthered by Caroline Gatt's contribution, which provides a practice-based retrospective and prospective exploration that engages the concept of research theatre. This presents two main challenges: how to approach parallel, non-institutional research traditions within Euro-American spheres and how to move beyond the text-focused practice of ethnography. Gatt's ethnographic exploration investigates how relational and reflexive performance can inform ethnographic practice. The contribution offers anthropology and development practitioners a means to generate processual understandings that shift from text to embodiment. Her ethnography and analysis build on more recent theoretical and methodological shifts towards performance-ethnographic experiments in anthropology, yet remain in dialogue with more historical discussions (see Foster, this volume). Gatt considers the engaging aspects of performative anthropology, foregrounding the possibility of performance practice to inform anthropological projects from research design to methods, through to presentation. This shift in how the research process can be conceptualised, she argues, has the potential to regenerate anthropology's key concerns in three specific areas: a consideration of subaltern knowledge beyond textual representation; new and performative understandings of reflexivity in intersubjective contexts; and the processual paradigm of the co-presence of researcher and informant.

Conclusion

An anthropological perspective that can adequately theorise dimensions of political performance and the elaboration of new political

subjectivities, and therefore the underpinnings of processes of development and change, is urgently needed. The essays in this volume throw light upon the potentials of such an approach; from issues of justice in Rwanda, to pedagogy amongst marginalised communities in Brazil, to the aesthetics of invisibility and dressing up as a pink fairy to put forward a political statement, the book's contributors draw methodological and epistemological implications from ethnographic research of broad fields of inquiry with important theoretical interdisciplinary resonance.

Throughout this book, we seek to foreground the idea of the transformative; performance, it is often argued, can have an emancipatory potential in its capacity to enable people to reflexively understand where they are, and therefore where they want to go. However, following from Jane Plastow's contribution, we do not necessarily understand this transformative potential as an immediate resistance to existing structures of being, feeling, or acting in the world (Abu-Lughod 1990, Hirschkind 2006, Mahmood 2001). Rather, it is the more subtle potential to induce, enable, and encourage reflection on the status quo of any individual or social group. By focusing on the reflexive aspects of action, the dynamic play between rehearsal and improvisation inherent in any performance, and the engagement with, management, and emergence of potential ways of being (as an individual or group), we highlight aesthetic and ethical dimensions of what may become antagonistic processes; political performance is not about a homogeneous group of people trying to shout down a dichotomous 'other'. Therefore, although we agree with and wholeheartedly support political performance as a means of engaging with politics that is available to all (Cohen-Cruz 2010), our approach is premised upon reflexivity. Participation in political performances operates within a context that cannot be ignored; relations cut the network (Strathern 1996) and not all relations are equally privileged (Bishop 2004).

This book understands relational reflexivity in performances as itself a form of ethical and political change. The contributors to this volume share an agitated concern, however, that this refers to more than a self-satisfied and all-too-evident assumption that this is all there is to say; the ethnographic is committed to describing action in a nuanced way that highlights the reflexive dilemmas, radical aspirations, and social contexts of any performance. The ethico-aesthetic, highlighted by ethnography, denotes the multiple ways in which such reflected actions turn aesthetic praxis into ethical poiesis. In this way we respond to post-development discourse and its emphasis on creating opportunities for the re-imagining and re-making of local and regional worlds.

As Arturo Escobar asks and yet also prefigures, where are the sites from which alternative and antagonistic imaginations will emerge and how are these new political subjectivities to be elaborated and also shared? Relational reflexivity describes the performative imbrication of the reflected and creative management of transformative potential. The ethical dimension of political performance is evident in the narrative *telos* any performance, even improvised, implies. It is in this sense that this volume speaks of the socially and politically transformative potential of relational reflexivity; our definitional proposition is both a description of ethnographic realities and also a conceptual analytical approach. As such, this volume aspires to be of practical use in the theoretical elaboration of works which confront the interrelation of relationality and reflexivity, and the contested process of re-imagining political subjectivities. It is through the engagement of aesthetics and politics that we can perceive and conceive how people around the world perform to transform.

Notes

1. See Wirth (2002: 17).
2. TfD in its simplest sense refers to instances of theatre used as a development tool. TfD encourages actors to engage in performances using music, singing, and/or dance. The goals of these performances are often pedagogical in nature, relating to issues of health or gender relations. In the last twenty years, as part of the participatory turn in development discourse, stated goals have started to include empowering communities and listening to their concerns, with the objective of voicing and solving their own local issues. This shift from the colonial and didactic to more 'inclusive' programmes can be perceived by Ananda Breed's definition of TfD as an 'egalitarian method to access and distill information, working with communities to create a self-sustaining tool for dialogue and from that dialogue to affect policy. TfD creates an infrastructure for communities to define themselves by developing systems of communication that identify key issues, implement solutions, and establish partnerships between resource groups' (Breed 2002).
3. Many of our contributors distinguish these concepts with regard to their own specific context and approach. Those discussions that we consider to be both well-written summaries of existing debates and key contributions to them are, in particular: Fischer-Lichte (2012); Korom (2013); and Wirth (2002).
4. Butler's discussion (1990, 1993, 1997), as well as Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick (2003) (and others, e.g. John Searle 1969, 1995 or Goffman 1959, 1974, 1976) build on the speech-act theory developed by J. L. Austin in his work *How to Do Things with Words* (1962, 1970).
5. Of the many works that discuss the concept of the political in its anthropological ramifications, we would like to highlight: Barry (2001); Collier and Ong (2005); Fischer (2003); Habermas (1971); Latour (2004); and Rose (2007).

6. On this point, Raymond Geuss (2008) has explored the relation between the concepts of revolution, utopia, and imagination. For him, they are all hinting at that which is completely different; the other side of the wheel of fortune, Fortuna, revolves (Tinius 2013).

