

# In Search of the Perfect Health System



# In Search of the Perfect Health System

Mark Britnell



palgrave

© Mark Britnell 2015

All rights reserved. No reproduction, copy or transmission of this publication may be made without written permission.

No portion of this publication may be reproduced, copied or transmitted save with written permission or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or under the terms of any licence permitting limited copying issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency, Saffron House, 6–10 Kirby Street, London EC1N 8TS.

Any person who does any unauthorized act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

The author has asserted his right to be identified as the author of this work in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

First published 2015 by  
PALGRAVE

Palgrave in the UK is an imprint of Macmillan Publishers Limited, registered in England, company number 785998, of 4 Crinan Street, London, N1 9XW.

Palgrave Macmillan in the US is a division of St Martin's Press LLC, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010.

Palgrave is the global imprint of the above companies and is represented throughout the world.

Palgrave® and Macmillan® are registered trademarks in the United States, the United Kingdom, Europe and other countries.

ISBN 978–1–137–49661–4

This book is printed on paper suitable for recycling and made from fully managed and sustained forest sources. Logging, pulping and manufacturing processes are expected to conform to the environmental regulations of the country of origin.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

***For Reuben and Beatrix Britnell***

*'The world is a book and he who does not travel reads only one page'*

St Augustine



# Contents

<i>Foreword from Lord Nigel Crisp</i>	ix
<i>Preface</i>	x
1 The Perfect Health System	1
<b>Country profiles</b>	
<b>Part 1: Asia and Australia</b>	<b>14</b>
2 Japan – Live long and prosper	15
3 South Korea – National pride and global ambition	20
4 China – Communist chimera?	25
5 Hong Kong – Demography, democracy and destiny	31
6 Malaysia – Reform some time, soon?	36
7 Singapore – Wealth and health	41
8 Indonesia – Largest single payer in the world	46
9 Australia – Advance Australia Fair	52
10 India – One country, two worlds	58
<b>Part 2: Middle East and Africa</b>	<b>63</b>
11 Qatar – Build and they will come	64
12 Israel – The best kept secret in global health?	69
13 South Africa – No more false dawns	74
<b>Part 3: Europe</b>	<b>80</b>
14 Russia – A distressed and distressing system	81
15 The Nordics – Decentralised welfare utopia?	86
16 The Netherlands – Competition and social solidarity	92
17 Germany – Doctor knows best	97
18 Switzerland – You get what you pay for	102
19 Italy – No longer ‘la dolce vita’	106
20 Portugal – The price of austerity	111
21 France – Neither Beveridge nor Bismarck but the Republic	116
22 England – The NHS. In place of fear	121
<b>Part 4: The Americas</b>	<b>129</b>
23 Canada – At the crossroads	130
24 The US – Let’s face the music and dance	136

25	Mexico – Unfinished business	143
26	Brazil – Order and progress?	148
<b>Part 5: Global Challenges</b>		<b>153</b>
27	Universal Healthcare – Triumph of political will	154
28	Same Problem, Different Country – The paradox of change	163
29	Clinical Quality – The more I know, the less I sleep	170
30	Value Walks – There is no healthcare without the workforce	178
31	Patients As Partners – Renewable energy	186
32	Climate Change and Sustainability – Our dirty little secret	194
33	Ageing – Every cloud has a silver lining	202
<b>Part 6: Conclusion</b>		<b>210</b>
34	Conclusion – We wouldn't start from here	211
	<i>Key Statistics at a Glance</i>	224
	<i>Index</i>	226

# Foreword from Lord Nigel Crisp

This book is a masterclass in brief and insightful commentary. If you have ever wondered what was distinctive about healthcare in Asia and the US, what sort of systems the advancing economies of Brazil and Mexico are developing, or how continental European systems differed from the UK and Scandinavia, this book will offer you a short summary of the facts, enlivened with the personal observations of the author. Like all foreign travel this book opens your eyes to new possibilities.

Mark Britnell has filled an important gap here. His unique experience in the public and private sectors, as a payer and a provider, and his great success in leading local, regional, national and global organisations gives his assessments and opinions particular weight and authority. People from different backgrounds will find this book fascinating for the way it illuminates the nature and complexity of healthcare. He shows that healthcare is a social and cultural construct: the health system of a country is a product of history, politics and culture quite as much as it is of science, education and resources. Changing or reforming the health system is therefore as much a social and cultural movement as a business and management challenge. You can't just transport a system from one place to another – but we can all learn from each other.

