

Contents

Acknowledgements x

General Editors' Preface xi

Introduction	1
Dramaturgy	5
The Dramaturg	8
UK Dramaturgy 1990–2016	10
The Structure of the Book	15

PART I

1 What is Dramaturgy?	21
A Slippery Term	21
A Historical Perspective: Shaping a Dramaturgical Practice	23
Dramaturgical Analysis: Form and Content	29
The Weave of Performance	33
Dramaturgy and Context	38
2 Brecht's Productive Dramaturgy: From Emblem to 'Golden Motor'	42
The 'radical transformation' of the Theatre	42
Brecht's Dramaturgy: Re-contextualizing the Theatre	46
Brecht and the Dramaturg	59
Brecht as Dramaturg: Early Dialogues	61
Brecht's Dramaturgs: Later Dialogues	66
3 Names and Identities: Political Dramaturgies in Britain	74
'Radical Populism' or 'Carnival Agitprop'	76
'Brechtian Dramaturgy' and 'State-of-the-Nation' Plays	80
'Socialist Feminism' and a New 'Dialectical Dramaturgy'	84
'Radical Feminism' and the Discussion of a 'Women's' Dramaturgy	86

‘Cultural Hybrids’	89
‘New Dramaturgy’	94

PART II

4 The Dramaturg and the Theatre Institution	101
What is a Dramaturg?	102
Politics and Artistic Policy	107
The Dramaturg as Programmer	114
The Dramaturg as Educationalist and Go-between	117
The Freelance Dramaturg	120
The Multiple Roles of the Dramaturg	123
5 The Dramaturg and the Playwright	125
Definitions and UK Working Practices	125
The ‘German’ Dramaturg and the New Play	128
The Literary Manager and the British Playwright	131
The Dramaturg as Mentor or ‘Buddy’	138
Writer Development and the Theatre Industry	140
European Influences on UK Practice	144
Conclusion	147
6 The Production Dramaturg	150
In Between	150
From Theory to Practice	153
Creating a Context	154
Pre-production	158
Attending Rehearsals	160
Casting – Shaping a Dramaturgy	163
The Dramaturg as Intermediary and Collaborator	164
The Production Dramaturg in Dance: An Emerging Field	169
Conclusion	170
7 The Dramaturg and Devising: Shaping a Dramaturgy	172
An Emerging Role	172
Beginnings	177
The Dramaturg as Map-maker and Compass-bearer	180
On-the-Spot Dramaturgy	184
Conclusion	185

PART III

8 Millennium Dramaturgies	191
The Real and the Represented	192
Getting the ‘now’ into the Text	194
The ‘spatial turn’	199
Interactivity and New Technologies	202
Conclusion	206
<i>Afterword</i>	208
<i>Bibliography</i>	213
<i>Index</i>	231

Introduction

When we wrote the introduction to the first edition of this book in 2007, our tone suggested some hesitancy at the complexity of the task, and the difficulty of arriving at sufficiently inclusive definitions. Nevertheless, we hoped to open up a discussion around dramaturgy and the book certainly made a contribution in that respect. At that point, there was little literature on the concept of dramaturgy or the practice of the dramaturg, and none in English that embraced the diversity and complexity of a dramaturg's practices, in the UK or elsewhere. This meant that our book had to broaden the field discussed, for example, in Luckhurst's excellent study of the literary dramaturg (2006a), while at the same time providing some sense of definition and orientation. This expansion might have been frustrating to those seeking certainty, while at the same time failing to include everything worthy of note, but it was a way to acknowledge diversity and suggest some of the many different directions dramaturgical thinking and practice might take.

The situation in 2016 is rather different, and the discussion of dramaturgy far more wide-ranging. Just as the practice of dramaturgy may be even more various, and the concept still more widely applied, the English-language discourse has burgeoned and there now exists an impressive list of publications that concern the practice and concept of dramaturgy. As a result, the expanded field is now better understood, while it is possible to find detailed analysis of many specific aspects of dramaturgy. The question of identity and definition has receded, if it has not been definitively answered, and the place of the dramaturg in the theatre-making process is widely acknowledged, even if not ubiquitous.

We have ourselves contributed to these developments, editing an issue of *Contemporary Theatre Review* on 'new dramaturgies' (2010)

and publishing individually on devising and dramaturgy (Behrndt 2011) and on dramaturgy and architecture (Turner 2015). Other journal issues devoted to dramaturgy include *Performance Research* 14.3 (Gritzner, Primavesi and Roms 2009); *Maska*, XVI, 131-2 (Kunst and Založnik 2010); *Canadian Theatre Review*, 155.1 (Hansen, Callison and Barton 2013); *Theatre Topics*, 24.3 (Hopkins 2014). Of these, it is notable that both the *Maska* and the *Canadian Theatre Review* collections are focused on movement and dance, while the *Performance Research* issue looks at a broad range of practice across contemporary European theatre, dance, performance, media art and pedagogy. D. J. Hopkins notes in the introduction to the *Theatre Topics* edition that unlike the journal's 2001 issue on dramaturgy, 'all of the many submissions emphasized expansions or refinements of perspective and innovations on practice rather than questions of professional identity' (Hopkins 2014: x).