Bibliography

- Abu-Lughod, L. 1990. 'The Romance of Resistance: Tracing Transformations of Power through Bedouin Women'. *American Ethnologist*. 17: 41–55.
- Alexander, J. C. 2011. *Performance and Power*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Austin, J. L. 1962. *How to Do Things with Words*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- . 1970. 'Performative Utterances'. In Austin, J. L. (ed.). *Philosophical Papers*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 233–252.
- Baert, P. 1998. *Social Theory in the 20th Century*. Cambridge/New York: Polity Press & New York University Press.
- Barber, K. 2007. *The Anthropology of Texts, Persons and Publics: Oral and Written Culture in Africa and Beyond*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Barmeyer, N. 2003. 'The Guerrilla Movement as a Project: An Assessment of Community Involvement in the EZLN'. *Latin American Perspectives*. 30: 122–138.
- Barry, A. 2001. *Political Machines: Governing a Technological Society*. London and New York: Athlone Press.
- Benjamin, W. 1978 [1955]. *Critique of Violence, in: Reflections: Essays, Aphorisms, Autobiographical Writings*. New York: Schocken.
- Bishop, C. 2004. 'Antagonism and Relational Aesthetics'. *October* 110: 51.
- Boal, A. 2000. *Theater of the Oppressed*. London: Pluto Press.
- Boon, R. and J. Plastow (eds). 1998. *Theatre Matters. Performance and Culture on the World Stage: Cambridge Studies in Modern Theatre*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- . (eds). 2004. *Theatre as Empowerment: Community Drama on the World Stage*. Cambridge: Cambridge University press.
- Borland, E. 2006. The Mature Resistance of Argentina's Madres de Plaza de Mayo. In: Johnston, H. and Almeida, P. (eds). *Latin American Social Movements: Globalization, Democratization and Transnational Networks*. London: Routledge, 115–130.
- Bourriaud, N. 2002. *Relational Aesthetics*. Dijon: Les Presses du réel.
- Breed, A. 2014. *Performing the Nation: Genocide, Justice, Reconciliation*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- . 2002. *Playback in Theatre for Development: Centre for Playback Theatre*. Available online at: <http://www.playbacktheatre.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/04/Breed-Playback-In-Theatre-For-Development.pdf>.
- Butler, J. 1990. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. New York/London: Routledge.
- . 1993. *Bodies that Matter: On the Discursive Limits of Sex*. London/New York: Routledge.
- . 1997. *Excitable Speech: A Politics of the Performative*. London/New York: Routledge.
- . 2013. *Dispossession: The Performative in the Political*. Cambridge: Polity.

- Byam, D. 1999. *Community in Motion: Theatre for Development in Africa*. London: Greenwood Press.
- Cohen-Cruz, J. 2010. *Engaging Performance: Theatre as Call and Response*. London/ New York: Routledge.
- Collier, S. J. and A. Ong. 2005. Global Assemblages, Anthropological Problems. In Ong, A. and Collier, S. J. (eds). *Global Assemblages: Technology, Politics, and Ethics as Anthropological Problems*. Oxford: Blackwell, pp. 3–21.
- Cooke, B. and U. Kothari. 2001. *Participation: The New Tyranny?* London: Zed Books.
- Crehan, K. 2012. *Community Art: An Anthropological Perspective*. Basingstoke: Berg.
- Davis, T. C. and T. Postlewait. (eds). 2003. *Theatricality*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Deck, J. and A. Sieburg (eds). 2011. *Politisch Theater Machen: Neue Artikulationsformen des Politischen in den darstellenden Künsten*. Bielefeld: Transcript.
- Duttlinger, C., L. Ruprecht, and A. Webber. 2003. *Performance and Performativity in German Cultural Studies*, German Linguistic and Cultural Studies 14. Oxford: Lang.
- Englund, H. 2011. *Human Rights and African Airwaves: Mediating Equality on the Chichewa Radio*. Bloomington/Indianapolis: Indiana University Press.
- . 2006. *Prisoners of Freedom: Human Rights and the African Poor*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Escobar, A. 2004. 'Beyond the Third World: Imperial Globality, Global Coloniality and Anti-Globalisation Social Movements'. *Third World Quarterly*. 25(1): 207–230.
- Faubion, J. 2011. *An Anthropology of Ethics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ferguson, J. 2006. Of Mimicry and Membership: Africans and the 'New Membership Society'. In: *Global Shadows: Africa in the Neoliberal World Order*. Durham/London: Duke University Press, pp. 155–175.
- Fischer, M. M. J. 2003. *Emergent Forms of Life and the Anthropological Voice*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Fischer-Lichte, E. 2004. *Ästhetik des Performativen*. Berlin: Suhrkamp.
- . 2012. *Performativität: Eine Einführung*. Bielefeld: Transcript.
- Freire, P. 1973. *Education for Critical Consciousness*. New York: Continuum Publishing Company.
- . 1975. *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. London: Penguin Education.
- Geuss, R. 1981. *The Idea of a Critical Theory: Habermas and the Frankfurt School*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- . 2008. *Philosophy and Real Politics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Goffman, E. 1959. *The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life*. Garden City, NY: Anchor.
- . 1974. *Frame Analysis: An Essay on the Organization of Experience*. New York: Harper and Row.
- . 1976. *Gender Display and Gender Commercials: Gender Advertisements*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Green, M. 2000. 'Participatory Development and the Appropriation of Agency in Southern Tanzania'. *Critique of Anthropology*. 20: 67–89.
- Habermas, J. 1971. *Toward a Rational Society: Student Protest, Science and Politics*. London: Heinemann Educational.

- Hemke, R. (ed.). 2010. *Theatre in Sub-Saharan Africa. Volume 77, Recherchen*. Berlin.
- Hirschkind, C. 2006. *The Ethical Soundscape: Cassette Sermons and Islamic Counterpublics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Kampwirth, K. 1996. 'Creating Space in Chiapas: An Analysis of the Strategies of the Zapatista Army and the Rebel Government in Transition'. *Bulletin of Latin American Research*. 15: 261–267.
- Korom, F. J. (ed.). 2013. *The Anthropology of Performance: A Reader*. Malden/Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Laidlaw, J. 2002. 'For an Anthropology of Ethics and Freedom'. *JRAI*. 8: 311–332.
- . 2014. *The Subject of Virtue: An Anthropology of Ethics and Freedom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lambek, M. 2000a. 'Nuriaty, the Saint and the Sultan: Virtuous Subject and Subjective Virtuoso of the Post-Modern Colony'. *Anthropology Today*. 16(2): 7–12.
- . 2000b. 'The Anthropology of Religion and the Quarrel between Poetry and Philosophy'. *Current Anthropology*. 41: 309–320.
- . (ed.). 2010a. *Ordinary Ethics: Anthropology, Language, and Action*. New York: Fordham University Press.
- . 2010b. Towards an Ethics of the Act. In: *Ordinary Ethics. Anthropology, Language, and Action*. New York: Fordham University Press.
- Latour, B. 2004. *Politics of Nature: How to Bring the Sciences into Democracy*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.
- Long, N. and H. Moore. 2012. 'Sociality Revisited: Setting a New Agenda'. *Cambridge Anthropology*. 30(1): 40–47.
- Long, N. J. 2013. *Being Malay in Indonesia: Histories, Hopes and Citizenship in the Riau Archipelago*. Singapore: NUS Press.
- Mahmood, S. 2001. 'Feminist Theory, Embodiment and the Docile Agent: Some Reflections on the Egyptian Islamic Revival'. *Cultural Anthropology*. (16)2: 202–236.
- Mda, Z. 1993. *When People Play People: Development Communication Through Theatre*. London: Zed Books.
- Mlama, P. 1991. *Culture and Development: The Popular Theatre Approach in Africa*. Uppsala: Nordiska Afrikainstitutet.
- Moser, A. 2003. Acts of Resistance: The Performance of Women's Grassroots Protest in Peru. *Social Movement Studies*. 2: 177–190.
- Mouffe, C. 1993. *The Return of the Political*. London/New York: Verso.
- . 1999. 'Deliberative Democracy or Agonistic Pluralism'. *Social Research*. 66(3): 745–758.
- . 2008. Art as an Agonistic Intervention in Public Space. In: *Art as a Public Issue: How Art and Its Institutions Reinvent the Public Dimension*. Open (14). Rotterdam/Amsterdam: NAI Publishers.
- . 2013. *Agonistics: Thinking the World Politically*. London/New York: Verso.
- Nicholson, H. 2009. Intergenerational Reminiscence Theatre. In Prendergast, M. and Saxton, J. (eds). *Applied Theatre: International Case Studies and Challenges for Practice*. Bristol: Intellect, pp. 174–178.
- Osofisan, F. 1999. 'Theater and the Rites of "Post-Negritude" Remembering'. *Research in African literatures*. 30: 1–11.
- Oster, M., Waltraud Ernst, and Marion Gerards (eds). 2010. *Performativität und Performance: Geschlecht in Musik, Theater und MedienKunst*. Muenster: LIT.