I have written elsewhere about what we can learn from the poorest countries, and this is represented here by the description of 'jugaad' innovation in India. However, it is the descriptions of countries in the East such as Hong Kong, Japan, South Korea and Singapore that are most intriguing to Western eyes. Their models have led to astonishing improvements: South Korea, for example, implemented universal healthcare in just 12 years and life expectancy has shot up to European levels. These countries are now, however, encountering the health problems of age and affluence. We can all surely learn from their experience and from how they mobilise to take on these new challenges. We can also, sadly, learn from the Russian experience described here in bleak but realistic terms.

Mark Britnell has all the heart and passion of a healthcare professional combined with the head of a man who leads a successful global enterprise. He infuses the book with his own humanity and offers insights forged through experience. Therefore we should all listen when he calls for collective action to tackle the challenges of healthcare and draws attention to the way other industries have collaborated in information technology, communications and much else to develop new and better services. It is a timely and important challenge to us all, and one we must rise to if healthcare is to become sustainable.

# Preface

---

'Like all great travellers, I have seen more than I remember and remember more than I have seen'. Benjamin Disraeli. Prime Minister of the United Kingdom, 1868 and 1874–80.

---

Over the past six years I have had the privilege of working in 60 countries on nearly 200 occasions. I have travelled the circumference of the world 70 times over and worked with hundreds of public and private sector organisations and governments of varying political persuasions. Quite literally, I have engaged with thousands of clinicians, executives and patients from every walk of life. It is an honour to have met so many inspiring people across the world who want to provide outstanding care to the patients and populations they serve.

Three years ago two friends and colleagues – Lord Nigel Crisp, Chair of the All-Party Parliamentary Group on Global Health, and Sir Robert Naylor, Chief Executive of University College London Hospitals – suggested that I capture, in a series of essays, my reflections on the countries I have worked in (but not clients because of confidentiality). This short book, written in a personal capacity, is the result and I am grateful for their encouragement. In between running a global health practice, visiting countries and client engagements, I have scribbled notes and ideas on planes, trains and automobiles at crazy times of the day and night (the only benefit of jet lag) and turned them into a series of observations.

As we all have busy jobs, each chapter can be read in the time it takes to drink a cup of coffee. This is not an academic treatise and has been written for practitioners that have an interest in policy, and policy-makers who want to support better practice. I also hope that patient groups and politicians dip in and out of this book, as well as students in global health.

The 25 country chapters selected for this book cover 80 per cent of the world's economic wealth, 60 per cent of its population and 50 per cent of its land mass. I have chosen these countries because they are both striking and familiar to me. The themes have been selected because of their global importance and the

extent they represent common concerns across health systems, countries and continents.

As KPMG's Global Chairman for Health, I prepare for each country I visit through detailed briefings which come in five parts: the political, social and economic context of the country; its healthcare policies and practices; the declared strategy of the system or organisation in question; the characters involved; and the possible solutions required. The job can be pulsating and the time zones punishing but the learning is unique. I have tried to be even-handed with the facts but these inevitably change as the world turns and health services move on. That said, the underlying foundations of most countries' health systems are sturdy and do not shift quickly; it is highly unusual for a country to dramatically change its health status, health service, funding or strategic thrust.

I would like to thank the clients and countries I have visited, the partners and staff in KPMG member firms and the 12-strong International Review Panel that commented on the first draft, which was underpinned by painstaking research from Tanvi Arora and her team in Delhi. I am grateful to Jonty Roland and Richard Vize for drafting and editing advice and could never have entertained the possibility of writing a book without my publishers, Palgrave Macmillan.

As St Augustine says above: 'The world is a book and he who does not travel reads only one page.' If you have got this far, I hope you are encouraged to go further.

Mark Britnell  
London, July 2015



---

# Asia and Australia

---

# 2 Japan

## Live long and prosper

There is an apocryphal Japanese story which tells of five old men sitting in their hospital beds talking about their well-being. They had been in hospital for the past 20 days and were wondering what had happened to their friend, the sixth patient on the ward, who wasn't in his bed that morning. 'Where is Keiichi?' one of the men asked, only for another to reply: 'He is feeling very unwell so he decided to go home.'

This Japanese joke has a grain of truth in it. The demographic forces at play in Japan are monumental. Standing at 83.3 years,<sup>1</sup> Japan has among the highest life expectancies on the planet, and the combination of longevity and a declining birth rate means the country is ageing rapidly. Over a quarter of Japanese people are over 65 and this group already accounts for more than half of Japan's health spending.<sup>2</sup>

Japan's total healthcare spending reached US\$479bn in 2013, making it the third-largest spender in the world after the US and China.<sup>3</sup> But healthcare only cost 10.3 per cent of GDP in 2013, around the middle of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries,<sup>4</sup> making it a cost-effective system.