Edited books have focused on 'Relational' dramaturgies (Pewny, Callens and Coppens 2014); 'Queer Dramaturgies' (Campbell and Farrier 2015); 'Dance Dramaturgy' (Hansen and Callison 2015); and 'New' dramaturgies (Eckersall, Beddie and Monaghan 2011; Trencsényi and Cochrane 2014), or on an expansive range of approaches (Romanska 2015). It has been particularly interesting to see the emergence of literature on dance and the dramaturg, mirroring an important aspect of contemporary dramaturgical practice (Kunst 2009; Profeta 2015), as well as books that offer an introduction or overview (Proehl 2008; Chemers 2010; Irelan, Fletcher and Dubiner 2010; Trencsényi 2015).

This expansion of the field seems to be continuing on a global scale, since there have been multiple workshops, symposia, and conferences worldwide. We identified over thirty such events, advertised in English and focused on dramaturgy in the period 2007–16, predominantly in Europe (including the UK) and North America, but also in Israel, Morocco, New Zealand, Hong Kong and Singapore. No doubt there are many we have missed as this research was not exhaustive. What differentiates these events from those taking place previously is a shift away from definition and introduction and towards sharing a range of perspectives and practices.

If, therefore, it was important in 2007 to 'break some ground', that ground has now been broken. It is to be hoped, however, that this book still serves as a useful way of opening that field to the newcomer, provides a snapshot of UK practice at the beginning of this period, and provides some useful observations for those more familiar with the concept of dramaturgy.

We were previously writing at a time of exciting expansion and uncertain direction for the UK dramaturg. That expansion has not yet halted. More dramaturgs are now working in the UK theatre and not merely in literary management positions, but in devising, dance, live art and curation.

In our previous introduction we commented on German dramaturg Thomas Frank's visit to the UK in 2006. 2014 saw Frank, then founding director of Brut Wien (he has since been appointed artistic director at Schauspiel Leipzig), contributing to a Symposium on 'new dramaturgies' convened by Tim Harrison and Helen Medland from the Basement, Brighton, which aimed to provoke discussion of structural models for dramaturgical practice, among producers, curators, dramaturgs and academics from the UK, Belgium and Austria. Here the dramaturgical input of producers came under interrogation, though with some reservations about the power imbalance in the producer-artist relationship. Differences between UK funding structures and those of mainland Europe were discussed, including the difficulty of finding young producers with dramaturgical sensibility. These discussions were important enquiries into the relationships between infrastructure, funding and practice, and the dynamics of the role, rather than attempts to define an unfamiliar term or profession.

Though the concept of dramaturgy and the practice of the dramaturg are still more widely accepted and established across mainland Europe than in the UK, the difference is not as stark as it used to be. A recent article in the industry paper, *The Stage*, suggests that 'dramaturgy is finding its place in the British theatre' and emphasizes the dramaturg's 'analytic function', while stressing that the role can vary across contexts (Anderson 2015). Trencsényi identifies the significance of Akram Khan's use of a dramaturg, Carmen Mehnert, for his production, *ma*, in 2004, as the first acknowledged input of a dramaturg into a major work by a UK choreographer and this role has continued to develop (Trencsényi 2015: 215). The major challenge continues to be a lack of funding and rehearsal time that tends to militate against the role of the dramaturg. Structures of production still tend to be more conducive to the work of the dramaturg in play development, which continues to be a significant feature of dramaturgical practice. Nevertheless, the variety of roles, if not the multiplicity of opportunities available for the dramaturg, is one of the interesting aspects of theatre in the UK.

The distinction we make between the role of the 'dramaturg' and the related term 'dramaturgy' continues to be an important one. Indeed, we have often felt that it is the more significant in a context where

dramaturgy is often developed without a dramaturg present. Indeed, the term ‘dramaturgy’ can be very widely applied and though our focus is on theatre practice we have, as mentioned above, since extended our discussion of dramaturgy in relation to dance, cross art-form work, new media and architecture.

The origins and nuances of the terms ‘dramaturgy’ and ‘the dramaturg’ are discussed in more detail in Chapter 1, but it remains helpful to give an initial summary here. Though the words are linked, ‘dramaturgy’ can be separated from the ‘dramaturg’: while the term ‘dramaturgy’ applies to the general composition of a work, the ‘dramaturg’ is a specific, professional role.