- Paget, D. 2010. 'Acts of Commitment: Activist Arts, the Rehearsed Reading, and Documentary Theatre'. *New Theatre Quarterly*. 26(2): 173–193.
- Peterson Royce, A. 2004. *Anthropology of the Performing Arts: Artistry, Virtuosity, and Interpretation in Cross-cultural perspective*. Lanham/Oxford: AltaMira.
- Plessner, H. 1982. 'Zur Anthropologie des Schauspielers', in *Mit Anderen Augen: Aspekte einer Philosophischen Anthropologie*. Berlin: Suhrkamp, pp. 146–163.
- Ridout, N. 2009. *Theatre and Ethics*. Basingstoke/New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Rorty, R. 1989. *Contingency, Irony and Solidarity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rose, N. 2007. *The Politics of Life Itself: Biomedicine, Power, and Subjectivity*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Sax, W. S. 2002. *Dancing the Self: Personhood and Performance among the Pandav Lila of Garhwal*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- Searle, J. 1969. *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- . 1995. *The Construction of Social Reality*. New York: The Free Press.
- Sedgwick, E. K. 2003. *Touching Feeling: Affect, Pedagogy, Performativity*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Strampickal, J. 1994. *Voice to the Voiceless: The Power of People's Theatre in India*. New Delhi: Manohar.
- Strathern, M. 1996. 'Cutting the Network'. *The Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2 (3): 517–535.
- Stuart Fisher, A. 2011. "'That's Who I'd Be, If I Could Sing": Reflections on a Verbatim Project with Mothers of Sexually Abused Children'. *Studies in Theatre and Performance*. 31(2): 193–208.
- Tinius, J. 2013. 'Changing the Wheel: Bertolt Brecht's Stories from the Revolution'. *King's Review*. 19 March 2013.
- Wirth, U. (ed.). 2002. *Performanz: Zwischen Sprachphilosophie und Kulturwissenschaften*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.

Index

- Abah, S. O., 107
 Abarca, J., 220n3
 Abdellatif, Y., 271
 Abélès, M. 1988, 157
 Abu-Lughod, L., 23, 263
 Abusaada, O., 270–3
 Ackerman, R., 247n7
Acting in Documentary Theatre, 312
 activism, 261–3
 Adams & E.B. Itso, 207–10, 211, 213, 214
 Adorno, T. W., 175, 182, 198n4
 Adugna community dance theatre company, 108–9
 Aeschylus, 232–4
 aesthetics, 173, 205–6
 aesthetic judgement, 175
 aesthetic politics, 261–3
 of applied theatre, 176–8
 as mother of ethics, 175–6
Aesthetics of Applied Theatre, The, 199n9
 affect, transformative capacity of, 14–15
 Afro-Amazonian community, 53–80
AfroMundi, 70
 Agamben, G., 136, 149, 150, 161
Agamemnon, 232–4, 241
 Agha, A., 271
 agonistic pluralism, 7–8, 9
 agrarian reform movement, 36–8
 AIDS Play Warning Against Promiscuous Behaviour, 125n1
 Al Attar, M., 270–3
 Alexander, J. C., 16, 85, 90, 100, 127, 131–5, 137, 138
 Allen, W., 250n56
 Alma-Tadema, L., 241
 Almeida Theatre, 330n8
 Altheide, D. L., 91
 Alvarez, S., 84
 Alvaro, C., 154
 ‘*Amakimbirane Yabaye Urwango*’ (The Conflicts Become Hatred), 139–42
 Amnesty International, 300n1
 Anderson, M. E., 249n26
 Ang Gey Pin, 339
 Anglophone literary drama, 227
 Annoying Orange, 249n25
 anthropologist, as ensemble member, 334–51
 development and subaltern knowledge, 343–4
 enacted relationships, 336–8
 performance and crisis of representation, 344–6
 reflection and collaborative creativity, 346–8
 refractions of reflexivity, 339–43
 anthropology
 collaborative, 344
 performative, 335
 Antoine, A., 242–3
 Antonelli, C., 151–2
 applied theatre
 aesthetics of, 176–8
 problems with, 189–90
 Arab Spring, 3, 265
Arab Theatre Institute, 274
 Arab world, theatre in, 261–77
 Abusaada, Omar, 270–3
 aesthetic politics, 261–3
 Al Attar, Mohammad, 270–3
 Bou Khaled, Issam, 265–70
 Bousselmi, Meriam, 273–7
 political aesthetics, 261–3
Araguaia: a Conspiracy of Silence, 71
 Archer, W., 241, 251n72
Archipel, 266
 ‘architecture of the air’, 222n21
 Aristophane, 235
 Aristotle, 228–9, 236–7
 Arlacchi, P., 151
 Arlen, S., 247n7