Demography is placing great pressure on the country's creaking finances, as healthcare costs are forecast to outstrip GDP growth for the foreseeable future. This is compounded by a massive decline in population; it is estimated that Japan will shrink by 32 million people (26 per cent) from 127 million in 2015 to 92 million by 2055, by which time 40 per cent of the population will be aged 65 or over.<sup>5</sup> A smaller, older population producing less tax revenue in a sluggish economy is a dangerous combination for healthcare. Japan's ability to confront these challenges will offer important lessons for other developed countries.

## Kaihoken

Established in 1961, Japan's universal health insurance system, known as *kaihoken*, has contributed to sustained and dramatic improvements in life expectancy. The rapid increase, which began in the 1950s, has been attributed to a strong and growing economy, assertive public health policies which tackled communicable diseases, high literacy rates and educational levels, traditional diet and

exercise, and a stable political environment. Universal healthcare is a treasured principle among Japanese people.

*Kaihoken* has a number of distinctive features which have slowly percolated through the cultural, social, economic and political seams of Japan. The goal of universal healthcare was part of a wider drive to create a welfare state in the 1950s and 1960s, as the country moved decisively away from the militarised economy of the 1930s and 1940s. Every Japanese citizen can receive medical care from any hospital or clinic – public or private – with a uniform fee schedule for reimbursement applied nationwide through a system of universal medical insurance. The creation of universal insurance reflected the political desire for social solidarity rather than an ideology of competition and choice.

## Fragmentation

Fragmentation is a dominant feature of Japan's healthcare system, with myriad insurers and providers and weak clinical collaboration. There are around 3,500 health insurers,<sup>6</sup> divided into municipally run 'Citizens Health Insurance' schemes for the retired, self-employed and unemployed, and employer–employee schemes. All plans provide the same national benefits package, which covers hospital and ambulatory care, mental health care, drugs, home care, physiotherapy and most dental care. Individuals have no choice of health plan and there is little competition as the government sets the prices. It is widely recognised that there are too many health insurance schemes and many are too small to drive the changes which the healthcare system needs.

There is an element of cost sharing, with everyone having to make co-payments of around 10–30 per cent, with some exceptions for young children and poorer people. A safety net caps personal payments by limiting annual household health and long-term care costs.

The government's ability to control prices has been highly effective, reducing costs or marginally increasing them when rates are set every two years. Effectively, the Cabinet decides the total healthcare expenditure and the Ministry of Finance and Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare deliberate over the details.

There are over 8,500 hospitals and 100,000 clinics (defined as having fewer than 20 beds),<sup>7</sup> which provide around 13 beds per 1,000 people, triple the OECD average.<sup>8</sup> These facilities are overwhelmingly too small, uneconomic and lacking in critical clinical mass. These extraordinary numbers arose because, historically, facilities developed out of physicians' practices. This is reflected in the current ownership structure: around 80 per cent of hospitals are privately owned, and about half of those are in the hands of doctors.<sup>9</sup> All hospitals are not-for-profit. While private corporations and large employers, such as Hitachi, do own hospitals they are not run to provide a return to their shareholders. Almost three-quarters of hospitals operate at a loss.

With so many institutions and beds, staffing per bed is very low while Japan has four times the number of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanners and six times the number of computed tomography (CT) scanners compared with a similar European population.<sup>10</sup>

Doctors work long hours and there are serious shortages in rural areas, a problem the government is trying to tackle. Collaboration between doctors and specialists is often poor, with multi-speciality teams and clinics uncommon. In an effort to change this, financial incentives were introduced in 2008 to improve care coordination, particularly in cancer, stroke, cardiac and palliative care.

The system is undermanaged, with too little attention paid to organising patient access and developing efficient care pathways. There is no clear boundary between primary and secondary care, and no one acts as a gatekeeper between them, leaving patients free to consult any care provider – primary or specialist – at any time, with full insurance coverage.

This unrestricted approach to access is straining the insurance system and encouraging heavy use of healthcare. The average Japanese makes 13 visits to the doctor every year, more than double the OECD average, while the average length of hospital stay is nearly triple the OECD average.<sup>11</sup> Many patients are in the wrong place; people are using hospitals for routine care that could be provided elsewhere, while elderly patients are in acute hospital beds because they cannot get residential care.