The ‘dramaturgy’ of a play or performance could also be described as its ‘composition’, ‘structure’ or ‘fabric’. Where the term ‘dramaturgy’ is used to describe an activity – ‘doing dramaturgy’, or even, in Lynn Thomson’s North American variation, ‘dramaturging’ (Thomson 2006: 4) – this activity concerns an engagement with the work’s composition. ‘Doing dramaturgy’ usually implies a discussion of compositional strategies and effects; for instance, those students undertaking non-vocational courses in ‘dramaturgy’ are likely to be engaged in some form of performance analysis. The word ‘Dramaturging’, ‘shaping the dramaturgy’ or ‘dramaturgical work’ may all imply an engagement with the actual practical process of structuring the work, combined with the reflective analysis that accompanies such a process. Peter Reder suggests that ‘dramaturgy temporalizes events, a process that leads to a structure that orders sense and thus enables encoding/decoding of meaning’, yet importantly, he stresses that the artwork’s meaning does not precede this putting into process: ‘Dramaturgy is visualizing and embodying by performing the structure itself; it emancipates itself from an idea on paper by placing the idea into time and space, giving it a body’ (Reder 2010). Tim Etchells, who similarly considers dramaturgy as a ‘positioning of information’ that is ‘not just temporal but also physical’, draws attention to the internal dynamics, the ‘ontological tension’ between elements, and the affective tangles and loops that complicate performance (2009: 72–3). Dramaturgical work is, as Reder suggests, ‘an art-form, not a science’, far from being formulaic (2010).

It is clear that there can be composition, performance analysis and even performance-making, without the necessary involvement of the dramaturg. We can therefore discuss the term ‘dramaturgy’ in isolation from the professional role of the dramaturg. If we do not make this distinction, we seem to be saying that works without dramaturgs have inadequate or even non-existent dramaturgies: this would clearly be a

ludicrous claim. Indeed, it is impossible for a play to be entirely *without* a dramaturgy, any more than it can be without structure or compositional strategy.

On the other hand, the professional dramaturg specialises in an understanding of dramaturgy and is able to bring analytical and compositional skills to assist in all aspects of the theatre-making process. The role has its traditions, histories and established practices, as well as its ongoing debates and developments. Given the dramaturg's expertise, an interest in the term 'dramaturgy' runs parallel with an interest in the profession and it is logical to address both terms within the same volume, while avoiding the confusion that arises if we assume that dramaturgy relies, or should rely, on the presence of the dramaturg.

Dramaturgy

If we are to discuss the role of the dramaturg in Part II, we will need to bring to it what is meant by the word 'dramaturgy', which is the dramaturg's field of expertise.

Essentially, we are using the word to describe the composition of a work, whether read as a script or viewed in performance. While it is a term for the composition itself, it is also a word applied to the *discussion* of that composition. In other words, when we are engaged in (doing) dramaturgy, we are looking at the composition or dramaturgy of a work.

Perhaps it is important, however, to clarify the need for a dynamic and fluid conception of what 'composition' means in the context of performance: rather than attempting to pin down the meaning of a work, once and for all, dramaturgy tends to imply an observation of the play in production, the entire context of the performance event, the structuring of the artwork in all its elements (words, images, sound and so on). It also requires awareness that theatre is live and therefore always in process, open to disruption through both rehearsal and performance. If the dramaturg attempts to sketch a 'map', perhaps this will always be in pragmatic and tentative relation to the territory of the performance event. Thus there is a dynamic, contextual and, indeed, political dimension to dramaturgical practice.

This tension between the fixity of concept and the fluidity of performance is illuminated by referring to the ways in which the word 'dramaturgy' has been used in other contexts. For example, Erving Goffman (1959) has used it to discuss social behaviours, the roles

we play in communicating with others and in presenting ourselves to the ‘audience’ that surrounds us. Goffman suggests that our encounters may be considered as scripts, including not only our words, but also our gestures and actions. Like all scripts, our social interactions include an element of structure, rehearsal and repetition, enabling recognition and referencing a social order. Yet we also own them and experience them as unique moments of encounter. Goffman’s theories have been applied to diverse social contexts, from his own application of them to asylums and prisons, to more recent discussions. Charles Edgley’s edited volume, *The Dramaturgy of Social Life: A Dramaturgical Handbook* (2013), brings together perspectives from across the social sciences, including the dramaturgy of dissent, museums, barbershop singing, transsexuality, sadomasochism, social media and many other instances in which relationship and individuality are performed. Nor is this collection an isolated instance: others have commented on political dramaturgy (Raban 2009; Alexander 2012); the dramaturgy of policing (O’Neill 2015); urban planning (Rannila and Loiveranta 2015); consumer research (Schultz 2012); or health (Edwin 2016; Freeman et al. 2016; Tembeck 2016).

‘Dramaturgy’ need not only apply to dialogue and interpersonal relationship, but can also apply to relationships with the world of objects and the more-than-human world (Abram 1997). Architects have related it to the ways in which buildings suggest the possibility of a range of uses, and are ‘completed by events’ (Fretton 1999: 15). As architect Bernard Tschumi puts it, architecture is ‘seen as the combination of spaces, events and movements ... our experience becomes the experience of events organized and strategized through architecture’ (Tschumi 2000: 176). So, for Tschumi, conceptual, ‘ideal’ space exists in dynamic relation to lived space. While Tschumi does not use the term ‘dramaturgy’ (though he does use other performance-related terms), he is clearly describing architecture as a dramaturgical practice, one in which there is a deliberate deployment of structure in order to provoke or enable live events. Nick Kaye comments, ‘Tschumi provides for an architecture ... always *in performance*’ (Kaye 2000: 52, italics in original). One might suggest that if Tschumi looks at the performance of architecture, the theatre dramaturg looks at the architectures of performance.