- art
 contemporary, 44
 independent public, 203, 204,
 220*m*3, 4
 new sociology of, 173–5
 spray cans, 203, 204
 street, 203, 220*n*2
- Artaud, A., 227, 228, 247*n*23
- arteducation*, 73
- arteducators*, 73–4
- artefactual publicity, 205–7
- Arts and Humanities Research
 Commission, 125*n*4
- Assaf, R., 266, 269, 274
- Aswaram, V., 122
- audience, 21, 190–2
gacaca, 137
 Pussy Riot's, 294–7
- Austin, J. L., 6, 24*n*4, 129, 138
- authenticity, 116–19, 312, 320, 321,
 323, 324
- authority, 192–7
- autonomy, 261–3
- avant garde*, 21, 178, 184, 198, 291,
 293–6
- Avatar*, 71
- Avocats Sans Frontières (ASF), 133,
 135, 137
- Bab, J., 174
- Baert, P., 6, 198*n*2
- Baldessarro, G., 151
- balli*, 149
- Banafsaj*, 268–9
- Barbalet, J. M., 83
- Barber, K., 247*n*6
- Barker, H. G., 241
- Barmeyer, N., 11
- Baron Cohen, D., 53
- Barresi, M., 154, 156–7, 158
- barricade, 78
- Barry, A., 24*n*5
- Barry, R., 211
- Barthes, R., 44
 Death of the Author, 44
- Bartsch, S., 250*n*52
- bassa danza*, 149
- Bauman, R., 86, 100. 1975
- Baumann, G., 157
- Baumgarten, A. G., 174
- BBC Radio 4
Today, 316
- Beacham, R. C., 250*n*52
- Becker, H., 174, 212
- Beeman, W. O., 86
- Beloved*, 71
- Benford, R. D., 84, 85
- Benjamin, W., 16
- Bennett, S., 244
- Bent, M., 150
- Berliner Festspiele*, 263
- Bermúdez, J. L., 176
- Bernard Shaw, G., 241
- Bertram, G. W., 190
- Bial, H., 248*n*11
- Billings, J., 238–9, 248*n*17
- Bishop, C., 8–9, 23, 41, 44–5,
 46, 48
- Bitler, J., 6
- Black Awareness Week 2013*, 53
- Black Panthers, 97
- Blackadder, N. M., 251*n*80
- Bloch, M., 155
- Blok, A., 151
- Bloody Sunday trials, 145*n*2
- Blythe, A., 305, 307–8
- Boal, A., 11, 40, 111–13, 119
- Bocock, R., 247*n*5
- Boff, L., 77
- Bogad, L. M., 85, 98, 100, 101*m*4, 5
- Boissevain, J., 163*n*10
- Boltanski, L., 172
- Borland, E., 12
- Born, G., 173
- Borras, S., 36
- Bou Khaled, I., 265–70
- bouleuterion*, 250*n*43
- Bourdieu, P., 172–4, 247*n*5
- Bourgault, L., 125*n*1
- Bourriaud, N., 8–9, 41, 43–5, 48,
 192–3, 226
- Bousselmi, M., 273–7
- Boyer, P., 175, 198*n*9
- Bratton, J. S., 248*n*11
- Brecht, B., 77, 120
- Breed, A., 11, 15–16, 24*n*2, 127,
 145*m*3, 4, 262
- Briggs, C. L., 100

- British Academy, 109
 Brodsky, J., 176
 Budelmann, F., 248n17
 Burdick, J., 84
 Burke, P., 83, 85, 86
 Butler, J., 6–7, 24n4, 86, 162n3
 Byam, D., 11, 125n1
 Byram, M., 107
- Cabelo Seco*, 57
 Calame, C., 231, 249n32, 250n41
 Caldart, R. T., 49
 Calder, W. M., 247n7
 calligraphy, 221n17, 348
 Canham, D., 305
 capital A ‘Art’, 203
 Carbone, D., 163n7
 Cargill, I., 38
 Carlinhos, 70
 Carlson, M. A., 226, 248n12
Carmina Burana, 315
 Cartledge, P., 249n31
 Casaburi, M., 150
Casinha da Cultura (Cottage of Culture), 54
 Castagna, E., 154, 156
 Castells, M., 91
 Celia, M., 67
 Cheeseman, P., 307
 Chiapello, E., 172
chimarrão, 33, 35
 chorality, 19, 229–32
 Chothia, J., 243, 251nn70, 72, 73, 81, 82, 84, 86, 252n87
 Christ the Saviour Cathedral, 21
Cine Coruja, 70, 71, 72
 Ciulli, R., 178, 181, 182, 183, 193, 195, 263
 civil disobedience, 88
 Clandestine Insurgent Rebel Clown Army, 101n4
 clandestinity, 205
Coarse Acting, 252n88
 Cohen, D. B., 13–14, 83
 Cohen-Cruz, J., 13–14, 15, 23, 177
Colbert Report, The, 294
 collaborative anthropology, 344
 collective decision-making, drama and, 232–4
- Collier, S. J., 24n5
 Collins, D., 249n32
 Collins, R., 85
 coloniality, 79, 343
Come Out Eli, 307
Comedie Francaise, 251n82
 Comerlato, G. V., 40
 Comic Relief, 109
 comparison, 347
 Connerton, P., 226, 235, 247n5, 248nn12, 16, 249n39, 250n49
 conscientisation, 40
 conspicuous inconspicuity, 210
 contemporary art, 44
 control, 157
 Cooke, B., 12
 Coote, J., 173, 174
 Cordeiro, S., 66–7
 Cornazano, A., 148
 corporal punishment, 115
 corporeal illicitness, 204
 Cossacks, 20
Could you Please Look into the Camera?, 272
Court Theatre, 243
 covertness, 205
 Cowan, J., 158, 174
 Craig, G., 241
 creativity, collaborative, 346–8
 criminal hooliganism, 290
 Csapo, E., 248n14, 249nn31, 33, 34
 Cull, L., 249n28
 cultural literacy, 74
 Culture Machine, The, 118, 119
 culture within social movements, 84–7
- Dagnino, E., 84
 Dalla Chiesa N., 155
 Danforth, L., 162n5
 Daniel, U., 198n4
 Danto, A. C., 192, 198n3
 Darnovsky, M., 82
 Das, V., 162n2
 Davis, J., 245, 248n19
 Davis, R., 96
 Davis, T. C., 245
 De Franco, L., 155
 De la Fuente, E., 44, 174, 175