The government has now embarked on a radical reform of facilities and pathways. The proposed changes are enormous: a drastic reduction in acute beds and a big increase in sub-acute beds; nursing care beds; long-term care facilities; and domiciliary care services. All these changes are to be implemented by 2025, along with a rationalisation of hospital sites to improve quality and efficiency.

## Bold Reforms in Long-term Care

A big step towards providing older people with the right sort of long-term care was taken in the year 2000, when the government initiated a mandatory long-term care insurance scheme (Kaigo Hoken) to help older people lead more independent lives. The scheme is effectively another pillar of social security alongside healthcare and pensions. It marked a recognition that the traditional approach of leaving families – overwhelmingly women – to provide care was inadequate, and that there was an important role for socialised care. Traditionally, public residential care has been stigmatised, commonly associated with Ubasuteyama (a legendary mountain where old women were abandoned) and implications of family neglect.

The scheme is run by the municipal governments, whose task of predicting demand for care funding is considerably simplified by the government setting the prices. The financing system includes money from central government and

contributions of about 1–2 per cent of income paid by anyone over 40. The total cost is around 2 per cent of GDP and it is widely admired, providing a comprehensive range of in-home, community and institutional care. However, cost increases of around 5 per cent a year between 2007 and 2011 have led to reforms to improve efficiency and place greater emphasis on prevention.

Mental health services have lagged behind other countries on issues such as patient rights and public understanding, and there has been a powerful stigma attached to mental illness. But this is now abating and increasing numbers of patients are seeking treatment. Japan has the largest number of psychiatric beds per head in the world – to some extent reflecting the degree of stigma – but in the past decade care has been moving into the community as acceptability has grown.

Healthcare quality is overseen by the 47 local government prefectures, which are responsible for drawing up ‘visions’ covering everything from prenatal care to disaster medicine. One could argue that this means decisions are made closer to community-level, but the small scale means that quality monitoring is underdeveloped, with an over-reliance on simplistic measures such as staff numbers. There is no systematic national collection of treatment or outcome data, and limited oversight of physician training. Prefectures also oversee annual hospital inspections, but rarely do these get to the heart of the patient experience.

Hospital accreditation is voluntary and undertaken largely as an improvement exercise; roughly a quarter of hospitals are accredited by the Japan Council for Quality Health Care. It does not reveal which hospitals fail.

Tackling health inequalities is undermined by a lack of clear leadership on population health. Since 2000, the government has championed a strategy badged as the National Health Promotion Movement in the 21st Century (Health Japan 21), which aims to prolong healthy life and reduce inequalities. It includes targets for healthy behaviours, diseases and suicides.

The Japanese diet seems to be a key factor in high life expectancy, with Japan having the lowest heart disease rate in the OECD and an obesity rate of around 3.3 per cent, roughly a tenth of that in the US.<sup>12</sup> However, obesity rates are creeping up as the traditional diet is influenced by Western habits, and the rates of some cancers are also climbing. Despite its ageing population, Japan has one of the lowest levels of dementia – and of Alzheimer’s disease in particular – in the developed world.

The economic importance of healthcare is underscored by its inclusion in Prime Minister Shinzo Abe’s plan to generate growth. Deregulation in healthcare is part of the ‘Third Arrow’ of the economic turnaround plan – structural reform – alongside fiscal stimulus and monetary expansion. The strategy aims to promote exports of medical technology and accelerate approval of drugs and devices. It is seen as a test of Abe’s commitment to deregulation. Just like in the UK, the role of the private sector in healthcare has proved controversial among doctors, with the Japan Medical Association warning that nothing must be done which undermines universal health insurance.

## Conclusion

Japan has made huge progress over the past 60 years. It recognised the value of universal healthcare to economic growth and social cohesion early on, and its system has contributed to dramatic improvements in life expectancy. It has been radical in developing a new social policy for its ageing population but its demographic pressures and slow economic growth present substantial challenges for the future. Like many other healthcare systems there is a broad consensus on the reforms that are needed but no clear path for making them happen. There is a crowded bureaucracy with numerous hospitals, insurers and prefectures involved but little clear leadership to drive through the reforms.

While the single-price-setting system has many advantages, the lack of innovation between fragmented payers and providers, coupled with the decentralisation of political power, make change difficult. Japan is a remarkable country with great resilience and ingenuity. The innovation and entrepreneurial flair that made it a global powerhouse will need to be applied fully to healthcare.