Though we can use the terms ‘performance analysis’ and ‘dramaturgy’ more or less interchangeably, perhaps the former, with the roots of ‘analysis’ in the Greek word ‘to unloose’, implies a sense of unravelling the different strands of a work, while the latter, closely linked to the

idea of ‘composition’, the bringing together of parts, implies an attempt to view them in relation to each other.

Elinor Fuchs’ useful article, ‘EFs visit to a Small Planet: Some Questions to Ask a Play’ (Fuchs 2004), encourages us to view the performance work as a whole, as an organic world with its own rules, systems and coherence. It provides an evocative model for a dramaturgical approach. The dramaturg’s ‘toolkit’ for discussing dramaturgy often produces suggestions for ways of summarizing and encapsulating overall structures. Dramaturg Kitte Wagner suggests that she asks general questions of a developing production, such as, ‘What is the attraction? What are the dynamics? What are the elements? ... How many “postcards” are there? I call them postcards ... I mean, just strong, visual images’ (Wagner 2005: 14). There are numerous other examples of ways in which, as Anne Cattaneo puts it, the dramaturg is ‘someone who keeps the whole in mind’ (Cattaneo 1997: 6).

As theatre and performance have changed through history, dramaturgies have also changed. For much of the history of theatre and drama, theatre has been defined by the play and dramaturgy has often been defined according to play structures. As Peter Szondi demonstrates in his *Theory of the Modern Drama* (Szondi 1987), shifts in thinking about theatre have occurred when shifts in playwriting have occurred. And, indeed, play texts are often our most complete records of performances. However, we must remain aware of the limitations of the discussions of literary texts, since we cannot rely on words alone to describe or to predict the dramaturgy of a theatre event. Patrice Pavis, for example (Pavis 2003: 21–3), warns that in analysing performance, we cannot assume that the script exists in causal relationship to the event: the performance must be considered as an independent occurrence, which cannot be explained as a realization of authorial (or directorial) intention. The dramaturgy of the play text is therefore something rather different from the dramaturgy of the play in performance, which is always situated in space and time. Nor are playwrights the only theatre-makers who influence dramaturgy, since all theatre and performance-makers whose work provokes or suggests new compositional strategies are involved in changing dramaturgies.

Why do dramaturgies change? A short answer might be to say that the ways in which we see and read the world change, challenging or augmenting the perceptions that we have previously held. If each performance work can be viewed as its own ‘planet’, it is true that, as Fuchs suggests, we might want to be careful about considering it as a portrait of the actual planet that we inhabit; however, it does give us a vision of

another possible world, another way of revisioning experience. When we return to our own ‘planet’, we may become more aware of aspects we had not previously noticed, or simply aware of the differences between the world we inhabit and that of the performance. We therefore suggest that the development of new dramaturgies is invariably political, in that they provoke us to look at reality through new eyes.

The Dramaturg

The role of the dramaturg, as opposed to the concept of dramaturgy, has its roots in the practice of mainland European theatre and, specifically, that of Germany. By the time the term arrived in Britain, it already had a long history behind it, including its transformation in Germany from a largely critical, literary role, as occupied by G. E. Lessing, to the more practical engagement of the ‘production dramaturg’ instigated by Brecht and others. Dramaturgs across Europe continue to debate their function and possible functions within the theatre, while being employed across a range of performance forms and theatre development activities.

Therefore, although the concept of the ‘dramaturg’ is not unfamiliar to those making theatre in mainland Europe, this is not to imply that the role of the mainland European dramaturg has some monolithic unity. On the contrary, the very fact that the role is well-established gives scope for a wide variety of dramaturgs to work in diverse ways in different theatre and performance contexts.

The conventional role for the German dramaturg is within the management structure of a state-funded theatre. Here, the *Chefdramaturg* works with the artistic director to plan the repertoire, a job that includes research into new plays (that is, identifying suitable works for production, rather than writer development) and selecting established works. The dramaturgical department (the number of dramaturgs varies, depending on the size and funding of the theatre) may also have responsibility for aspects of casting, for writing programme material and liaising with marketing departments. A ‘production dramaturg’ may be allocated to specific productions, working with the director in rehearsal, probably offering advice on textual changes, researching contextual information, offering comment on the evolving work and so on. This practical involvement is a more recent development, evolving through the work of Piscator and Brecht, to become well-established during the 1970s.