- De Martino, E., 153, 162*n*5
 De Nora, T., 173
 de Oliveira, V. M., 51*n*5
 decoloniality, 336, 337, 343, 344
 DeLuca, K. M., 91
 democracy, 44
 Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), 139
 Denzin, N., 313, 314
 Desmarais, A.-A., 37
 dialogic intellectual learning, 116–19
 dialogic learning, 15
 Dickie, J., 150–1, 152, 155
 discipline, 192–7
 DIY culture
 of graffiti, 209
 of zine-making, 209
 Dobson, M., 248*n*18, 251*n*70
 documentary theatre, 21, 22, 184, 189, 248*n*18, 272, 305, 307, 309, 310, 312, 314, 318, 320
 verbatim techniques of, 21–2
 Domenico da Piacenza, 148–50
 drama
 and collective decision-making, 232–4
 theatre versus, 240–7
 Dufatanye Inshuti Z'Abana (DIZA), 139
 Duvignaud, J., 175
- Easterling, P. E., 251*n*70
 Ebreo, G., 148
 Eco, U., 44
 Open Work, The, 44
 Edelman, M., 36
 Eikhof, D. R., 198*n*4
 Elkins, J., 44
 Elswit, K., 252*n*104
 Eltringham, N., 133
 emancipation, 40
 embodied learning, 110–14
 embodied observation, 16, 148
 embodiment, 153
 transformative capacity of, 14–15
 embodying protest, 82–101
 culture and performance within
 social movements, 84–7
 Genoa G8 Summit, mobilisations
 surrounding, 87–9
 macro-level protest events, 90–3
 micro-level embodied
 performances, 93–5
 protest theatre, 96–8
 emotional learning, 120–2
 empathetic identification, 76
 empathy, 16
 narcissistic, 14, 77
 reflexive, 14, 77–8
 empowerment, 40
 Engel, T., 265
 Englund, H., 12, 122
 Epstein, B., 82
 Eritrea Ministry of Education, 109
 Escobar, A., 10, 11, 24, 84
 Estados Unidos da América, 50*n*3
 Etherton, M., 107, 125*n*2
 ethical imagination, 314, 315
 ethical self-cultivation, 40, 41, 43, 46, 171–99
 as politics of engaged theatre, 171–99
 Ethiopian Gemini Trust, 109, 117, 122
 ethnographic conceptualism, 338
 ethnographic representation, 21, 22
 ethnographic seduction, 316
 ethnographic sociality, 22, 306, 316–18, 324, 325, 327–9, 331*n*8
 ethnography
 verbatim, 305–31
 performance, 305, 312, 313–14, 318, 329, 349
 Euripides, 237
 European Union (EU), 137
 Évany, 61, 64–5, 67, 71
 Evil, Major Up, 101*n*4
 expressive individualism, 43
 Eyerman, R., 82, 85, 86, 100
- Faeta, F., 158
 Fagan, G. G., 250*n*52
 Fantasia, R., 82, 84
fantasma, 148
 Domenico da Piacenza, 148–50
 Faubion, J., 173
 Feldman, A., 95
 Fernandes, B. M., 37, 40, 47
 Fiestelmann, L., 280–1
 Fine, G. A., 82

- Finley, K., 300n10
 Fischer, M. M. J., 24n5
 Fischer-Lichte, E., 16, 24n3, 128–9
 Flacks, R., 82
 Fleck, J., 300n10
 Flickr, 210
 Flynn, A., 5, 14, 33, 38, 43, 49, 85,
 87, 172, 178, 197, 262
Folhas da Vida, 66, 67
 formalism, 204, 221n9
 fortress, 78
 Foster, C., 18, 83, 174, 197, 224,
 247n3, 248n15
 Foucault, M., 150, 161
 Franko, M., 150
 Freire, P., 11, 13, 40, 77, 119, 122, 124
 Fried, M., 226, 248n13
Frogs, 235
 Fromm, E., 77
 Fujimori, Alberto, 12
- G8 Summit
 mobilisations, ethnographic
 observations of, 87–9
 Gabriel, L., 171
gacaca, as social performance, 131–7
 actor, 132–3
 audience, 137
 collective representations, 133–4
 mise-en-scène, 135
 social power, 135–7
 symbolic production, 134–5
 Gagné, R., 230, 246–7, 248n17,
 249n31, 250n40, 252n107
 Gala, G. M., 160
 Gamson, W., 100
 Gandhian Bloc, 88
 Gardner, S., 176
 Gatt, C., 5, 16, 22
 Gatto, D., 163n7
 Geertz, C., 84, 101n1, 247n5, 249n36
 Gell, A., 206
Gelosia, 149, 150, 162n4
 General Assemblies, 91
 Genoa Social Forum (GSF), 87
 genocide, 15–16
 genre, 3, 203, 235, 247, 280–1, 292,
 294, 296, 306, 307, 320
 gerontocracy, 46
- Geuss, R., 174, 175, 198n4, 25n6
 Gilcher-Holthey, I., 198n4
Girlfriend Experience, The, 323, 327
 Gladwell, M., 212
 Globo, 34
 Godwin, E., 241
 Goethe Institute, 142
 Goffman, E., 24n4
 Goldhill, S., 232, 249n31, 250n45
 Goldman, M., 245, 252n96
 Gombrich, E., 192
 Art and Illusion, 192
 Goodwin, J., 82, 83
 Google Images, 210
 Gosse, E., 251n72
 Goulart, J., 37
 governance, affective performances
 of, 150–2
 Grabar, O., 221n17
 graffiti, 203, 204, 207, 220n1
 DIY culture of, 209
 practical, 208
 Gratteri, N., 150, 152, 155
 Green, J. R., 249n31
 Green, M., 12, 252n88
 Grief, 252n90
 Grotowski, J., 339
 guerrilla theatre, 96
Guilty Guilty, 207
 Gusfield, J. R., 82
- Habben, G.-E., 178, 263
 Habermas, J., 24n5
 Hall, E., 231, 237, 239, 245
 Hall, S., 95
 Hallaç, R., 179
 Halton, E., 95
 Hammond, J., 51n4
 Handelman, D., 90
 Haugerud, A., 85, 97, 99
 Heap, C., 243
Helen, 237
 Hemke, R., 3–4, 19
 Herzfeld, M., 162n2
 Heskins, T., 312–13
 Hess, H., 151
 Hetherington, K., 91
 Hirsch, E. L., 82, 84
 Hirschkind, C., 23