## References

- <sup>1</sup> World Bank statistics, Life expectancy at birth (World Bank, 2013).
- <sup>2</sup> Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications statistics (2014).
- <sup>3</sup> Economist Intelligence Unit, Healthcare report: Japan (EIU, March 2015), p. 4.
- <sup>4</sup> World Bank statistics, Total health expenditure (% of GDP) (World Bank, 2013).
- <sup>5</sup> National Institute of Population and Social Security Research (2015).
- <sup>6</sup> Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare figures (2014).
- <sup>7</sup> Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Survey of Medical Institutions (MHLW, 2013).
- <sup>8</sup> OECD health statistics, Hospital beds per 1,000 population (OECD, 2014).
- <sup>9</sup> Healthcare report: Japan (EIU, December 2014), pp. 2–3.
- <sup>10</sup> Healthcare report: Japan (EIU, December 2014), pp. 2–3.
- <sup>11</sup> OECD statistics, Doctors' consultations (number per capita) (OECD, 2011).
- <sup>12</sup> World Health Organization statistics, Body mass index  $\geq 30$  (% of population) (WHO, 2014)

# Index

- Aboriginal population. *See* Indigenous populations
- Accountable care organisations (ACOs), 137, 139
- Affordable Care Act (ACA). *See* Obamacare
- Africa  
 challenges and progress, 74  
 innovation in patient and community empowerment, 6–7  
 and mobile health technology, 219  
*See also* Qatar; South Africa
- Aged care  
 community-based, 204  
 family support, 203–204  
 Germany, 99–100  
 Hong Kong, 32  
 Japan, 11–12, 15–18  
 prevention and self-management, 205  
 Singapore, 43
- Ageing populations  
 China, 29–30  
 global challenges, 202  
 silver economy, 207–208  
*See also* Life expectancy
- Apollo Hospital, 58–59
- Apothecaries, 219–220
- Aravind Eye Care System, 58–59, 183–184
- Asia, healthcare funding systems, 212–213
- Austerity, Portugal, 111, 113
- Australia, 52–57  
 barriers to reform, 53–54  
 blended funding, 53  
 indigenous populations, 56  
 mental health and well-being, 5, 55–56  
 relative strengths and weaknesses, 52  
 state and territory reforms, 55
- Autonomy, importance to staff, 181–183
- Bland, Jeffrey, 218
- Brazil, 148–152  
 community services, 4–5  
 growth in private healthcare, 150–151  
 protests over healthcare, 149
- Buurtzorg, 180
- Canada, 130–135  
 disease burden, 133–134  
 Drummond Commission, 131–132  
 telehealth, 134  
 unilateral government action, 133
- Cancer  
 in Canada, 134  
 prostate, treated through NHS, 122, 186  
 rates in China, 29

- China, 25–30
  - aged population, 29–30
  - corruption, 27
  - funding and management needs, 27–29
  - huge reforms, 25–27
- Climate change
  - as global health threat, 194
  - link to illness, 196–197
- Co-payments
  - in Asia, 212–213
  - France, 9–10, 118
  - Germany's failed experiment, 100
  - rise in Portugal, 113
  - rise in Scandinavia, 89
- Community health workers, Mexico, 146
- Community services, Brazil, 4–5
- Consolidation, Dutch hospitals, 95
- Contestability, 88–89
- Corruption
  - in China's health system, 27
  - India, 61
- Costs
  - catastrophic, in India, 59–60
  - cutting in Italy, 107–109
  - cutting by overworking staff, 179
  - excessive, in United States, 137
  - expected reductions in US, 140–141
  - growing pressures in Netherlands, 94
  - health expenditures by country, 224
  - Hong Kong, 31–32
  - public–private funding, 53
  - reducing through patient activation, 190–191
  - South Africa, 78
  - Switzerland, 102–104
- Data, power of, 184. *See also* Outcomes
- Death. *See* End-of-life care; Life expectancy
- Dementia, 202
- Denmark. *See* Nordics
- Developing countries, need for frugal innovation, 158–159
- Disease burden
  - Canada, 133–134
  - Indonesia, 49–50
  - link to climate change, 196–197
  - South Africa, 77–78
  - See also individual diseases*
- Doctors
  - in Israel, 71
  - and responsible autonomy, 181–182
  - shortages. *See* Healthcare worker shortages
- Drummond Commission, 131–132
- Dutch Health Care Authority, 93
- Ebola, incentive to find vaccine, 212
- Economic crisis
  - France, 116
  - impact in Italy, 107–109
  - Russia, 81
  - See also* Eurozone crisis
- Elder care. *See* Aged care
- Electronic records, Singapore, 9, 44