However, this description does not exhaust the dramaturg's practice within the German theatre, nor practice across other European countries. Dramaturgs are working as curators and creative collaborators within experimental theatre and dance, while the development of new writing, not always the German dramaturg's principal concern, is the subject of debate and diverse projects and programmes in both mainland European theatre and higher education.

The dramaturg's role in developing new writing is best established in North America, though it is also, perhaps, most widely debated in the US, for that very reason. In North America, as in the UK, dramaturgs began to appear when regional subsidized theatres began to be established in the 1960s. The dramaturg was initially seen as part of a European model of state theatres, with no well-established tradition of the American dramaturg. However, the first literary manager in America, modelled on British lines, appeared well before this and Zelenak argues that, earlier in the twentieth century, playwrights like those of the Provincetown Players in effect acted as dramaturgs – a tradition that was then replaced by the Yale model of the dramaturg as 'in-house critic' (Zelenak 2003: 105). Yale-trained Ben Cameron, of the Theatre Communications Group, admits that the early rhetoric was 'problematic', encouraging a rather self-important view of the dramaturg as 'critic' or 'conscience of the theatre', a tone he now regrets (Friedman 2002: 4).

Because of this importation of the dramaturg's role from the European theatre, the 'German' model of the dramaturg is better established in the American theatre than in the UK, and the US dramaturg sometimes works across the whole repertoire, rather than focusing solely on new writing. However, the American dramaturg continues, in many contexts, to be closely associated with new writing, partly because of the previous legacy of literary management and partly because significant early employment of dramaturgs took place at the Eugene O'Neill Theater Center, with an emphasis on developing new North American work.

Dramaturgy has also become a recognized and widely taught subject within higher education in the US, since the Yale School of Dramaturgy was established in 1977. In the UK, Masters level programmes are still offered at a few universities, although few use the term in the title: Glasgow and Central School of Speech and Drama seem now to offer the only courses that do so, and they combine it with 'Playwriting' and 'Theatre Criticism' respectively. This represents a slight decrease since 2007 and reflects a shift towards more generic

MA courses that can attract students with diverse interests. Those that mention dramaturgy in their overall description tend (like the former) to combine it with a focus on text, for instance as a specialist pathway on ‘Writing for Performance’, ‘Playwriting’, ‘Text and Performance’ or ‘Creative Writing and Scriptwriting’ (Goldsmiths; Royal Holloway; RADA; East Anglia). Wider-ranging courses such as Kent’s MA in ‘European Theatre’ and Roehampton’s MA in ‘London’s Theatre and Performance’ refer to the practice of dramaturgy as a lens or specialism. The word appears to be used more widely in undergraduate modules than in previous years, although with varying options available from year to year, this is hard to ascertain with confidence. It appears that in a competitive climate, the word ‘Dramaturgy’ does not attract post-graduate students (at least, not in large numbers), but that the practice and concept are now more likely to be introduced into broader courses by lecturers with an understanding and interest in the subject.

While the role of the dramaturg within the UK appeared around the same time as the American dramaturg, with the establishment of state-subsidized theatres, it is only in the last twenty that the UK dramaturg has begun to appear in significant numbers and as the subject of sustained debate. Though Kenneth Tynan’s role at the National Theatre offered an example during the 1960s and there have been gradually increasing numbers of significant dramaturgs or, more usually ‘literary managers’ in theatres since then, it is only since the end of the 1990s that we have seen the rapid professionalization of the role, with the number of literary managers doubling in the first five years of the new millennium (Luckhurst 2006a: 200). Discussion of its breadth and diversity is still more recent, and really gained momentum only in the last decade. When we wrote our introduction in 2007, we commented on the initial surge of energy and interest, and asked what was particular about the 1990s and early 2000s. These observations may now be considered in relation to the subsequent eight years of development.

UK Dramaturgy 1990–2016

At the beginning of the 1990s, new writing in the UK seemed to be at a low ebb. The production of new work dropped to 7 per cent in the latter half of the 1980s, with audiences preferring productions of classics, adaptations and musicals, partly due to the funding cuts instigated by the Thatcher government. However, from 1992 onwards, new writing seemed once again to be on the rise, in both quality and popularity.

David Edgar gives a number of reasons for this: the opening of two works by American writers, Tony Kushner's *Angels in America* and David Mamet's *Oleanna* in 1992; the continuing successes of writers such as David Hare and Caryl Churchill in the UK; the growing self-help movement among writers, including the establishment of writers' organizations; the trend set by Stephen Daldry (at the Royal Court) in directing new writing; television's 'virtual abandonment of the single play' and writers' discovery of 'a subject' in the crisis of masculinity (Edgar 1999: 26–8).