- Hobsbawm, E., 247n5
 Hohle, R., 82, 85, 95
 Holdsworth, N., 248n18
 Homeless Workers' Movement (MTST), 33
 Homer, 238
Homeworks Festival, 270
 Hopman, M. G., 230, 246–7, 248n17, 249n31, 250n40, 252n107
 House Un-American Activities Committee Hearing, 96
 Hughes, H., 300n10
 human rights, 16
 humanity, visualising, 122–4
 Humphrey, C., 174
 Humphreys, S., 136
 Hunt, S. A., 85
 Hutu, as perpetrator, 133
 Hymes, D., 86
- Ibsen, H., 251n72
Icyodupfanakiruta Icyub Dupfa (We Have Common Needs), 139, 140
 identity, political constructions of, 130–1
imaginário, 57
 imagination, 25n6
 ethical, 314, 315
 imagined community, 38–40
Importance of Being Earnest, The, 243
 improvisational theatre, 347
 independent public art, 203, 204, 220nn3–5
 individualism
 expressive, 43
 receptive, 43
 Infernal Noise Brigade, 97, 101n5
ingando, 131–2
Institution of New Type, 263, 264
 intentionality, 346
interessement, 315, 316
 internality, 192–7
 International Monetary Fund (IMF)
 2000 protest against, 92, 94
 Structural Adjustment Programmes, 107
 International Non-Governmental Organisations (INGOs), 107
International Theatrelandscapes, 263
 interruption, 75
 intervention, 75
Intimacy, 270–1, 272, 273
 intimate object, 79
Intimate Theatre, 243
 invariance, 204, 221n11
 invisibility
 performative, 204–5
 strategies of, 211–15
 urban, aesthetics of, 216–19
inyangamugayo (persons of integrity), 130, 134–6
Ion, 237
 Isambert, F., 175
 Ishyo Cultural Centre, 142–4
 Ismayr, W., 198n4
 Issa, D., 40, 41
- Jamar, A., 135
 James, H., 241
 Jasmine Revolution, 4
 Jasper, J. M., 82, 83, 85
 Jebb, R. C., 242
 Jefferson, T., 95
 Jenkins, H., 248n22
 Jeschonnek, G., 198n4
 Johannessen, H., 153
 Johnson, E. Patrick, 305
 Johnson, J. H., 174
 Johnson, K., 198n3
 Johnston, H., 82, 101n1
 judgement
 aesthetic, 175
 moral, 175
 Julia Minors, H., 249n28
 Juris, J. S., 14, 82, 85, 88, 91, 92, 94, 98, 99, 100, 262
- justice
 restorative, 16
- Kampwirth, K., 11–12
 Kant, I., 174, 176
 Kapchan, D. A., 86
 Kapferer, B., 153, 158, 161, 162n1
 Karemera, Carole, 142–4, 145
 Karriem, A., 39
 Kassing, G., 148
 Kay, C., 36
 Kerr, D., 107, 125n1
 Kidd, R., 107
 Kiguli, S., 109, 121, 125n3

- King Size*, 207
 Kirtsoglou, E., 153
 Klanderfans, B., 82, 101n1
 Klein, Y., 211
 ‘architecture of the air’, 222n21
 invisible paintings, 222n21
 Klepto, K., 101n4
 knowledge, 336
 subaltern, 343–4
 Kordax, 157
 Korom, F. J., 24n3, 198n2
 Kothari, U., 12
 Küchler, S., 212
Kumbaraci50, 178
- La Sobria*, 149, 150, 162n4
 Laclau, E., 44–5
 Laidlaw, J., 173, 174, 198n2
 Lambek, M., 12, 173, 176
 Landless Workers’ Movement (MST),
 1, 13, 33, 34, 36, 45
 Lara Junior, N., 39
 Laraña, E., 82
Latinhas de Quintal (Backyard Drums),
 70
 Latour, B., 24n5, 198n5
 law-as-performance, 16
 learning
 dialogic intellectual, 116–19
 embodied, 110–14
 emotional, 120–2
 modes of, 110
 Lee, C., 148, 149, 162n4
 Lehmann, H.-T., 227, 244, 248n21,
 252m93, 95
 Leigh, M., 252n90
 Leighton, F., 241
 Leinkauf, M., 214
 Lepenies, W., 198n4
 Lessig, L., 248n22
 Levada Center, 301n16
 liberation, 78
 liberation theology, 39
 Liefke, M., 171
Little Revolution, 330n8
 loaning system, 209
 Lock, M. M., 83
 Lombardi Satriani, 155, 162n5
London Road, 307
 Long, N. J., 5, 16, 21–2, 159
- Look At the Streets, This is What Hope
 Looks Like*, 272
 Löwy, M., 39, 40
 Luciel, 67
 Lüdtke, K., 162n5
 Lukes, S., 247n5
 Lundstrom, R. F., 251n69
 Lupo, S., 151
 Lutwama-Rukundo, E., 109, 125n3
 Lyon, M. L., 83
- Maaarch*, 267
 Machiavelli, N., 150
 Macintosh, F., 248n17
 MacIntyre, A., 175
 Macri, A., 151
 macro-level protest events, 90–3
 Madonna di Polsi, 154–5
 Mafia governance, 16–17
mafiusa, 157
 Magalasi, M., 107
 Magelssen, S., 248n11
 Mahmood, S., 23
 Makerere University, 109
 Manoela, 66, 67
 marginalisation, 13
 Marsden, M., 174
 Marshall, G., 240, 251m72, 74
 Martins, L. C., 40
mastru i ballu, 156–7, 159
 Matzke, A., 173, 199n10
 Mauss, M., 95
 McAdam, D., 82
 McConachie, B. A., 248n11
 McNee, M., 38
 Mda, Z., 11, 107
Medea, 238
 de Medeiros, E. C., 40
 Meineck, P., 239
 Melucci, A., 82, 91
Mémoire en Retraite (Memory in
 Retreat), 274–5
Merchant of Venice, The, 241
 Meszaros, G., 38
 Mgbako, C., 131–2
 micro-level embodied performances,
 93–5
 micro-utopias, 8, 9, 44, 45
Mighty Aphrodite, 250n56
 Miller, T., 300n10

- mindful body, 79, 80
Mingozzi, G., 162*n*5
Minicuci, M., 162*n*2
Miss Julie, 244
mística, 1–2, 13, 33–6
 expectant audience, 34, 35
 imagined community, 38–40
 multiple political subjectivities in,
 41–3
 rehearsed structure and
 spontaneous content, spaces
 between, 45–9
 relational aesthetics, 43–4, 48
 relational antagonism, 44–5
 script and spontaneity, 40–1
 stage, setting, 33, 34
Mitchell, J., 163*n*10
Mitchell, K., 243, 252*n*89
Mlama, P., 11, 177
modernity, 343
Modigliani, A., 100
Monsanto, 1, 38
moral judgement, 175
Moscow Trials, 20, 279–85
Moser, A., 12
Mothers of the Disappeared, 12
Mouffe, C., 4, 7–9, 44–5
Movement for Global Resistance, 88
Muehlebach, A., 153
Muelder Eaton, M., 175, 176
Mughisha, S., 134
Muhando Mlana, P., 107
Mukayiranga, L., 139
Muldoom, R., 111
Mülheim, 1
Mullarkey, J., 249*n*28
Müller-Schöll, N., 171
Munarim, A., 40
‘My House, My Life’, 54
Mystery play, 39
Nabiswera Progressive Secondary
 School, 109
narcissistic empathy, 77
Nascimento, C. G., 40
National Service of Gacaca Courts
 (SNJG), 133
National Unity and Reconciliation
 Commission (NURC), 132, 133
Navarro, Z., 40
‘Ndrangheta (Calabrian mafia) dance,
 147–63
 dramaturgical performances and
 emotive responses, 154–8
 governance, affective performances
 of, 150–2
 local power, 152–4
 ritual, 152–4
 supremacies of, 158–61
‘Ndrangheta war (1974–6), 151
new sociology of arts, 173–5
New Vic theatre, 307
Newey, K., 245
Nicaso, A., 150, 152, 155
Nicholson, H., 22
Nuffield Foundation, 109
Nuzzi, G., 151–2
Oberender, T., 263
Occupy Boston, 93
Occupy Oakland, 93
Oddly, 301*n*13
Oedipe Roi, 251*n*82
Oedipus, 238
Oedipus Tyrannus, 243
official development assistance
 (ODA), 137
Oguibe, O., 117–18
Ondetti, G., 37, 39, 47
Ong, A., 24*n*5
Onorata Società, 156
Opération Turquoise, 143
Oresteia, 234
organetto, 158
Organisation of African Unity, 108
Orion, J., 249*n*32
Orlandini, B., 162*n*2
Ornament and Order, 212
Osborne, R., 249*n*31
Osofisan, F., 12
otherness, 16
Ours Was The Fen Country, 325–6
Page 7, 269
Paget, D., 22
paintings, 205
Palumbo, B., 152–4, 160
Paoli, L., 150, 151
participatory action research (PAR),
 344