- End-of-life care
  - dignified, 221–222
  - helping patients plan, 189–190
  - See also* Aged care
- England, 121–128
  - changes in government, 126–127
  - NHS, 121–123
  - reorganisations, 125–126
  - strength of institutions, 127
  - values and universal healthcare, 3
- Error rates, 172
- Eurozone crisis
  - Italy, 107
  - Portugal, 111, 113
- Fee-for-service, 213–214
- Financial crisis. *See* Austerity, Portugal; Economic crisis; Eurozone crisis
- Finland. *See* Nordics
- Five Year Forward View, 124
- Foreign workers
  - Hong Kong's barriers to medical professionals, 33
  - keeping out of Indonesia, 50
  - SMLs in Qatar, 67–68
  - Switzerland, 105
- Forward View. *See* Five Year Forward View
- Fragmentation
  - Canada, 132
  - Japan, 16–17
  - Nordics, 89–90
  - South Africa, 76
  - Switzerland, 103–106
  - within and between health organisations, 164
- France, 116–120
  - emphasis on choice, 9–10, 117–118
  - health inequalities, 119–120
- NHI, 117
  - resting on past accomplishments, 116
- Frenk, Julio, 143–144
- Funding systems, overview, 212–215
- Geisinger Health System, 167–169
- Genomics, and personalised medicine, 218
- Geriatrics. *See* Aged care
- German, Yael, 72
- Germany, 97–101
  - aged care, 99–100
  - decentralised system, 97–98
  - medical-industrial complex, 98–99
  - statutory health insurance (SHI), 97
- Globalisation, as driving force toward universal healthcare, 154–155
- Global warming. *See* Climate change
- Global wealth pyramid, 155
- Great Britain. *See* England
- Healthcare, role in climate change, 195–196
- Health inequalities
  - Australia, 56
  - Brazil, 150
  - Canada, 133
  - China, 28
  - France, 119
  - Germany, 100
  - India, 60
  - Israel, 73
  - Italy, 109
  - South Africa, 74–75
  - worldwide, 154
- Healthcare workers
  - empowering, 181–183

- motivation and improved management, 217
- recognizing value, 178
- recruiting and managing, 184–185
- training in Qatar, 65–66
- See also* Paraprofessionals
- Healthcare workers, community, 146
  - building quality culture, 172–173
  - high-reliability, 171–172
- Healthcare worker shortages, 178
  - affecting universal care, 160–161
  - Brazil, 149–150
  - China, 28
  - effects on workers, 179
  - Hong Kong, 33
  - South Africa, 75–76
  - Switzerland, 105
- Health leaders, perspective on change, 166–167
- Health maintenance organisations (HMOs), Israel, 3–4, 69–71
- Health promotion
  - and aged care, 205
  - Nordics, 5–6, 87
  - and sustainability, 199
- Health systems
  - barriers to quality, 166–167
  - high-quality, 164–165, 167–169
  - hospitals as, 205–207
  - learning from each other, 12
  - “perfect,” 2
  - rankings, 1–2
  - systems of funding, 212–213
- Helios hospital chain, 173
- HIV/AIDS
  - Indonesia, 49
  - Russia, 83
  - South Africa, 77
- Hong Kong, 31–35
  - high-cost efficiency, 31–32
  - private insurance, 34
  - shortage of medical professionals, 33
  - strategic plans, 33–34
- Hospital Authority, Hong Kong, 31–34
- Hospitals
  - bed shortage in Israel, 71
  - challenges in Netherlands, 94–95
  - dominance in Qatar, 66
  - as health systems, 205–207
  - overcapacity of beds, 83, 107–108
  - transforming into health systems, 21–22
- Hospital Standardised Mortality Rate (HSMR), 176
- Human resource management, 184–185
- Hunt, Jeremy, 126
- Iceland. *See* Nordics
- IHH Healthcare, 38–39
- India, 58–62
  - best and worst, 58–59
  - catastrophic costs, 59–60
  - corruption and mismanagement, 61
  - innovation, flair and speed, 8
  - mandated aged care, 203
- Indigenous populations
  - Australia, 56
  - Canadian, 133–134
- Indonesia, 46–51
  - disease burden, 49–50
  - malnutrition and stunting, 46
  - plans for universal healthcare, 47–48