Though Edgar does discuss government funding of the arts in the late 1990s (with mixed feelings), he does not accord state funding much direct credit in either instigating or sustaining this resurgence. The relationship of both funding and development to the actual quality of new work is, of course, a questionable and chequered one. Jeff Teare, then director of Made in Wales, writes lugubriously in 1999 that the profession of the dramaturg might well begin to appear 'if the American model of plays being developed without actual production takes further hold in the UK due to decreasing funding and increasing embourgeoisification of our "New Labour" theatre ...' (Teare 1999: 5). Teare had good reason to be bitter with Arts Council Wales, whose 'New Writing Initiative' effectively forced the closure of Made in Wales in 2000. However, while the mode and extent of government-funded development of new writing can be criticized, new writing development did increasingly appear as a funding priority for Arts Council England as the 1990s progressed, with a corresponding growth in funding opportunities, particularly with the introduction of the National Lottery in the mid-1990s. This impetus continued into the new millennium, though the emphasis shifted from 'new writing' to 'new work'. In 2000, Arts Council England commissioned the 'Boyden Report', which prescribed an investment in new work as a way to revive the English theatre. The Arts Council responded with another document, 'The Next Stage', which confirmed that: 'The production of new work will not only support the development of a generation of new voices but also benefit the industry as a whole, creating more jobs and reaching wider audiences' (Arts Council England 2000: 8), promising, among other things, new writing, new work, workshops and new literary departments.

However, in March 2007, it was announced that the Arts Council would receive a 35 per cent cut in the coming year, partly because of the Olympics but also because sales of lottery tickets were in decline (see Gardner 2007a). Despite this, the 1990s boom in new British play-writing and the professionalization of literary management that grew

alongside it, or perhaps, followed it, clearly played a significant role in the rise of interest in the dramaturg.

Indeed, Luckhurst writes that, ‘There can be no doubt that the growth of officially appointed literary managers and dramaturgs since the 1960s results principally from market demand for new plays’ (Luckhurst 2006a: 202). Here, Luckhurst yokes the two terms, ‘literary manager’ and ‘dramaturg’. However, we suggest that the interest in the role of the *dramaturg* – a role potentially distinct from ‘literary manager’ – was influenced by a number of other factors besides the emphasis on new writing.

These factors, while possibly less dominant, both enriched and confused discussions of the term taking place in the early years of the millennium. During this period, we saw increasing numbers of Britain’s live artists and experimental devising companies working with dramaturgs, while still others worked with someone in an analogous position, sometimes as an integral part of a creative team, sometimes as an ‘outside eye’ and sometimes combining the role of producer and creative collaborator.

This might partly have reflected the fact that companies had become increasingly pan-European, gaining a significant proportion of their funding and recognition from European theatres and festivals. This engagement with European practice brought them into contact with dramaturgs-as-curators, such as Thomas Frank, Bettina Masuch, Matthias Lilienthal and others. At the same time, we noticed, in 2007, a growing interest in the role of the creative producer within the UK, which had some clear overlaps with that of certain mainland European dramaturgs (this is discussed in more detail in the introduction to Part II). In some instances, the person working as ‘creative producer’ might otherwise be defined as the dramaturg.

These developments had little to do with an interest in new plays and instead highlighted the dramaturg’s role as producer, as creative collaborator and/or as someone who brings textual, compositional skills, but does not ‘author’, or necessarily even write any part of the performance work.

As we reflect on these developments in 2016, one might have expected the dramaturg’s role to have been diminished by a harsh funding climate. However, while it is still the case that budgets do not always accommodate the appointment of a dramaturg, we seem to see more dramaturgs appearing in production credits (this might, of course, represent an increase in the use of the term, rather than a change in rehearsal practices). The role of dramaturg as producer may also be one

of naming, rather than a shift in policy, although, for example, in the description of ‘dramaturg and creative producer’ Petra Jane Tauscher at Manchester’s HOME, the dual role of co-curator and artistic collaborator/adviser is quite specific.

It has been urged that writer development cannot be treated as a substitute for new productions, and that if approached too systematically, can tend towards homogenisation (for example Eldridge in Trueman 2012): both these dangers are potentially exacerbated by cuts in funding for new writing, where theatres become less able to take risks on full productions and unprecedented formal approaches. However, dramaturgs and artistic directors have tried to respond to these fears through innovative programming and support that empowers writers: for instance, the Royal Court’s ‘Open Court’ season in 2015, when writers were figuratively ‘handed the keys’ of the building to curate a festival of new work, workshops and events, while in 2009 the Bush theatre launched ‘Bush Green’ as an online community for writers to share their work and discussion.

One might argue that there is a sense in which dramaturgical skills, if not the dramaturg, have come to prominence in contemporary arts practice, in an emphasis on the development of new creative strategies and networks. To give one example, New Local Government Network (NLGN), drawing on Arts Council England figures, suggests that local authority cuts represent the biggest challenge for arts funding in ‘a time of austerity’; NLGN therefore proposes that organizations should form new partnerships, in work which contributes to broader social priorities (health, wellbeing, tourism, the environment) (Harvey 2016: 16). The integration of arts practices into other social initiatives is, it is suggested, one approach to diversifying funding sources and making new partnerships that are mutually supportive. Naturally, this tendency for arts practice to converge with broader concerns entails a difficult negotiation and imaginative conceptual work if one is to avoid instrumentalizing art as a tool of government policy. The dramaturgical skills of the producer are foregrounded in such developments, even where there might be no funding for the dramaturg as such. One example of a creative response to such imperatives is offered by Exeter’s Kaleider, which is premised on the idea of a studio which brings together ‘academics, climate scientists, technologists, creatives (including, but not limited to, artists) and young people to face some of the world’s greatest challenges’ (Kaleider 2016). Kaleider’s approach is anything but prescriptive, but instead engages live performance with urgent concerns around space and resources, in collaboration with others.