- participatory development, 14
 Passion play, 39
 Pavanello, M., 162*n*2
 Penal Reform International (PRI), 133, 137
 Peoples' Global Action (PGA), 88
 Pereira, A., 47
 Pereira, S. L., 37
 performance ethnography, 305, 312, 313–14, 318, 329, 349
 performance
 and crisis of representation, 344–6
 defined, 86
 development, change and, 10–13
 distinguished from performativity, 6, 172
 distinguished from ritual, 345
 invisible, 203–5
 law-as-performance, 16
 within social movements, 84–7
 performative anthropology, 335
 performative invisibility, 204–5
 'performing the field', 334
 Petras, J., 37, 38
 petty hooliganism, 290
 Philhellenic idealism, 248*n*15
 Pickard-Cambridge, A., 249*n*32
 Pilz, D., 173
 Pipyrou, S., 16–17, 147, 150–3, 155
 Pirandello, L., 179
 Pizza, G., 152–4, 160
 Plastow, J., 4, 11, 12, 107, 109, 111, 112, 117, 122
 play time, 312–18
 Plessner, H., 4, 17, 173, 175
 pluralism, agonistic, 7–8, 9
Poetics, 228–9, 236–7
 Polimeni, G., 154
 political, defined, 8
 political aesthetics, 261–3
 political performance, 2–3, 6–8
 mística, 41–3
 political subjectivity, 13
 political theatre, 3
 Pollack-Pelzner, D., 252*n*102
 Polletta, F., 82, 84
 Pollitt, J. J., 250*n*55
 Poole, D., 162*n*2
 Popular Theatre, 11, 177
 Population Media Centre, 125*n*6
 pornography, 291, 301*n*18
Post-Dramatic Theatre, 227, 244
 posters, 220*n*2
 Postlewait, T., 248*n*11
 Pottier, J., 130
 power, 114–16
 'Ndrangheta (Calabrian mafia)
 dance, 152–4
 powerlessness, 13
 primary schools pupil-centred
 training project, 109
 propaganda, 11
 Prosser, E., 39
 protest theatre, 96–8
 Protestant ethic, 84
 puritanism, 307
 Pussy Riot, 20, 21, 286–302
 audience, 294–7
 meaning of, 298–300
 Moscow Trials, The, 279–85
 prigovor, 289–92
 Tolokonnikova's final statement,
 292–4

quilombo, 57

 Rabinow, P., 198*n*2
 Rafael, 67
 Rancière, J., 226, 248*n*13
 Ranger, T., 247*n*5
 Rappaport, R. A., 206, 212
 Rau, M., 20–1
 *International Institute for Political
 Murder*, 20
 Moscow Trials, 20
 Rau, M., 280
 realism, 224, 311, 312, 320, 346
 reality effect, 318–24
 receptive individualism, 43
 Reckwitz, A., 173
 recognisability, 234–9
 Rede Globo, 50*n*4
 Redigi, 249*n*24
 Reed, T. V., 86, 95, 97, 98, 100
 reflexive empathy, 77–8
 reflexive identification, 76
 refractions of reflexivity,
 339–43
Regiethater, 198*n*4
 rehearsal process, 309

- rehearsed structure and spontaneous content, spaces between, 45–9
- Rehm, R., 237
- relational aesthetics, 8–9, 43–4, 48
- relational antagonism, 44–5
- relational reflexivity, 5–6, 10–11, 23, 24, 41
- rationality, 19
- reperformance, 234–9
- research theatre, 22
- resistant acts, in post-genocide Rwanda, 127–45
- ‘*Amakimbirane Yabaye Urwango*’ (*the conflicts become hatred*), 139–42
- gacaca*, as social performance, 131–7
- actor, 132–3
- audience, 137
- collective representations, 133–4
- mise-en-scène*, 135
- social power, 135–7
- symbolic production, 134–5
- Icyodupfanakiruta Icyub Dupfa* (*We Have Common Needs*), 139
- performatives of, 138–9
- political constructions of identity, 130–1
- restaging culture, 142–4
- restaging culture, 142–4
- restorative justice, 16
- Revermann, M., 228–9, 249n29
- revolution, 25n6
- Reyntjens, F., 137, 145n6
- Ricci, A., 163n6
- Richards, T., 339
- Ridout, N. P., 248n8
- rigitana*, 157
- rights of membership, 211–15
- ritual
- confederation, 212
- efficacy, 212–13
- ‘Ndrangheta (Calabrian mafia) dance, 152–4
- Rivers of Meeting* (Rios de Encontro), 74
- Rochford, E. Burke Jr., 84
- Rochon, T. R., 82, 84
- Rodriguez, S., 2
- Rorty, R., 7
- Rose, N., 198n2, 24n5
- Roseberry, W., 84
- Routledge, P., 91
- Royce, A. P., 157
- Rugoff, R., 221–2n21
- Ruhrorter project, 171, 183–9
- rule-governance, 204, 221n12
- Ruprecht, L., 252n104
- Rusagara, F. K., 131, 145n3
- Russian Federal Migration Service, 20
- Rutten, K., 173
- Rwandan Patriotic Front (RPF), 130, 132
- Ryerson, W., 125n6
- Ryklin, M., 280
- Rylance, M., 251n85
- Sabra*, 275–7
- sacral symbolism, 204, 221n13
- Sahlins, M., 213
- Salgado, S., 1
- Santa Catarina, 1
- Sauer, S., 37
- Sauer, W., 37
- Save the Children, 125n2
- Sax, W. S., 174
- Schacter, R., 5, 18, 203, 204, 221nn7, 17, 19
- Schäfer, H., 178, 181, 182, 183, 263
- Schechner, R., 86, 96, 177, 225, 247n4, 248n20, 249n30, 251n67
- Scheper-Hughes, N., 83
- Schieffelin, E. L., 86
- Schneider, J., 151, 152
- Schneider, P., 151, 152
- Schneider, R., 246, 252n106
- Schuler, C., 21
- Scodel, R., 250n51
- Scott, J. C., 127, 128, 138–9, 142, 144
- Searle, J., 24n4
- Sedgwick, E. K., 24n4
- Sennett, R., 213
- Sertdemir, Y., 180–1
- Settler’s Cooperative System (Sistema Cooperativista dos Assentados – SCA), 51n10
- sfida* (challenge), 160
- Shams*, 266
- Sharifi, H.
- Who are the aliens?*, 171
- Shaw, B., 240, 241, 243, 251n71
- Shaw, R., 133