- Inequalities. *See* Healthcare inequalities
- Information, communications and technology, Singapore, 8–9
- Innovation  
 frugal, 158–159  
 Mexico's low-cost, 145–146  
 patient and community empowerment, 6–7  
 and speed in India, 8  
*See also* Technology
- Insurance, Qatar phasing in, 67–68
- Integrated care, 214–214
- Intermountain Healthcare, 174
- Israel, 69–73  
 HMOs, 3–4, 69–71  
 hospital bed shortage, 71  
 primary care, 3–4  
 technological sophistication, 70–71
- Italy, 106–110  
 cutting costs, 107–109  
 hospital overcapacity, 107–108  
 universal care, 106
- Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional (JKN), 47–48
- Japan, 15–19  
 aged care, 11–12, 15–18  
 fragmentation, 16–17  
*kaihoken*, 15–16  
 mental health care, 18  
 reforms in long-term care, 17–18
- Kaihoken*, 15–16
- Kaplan, Gary, 182
- Life expectancy  
 among impoverished Indians, 59  
 Australia, 52, 56
- China, 29
- France, 119–120  
 global rise, 202, 211  
 Hong Kong, 31  
 Indonesia, 47  
 Israel, 69  
 Japan, 11–12, 15–16, 18  
 Malaysia, 36  
 Mexico, 145  
 Nordics, 86  
 Portugal, 114  
 Russia, 83  
 Singapore, 41  
 South Korea, 21  
 Switzerland, 102  
 United States, 136  
*See also* Ageing populations
- Long-term care, reforms in Japan, 17–18
- Malaysia, 36–39  
 conflicts of interest, 39  
 medical tourism, 38  
*1Care for 1Malaysia*, 36–38  
 private healthcare system, 38–39
- Malnutrition and stunting, Indonesia, 46
- Managed competition, Netherlands, 92–93, 95
- Management, China, 27–28
- Manifesto of the Concerned GP, 95
- Mayo Clinic, 174
- Medical personnel. *See* Doctors; Healthcare workers; Paraprofessionals
- Medical research, Qatar, 64–65
- Medical tourism, 38, 146
- Medications, compliance, 189

- Medicine, Traditional Chinese, 29–30
- Medisave, MediShield and Medifund (3Ms), 42
- Mental health  
 Australia, 5, 55–56  
 Japan, 18
- Mexico, 143–147  
 healthcare systems, 143–144  
 low-cost innovation, 145–146  
 medical tourism, 146  
 public health challenges, 145  
 quality improvement, 144–145
- Mobile health, 218–219
- Montefiore, 139
- Münch, Eugen, 98–99
- Narayana Health, 58
- National Electronic Health Record programme, 9, 44
- National Health Insurance (NHI), South Africa, 75
- National Health Service (NHS), 3, 121–123, 126
- National Health Strategy, Qatar, 64, 66
- Netherlands, 92–96  
 Buurtzorg, 180  
 cost pressures, 94  
 managed competition, 92–93  
 unsustainable hospitals, 94–95
- Network Medicine*, 98
- Noncompliance, 189–190
- Nordics, 86–91  
 contestability, 88–89  
 differences in centralisation, 87–88  
 fragmentation risks, 89–90  
 health promotion, 5–6
- Norway. *See* Nordics
- Nurses  
 responsibilities within Buurtzorg, 180  
 shortages. *See* Healthcare worker shortages  
*See also* Healthcare workers
- Obamacare, 137–138
- Obesity  
 Australia, 56  
 Germany, 100  
 Israel, 73  
 Japan, 18  
 Mexico, 145  
 and physical inactivity, 199  
 Qatar, 67
- Obstetric care, Mozambique, 182–183
- Ontario, 130–132
- Outcomes  
 need for measuring, 170–171, 174–175  
 relevant versus irrelevant, 175–177
- Paraprofessionals  
 Mozambique tecnicos, 182–183  
 offsetting doctor shortages, 76  
*See also* Healthcare workers
- Patient Activation Measure, 191
- Patient care  
 culture of respect, dignity and safety, 187–188  
 physical versus emotional, 187
- Patient choice, France, 117
- Patient and community empowerment, in Africa, 6–7
- Patient death, avoidable, 170
- Patient empowerment, 186–189  
 Africa, 6–7  
 effects, 215–216