Despite such positive examples, the emphasis that the Arts Council places on the development of new institutional structures is uneasily reminiscent of Fredric Jameson's recent observations of the rise of the curator as the 'allegorical personification' of the institution in arts practice (2015). This observation might suggest that there could be an unpalatable side to a role that is sometimes closely connected with articulating an institutional rationale. Despite clear advantages, as suggested by Jacqueline Bolton, who proposes that the institutional dramaturg provides a means to 'unify artistic and administrative functions' and to provide the (internally developed) justification for state subsidy (2009: 200), fears around the dramaturg's implication in structures of power, policy and finance were part of the anxieties rightly expressed at discussions of the dramaturg in 2005–7. These included, for instance, debates at 'What is Dramaturgy?', Birmingham Repertory Theatre, 2005; at 'Dramatrix 05', London Oval Theatre, 2005; and even at 'European Dramaturgies in the Twenty-First Century', Hessische Theaterakademie, Frankfurt, 2007. The location of the dramaturg in municipal arts buildings also implicates the role in the politics of space, where such buildings can prove to be merely the spaces of the cultural elite. Kully Thiarai, now Artistic Director at the National Theatre Wales, proposed in a keynote speech to 'Dramaturgies #4', Melbourne:

As dramaturgs ... we spend time exploring what is present in the text and what is missing. I'd like to suggest that it's time to apply some of this thinking into a broader analysis of cultural provision. Let us not just look at what is present. Let us also ask ourselves what is missing? Who isn't part of this conversation? (Thiarai 2011: 19)

Thiarai seems to be suggesting a role for the dramaturg as provocateur, rather than as the 'gatekeeper' occasionally suspected by playwrights and others.

In another context, it could be interesting to look at Jameson's anxieties around the role of the curator in relation to the politics of space and historicity in contemporary art, to identify some of the challenges for the dramaturg-as-curator in the context of such art curatorial dominance. This is as much a discussion of artistic form and the role of 'postproduction' activities in contemporary practice, as it is about institutional power – although the two are not unconnected. However, we should not assume that the dramaturg has the same kind of influence and status that we find among curators in the visual arts, nor that such dominance would go uncontested by theatre artists. In practice, the majority of dramaturgs

are more concerned with facilitating work and navigating existing structures than in taking powerful positions of artistic leadership.

If it is common in UK practice for confusion to arise about the mere distinction between ‘dramaturg’ and ‘literary manager’, it is small wonder that there is much more to unravel in relation to the complexity and range of the dramaturg’s role in its current practice, and the relationship or distance between the designated ‘dramaturg’ and the dramaturgical activity of those with different titles. While it might be tempting to advocate for the professional dramaturg in the face of incomprehension and modest job prospects, an equivalent understanding of the problematics of dramaturgy will be essential, indeed urgent, in the next decade.

The Structure of the Book

As outlined earlier, the two main sections of the book focus on ‘dramaturgy’ and ‘the dramaturg’ respectively. We begin by discussing the concept of ‘dramaturgy’, since an understanding of this is essential for a grasp of the dramaturg’s role and area of expertise.

The first chapter begins by exploring the various ways in which theorists have conceived of the term ‘dramaturgy’. While Aristotle’s *The Poetics* must be considered as perhaps offering European culture’s earliest example of dramaturgical writing, G. E. Lessing, in the mid-eighteenth century, is responsible for attaching the term to a particular critical practice (identifying a play’s dramaturgy). Since Lessing, others have attempted to redefine and clarify the word. We reference, for example, Eugenio Barba’s articulation of dramaturgy as the ‘weaving of the performance’s different elements’ (Barba 1985) and Patrice Pavis’s definition of it as an articulation of the performance’s compositional, ‘ideological and aesthetic mechanisms’ (Pavis 2003: 7–8). We also discuss what is meant by a ‘dramaturgical’ reading or analysis.

An awareness of dramaturgy as a contextual, and therefore a political, practice informs the book throughout and is the main focus of the next two chapters. Chapter 2 examines Brechtian dramaturgy, considering the ways in which Brecht emphasizes dramaturgy as an engagement with the context of a work. This can be seen most clearly in his strategy of adapting or responding to existing literary works. This emphasis on context also produces the need for a practical, engaged and industrious dramaturg, developing the role in important ways.