- Shed, The*, 326
 Shelton, A., 173, 174
 Shepard, B., 95, 101n4
 Shirky, C., 248n22
 Shooting Stars, The, 251n76
 Shore, C., 162n2
 show trial, reinventing, 286–302
 side bet, 212
 Siegenthaler, F., 173
 Silverman, S., 163n11
Sin of Success, The, 274
 Slaney, H., 238, 250nn63, 64
Slow Down, 314
 'small asylums', 207
 Smith, A. W., 148, 149
 Smith, A. D., 21–2, 309, 331n10
 Smythe, P., 248n19
 Snow, D. A., 84
 Snow, R. P., 91
 social power, 135–7
 Soetaert, R., 173
 Sophocles, 238
 Soueif, A., 272
Soulamiya, 276
 sovereignty, 134, 152
 Sparti, B., 149, 150
 spectactor, 112, 125n5
 speech act, 138
 speech impediments, 128
 Spivey, N. J., 250n55
 spray cans, 203, 204, 220n2
 Srampickal, J., 12
 Stanislavski, C., 111
 Stédile, J. P., 40, 49
 Stegemann, B., 198n4
 Steinberg, M. W., 82, 84
 stencils, 204, 220n2
 stoke method, 307–12
Stoke's Top Talent, 308, 318, 327
 Strathern, M., 23
 Straus, S., 129
 street art, 203, 220n2
 Stuart Fisher, A., 22
 Svendsen, Z. A., 224, 243, 247n1
Sweet Tea, 305
 Swidler, A., 84

tableaux vivants, 242, 244
 tagging, 221n17

 Tak, H., 152
 'Taking place – Owning space', 208–9
tamburello, 158
Taming of the Shrew, 251n85
 Tarantella schermata, 157, 158, 160,
 161, 162n5, 163n7
 Tarantino, L., 160
 Tarrow, S., 84
 Taussig, M. T., 209
 Taylor, V., 85
 Tehching Hsieh, performance art of, 211
Telepathic Piece, 222n21
 territoriality, 157
 Tertsakian, C., 145n5
 Teubal, M., 37
Theater an der Ruhr, 2, 19, 172, 263–5
 actor and audience, 190–2
 aesthetics of, 176–8
 ethico-aesthetic technologies, 192–7
 internalised politics of, 178–83
 rehearsal, 186–8
 site-specificity, 185, 199n10
Théâtre de Beyrouth, 266
 Theatre for Development (TfD), 5, 10,
 11, 24n2, 107, 110, 177, 314
Theatre in the Arab World, 261, 262
Théâtre Libre, 243
Théâtre National Tunesien, 274
 Theatre of the Oppressed, 111
 theatre
 applied
 aesthetics of, 176–8
 problems with, 189–90
 in Arab world, 261–77
 documentary, 21–2, 184, 189,
 248n18, 272, 305, 307, 309, 310,
 312, 314, 318, 320
 drama versus, 240–7
 guerrilla, 96
 international collaborations, 263–5
 Popular Theatre, 11, 177
 protest, 96–8
 Regietheater, 198n4
 research, 22
 Theater an der Ruhr, 2, 19
 verbatim, 145n2, 306, 312, 317,
 323, 330n1
 Victoria Theatre, 307
 theatrical event, 18–19

- Thiong'o, N. W., 74, 80
 third world, 10
 Thompson, J., 199n10
 Tilly, C., 82, 85, 90, 93
 Tinius, J., 1, 5, 17–19, 25n6, 42, 171,
 173, 175, 189, 198n6, 199n7,
 9, 11
 Tiravanija, R., 9, 193
Today, 316
 traditionalism, 204, 221n10
 transformance pedagogy, 14
 triologue, 76
 Tricycle Theatre, 145n2
 Tripodo, D., 151
Truth Box, 20
 Tscholl, M., 173
 Tucci, R., 163n6
 Tullio-Altan, C., 151
 Turner, V., 90, 101n1, 162n1, 225,
 247n4
Twelfth Night, 251n85
- Uganda Intergenerational Women's
 Theatre Project, 112
- Ulrich, A., 155
 Ursini, G., 151
 utopia, 25n6
- Vail, C., 244
 Valente, G., 154
 van. Dienderen, A., 173
 Varese, F., 152, 155
Veiled Sentiments, 263
 Veltmeyer, H., 37, 38
 verbatim ethnography, 305–31
 limits of, 325–9
 play time, 312–18
 reality effect, 318–24
 stoke method, 307–12
 verbatim theatre, 145n2, 306, 312,
 317, 323, 330n1
 Vergara-Camus, L., 37
 verisimilitude, 310
 'V for Vendetta', 101n3
 Viale, G., 151
- Victoria Theatre, 307
viddanedha, 157
 Vince, R. W., 252n101
 Viscone, F., 157
 von Engelhardt, B., 2
- Waiting for Godot*, 269
 Waldorf, L., 129, 133
 Warden, S. K., 85
 Warstat, M., 198n9
 Welch, C., 37
 Wermke, M., 214
Whale Rider, The, 70
Where Have I Been All My Life, 308,
 310, 312, 316, 317, 318, 323,
 325, 327, 331n8
 Whittier, N. E., 85
Who are the aliens?, 171
 Wilde, O., 241–2, 243, 244
 Wiles, D., 249n31
 Williams, A. L., 109
 Williams, R., 74, 247n5
 Williams, S., 251n82
 Wilson, P., 248n15
 Wing-Davey, M., 309
 Winkler, J. J., 229, 249n32
 Wirth, U., 24n1
 Wolford, W., 38–40, 47
 women's intergenerational theatre
 project, 109–10
 World Bank
 2000 protest against, 92, 94
 Wright, S., 162n2
Writing Culture, 312
 Wulff, H., 153
- zambogna*, 158
 Zapatista movement, 11
 Zeitlin, F. I., 229, 248n30, 32,
 249n32
 Zimbabwe Association of Community
 Theatre (ZACT), 125n1
 Zimmermann, M., 171
 Zografou, M., 155
 Zolberg, V., 175