- Patients  
   desired care versus delivered care, 216  
   enhancing value to, 180  
   involving in service design, 188–189  
   noncompliance, 189–190  
   self-care, 190  
 Personalised medicine, and genomics, 218  
 Political will, and universal healthcare, 155–156  
 Population, and life expectancy, 202  
 Portugal, 111–115  
   austerity, 111, 113  
   rise of co-payments, 113  
   SNS, 111–112  
 Poverty  
   as driving force toward universal care, 154–155  
   and lack of medical care in India, 59–60  
   *See also* Economic crisis  
 Prevention. *See* Health promotion  
 Primary care  
   backbone in Brazil, 148–149  
   Israel, 3–4  
 Private insurance, Hong Kong, 34  
  
 Qatar, 64–68  
   dominance of hospitals, 66  
   medical research, 64–65  
   phasing in insurance scheme, 67–68  
 Quality improvement  
   building culture of quality, 172–173  
   Mexico, 144–145  
   need for systematic standards, 170–171  
   staff management and development, 217  
   Switzerland, 104–105  
   *See also* Reforms; Standardisation  
  
 Reforms  
   Australia, 53–55  
   barriers to high-quality care, 166–167  
   Brazil, 150–151  
   Canada, 131–132  
   China, 25–27  
   Dutch, 93  
   England, 124–126  
   France, 119  
   Hong Kong, 33–34  
   Israel, 72–73  
   Italy, 107–108  
   long-term care, 17–18  
   Mexico, 143–145  
   Netherlands, 95–96  
   *1Care for 1Malaysia*, 36–38  
   Portugal, 112–113  
   Qatar's National Health Strategy, 64, 66  
   Russia, 83–84  
   Singapore, 42–44  
   South Africa's NHI, 75  
   Switzerland, 104  
   United States, 136–139  
   *See also* Quality improvement; Standardisation  
 Research, engaging patients, 191–192  
 Research and development, US, 7–8  
 Retail clinics, US, 139, 219–220  
 Russia, 81–85  
   economy, 81  
   life expectancy, 83  
   private sector boom, 84

- Seguro Popular, 143–144
- Servizio Sanitario Nazionale (SSN), 106
- Serviço Nacional de Saúde (SNS), 111–112
- Silver economy, 207–208
- Singapore, 41–45
  - electronic records, 44
  - information, communications and technology, 8–9
  - mandated aged care, 203–204
  - reforms, 42–44
  - 3Ms, 42
  - transformation of care models, 206–207
- Smoking rate
  - Indonesia, 49
  - Israel, 73
  - South Korea, 22
- South Africa, 74–79
  - disease burden, 77–78
  - doctor shortages, 75–76
  - fragmentation, 76
  - universal healthcare, 74–75
- South Korea, 20–24
  - hospitals versus health systems, 21–22
  - speedy universal coverage, 20–21
- Standardisation
  - central role, 173–174
  - and systematic redesign, 183–184
- Statistics, key, 224–225
- Statutory health insurance (SHI), Germany, 97–98
- Steele, Glenn, 168–169
- Stockholm model, 88
- Support ratio, 202
- Sustainability
  - health and planet, 197–200
  - See also* Climate change
- Sweden. *See* Nordics
- Switzerland, 102–105
  - funding, 10–11
  - transparency and quality improvement, 104–105
  - universal and decentralised health-care, 103–104
- Technology
  - in aged care, 204–205
  - and health promotion, 199
  - Israel, 70–71
  - and mobile health, 218–219
  - Singapore, 8–9, 44
  - telehealth, 134
  - wearable, 220–221
- Telehealth, in Canada, 134
- Thabrany, Hasbullah, 47
- 3Ms, 42
- Uninsured Americans, 136–137
- United States, 136–142
  - needed reforms, 136–139
  - research and development, 7–8
  - rise of ACOs, 137, 139
  - uninsured citizens, 136–137
- Universal healthcare
  - arguments for, 155–156
  - Brazil, 148
  - breadth before depth, 157–160
  - Canada, 130
  - funding systems, 212–213
  - Germany, 97
  - global need, 211–212
  - and health worker shortages, 160–161
  - history, 156
  - Israel, 69
  - Italy, 106

- Universal healthcare (*continued*)
- kaihoken*, 15–16
  - Mexico, 143–144
  - NHS, 3
  - plans for Indonesia, 47–48
  - Portugal, 111–112
  - rising consensus, 154
  - South Africa's journey, 74–75
  - South Korea, 20–21
  - Switzerland, 103–104
- University Hospitals Birmingham, 173
- Virginia Mason Medical Center, 182
- Wealth inequality, and universal healthcare, 154–155
- World Economic Forum, 163
- World Health Organization (WHO), 2000 ranking, 1, 116