We give more space to Brecht than to any other individual dramaturg or theorist because his practice is absolutely central to the modern ideas

of dramaturgy that we are exploring in this book. While it is possible to examine UK dramaturgy in relation to a history of writer development, in our view, this tends to narrow the field. Brecht's work has been key to the development of contemporary dramaturgy and the dramaturg.

Chapter 3 looks at politicized dramaturgies in the UK from the 1960s to the early years of the new millennium, including a discussion of agitprop and socialism, feminist dramaturgies and cultural hybridity. We aim to demonstrate that, as for Brecht, changing political objectives produce new dramaturgical strategies. Our examination of recent work leads us to the recognition that postmodernist performance might necessitate a reconsideration of our desire to categorize and group political dramaturgies and, indeed, such work challenges our modes of political analysis.

The second part of the book focuses on the professional role of the dramaturg. Much of this section is based on interviews with contemporary dramaturgs. The majority of these interviews took place in the UK, Germany or the US in 2005–6, reflecting the focus of this book and the main areas of influence on UK practice. We also interviewed a number of dramaturgs from other European countries, including Denmark and Switzerland, in order to obtain a wider perspective. In selecting dramaturgs to interview and discuss, we attempted to represent a wide range of approaches, both in the UK and in other contexts. Our aim here is to give a sense of how the role works in practice, and we give a description of the dramaturg's activities, drawing on our interviews, as well as published accounts. It is our hope that this may prove more useful than an abstract theorization of the role, which could still leave its application uncertain.

In the UK, we particularly focused on those with the job title of 'dramaturg', in order to gain a sense of how the idea of the dramaturg (as distinct from 'literary manager', 'artistic associate' and so on) might be influencing the practice of theatre. In Germany, the US and in other European contexts, we interviewed dramaturgs working across dance, new writing, experimental theatre/live art performance and higher education, in venues ranging from large state-subsidized theatres to small studio venues, festivals and colleges, in order to get a sense of the variety of ways in which the role of the dramaturg has developed.

Part II begins by discussing the relationship of the dramaturg to the arts institution, examining the political tensions surrounding this position and referring to the history of the dramaturg, particularly in the German theatre. In the following chapters, we examine the role of the dramaturg within specific working relationships. Chapter 4 discusses

the dramaturg's work in relation to the playwright. This is the best-known use of the dramaturg within the UK, yet there are many different ways of working with writers and as many different views on the actual and ideal roles of the dramaturg. We discuss the dramaturg's approach in terms of mentoring, the developing new writing industry and the influence of the 'German' dramaturg. Chapter 5 looks at the role of the 'production dramaturg', discussing the various ways in which the dramaturg works with the director to develop a specific production and drawing examples from scripted theatre, devised theatre and dance. Chapter 6 examines the dramaturg in the devising process, with reference to dramaturgs working in the UK theatre, discussing the different challenges that face the dramaturg working in this context.

These last two chapters reflect the emergence of dramaturgy in relation to devising and other arts practices, such as multi-disciplinary work, dance and choreography. This changing landscape was the reason why, in 2007, we made 'millennial dramaturgies' the focus of Part III. This final section attempts to identify some dramaturgical developments of the early twenty-first century, including the interest in different 'levels of reality', the interest in narrative, work taking place in non-theatre spaces, interactive performances and the use of modern technologies (the interactive computer game). We suggested that all these developments posed new challenges and new lines of enquiry for the dramaturg. This section was indeed only a prelude to further investigations, by ourselves and others. We now conclude the book with an afterword in order to comment on the ways in which new dramaturgies have continued to emerge and develop.

Throughout the book, we have avoided notes, preferring to keep references within the text, provided they do not disrupt the flow of the discussion.

Dramaturgical thinking and practice continue to develop very quickly, overtaking research and publication. In the last month of writing alone (April 2016), we have noted discussions on dance dramaturgy at Dance UK and Dance South East, a Symposium for the Asian Dramaturg's Network in Singapore and a feature on Dance Dramaturgy in Southeast Asia in *Tanz Connexions*, a webzine hosted by the Goethe-Institut, Germany, all reflecting the dance world's current interest. We hope we have provided a way in to future discussions concerning dramaturgy, its theorization and practical applications. Above all, perhaps, we hope to encourage a sense of the diversity of the field. Dramaturgy is as diverse as performance-making itself; therefore, like performance, it is forever open to being rethought and reinvented.

Index

- Absurdism, 32
 acting style, 23–4, 45, 55–6, 85
 actor-dramaturgs, 197, 207
 actors, 53, 66, 165, 167–8, 195, 202
 and dramaturgy, 51–2, 56, 80–1, 141, 163,
 173 *see also* improvisation
 actor training, 28
 Adams, Matt, 205
 adaptation, 47, 56, 63, 106–7, 114–5, 178–9,
 see also Brecht and adaptation
 Adler, Henrik, 69–10, 71–2, 76, 103,
 112–13, 118, 165
 agitprop, 55, 77, 79–80, 82–13, 84
 Agitprop Street Players, *see* Red Ladder
 Aldwych Theatre, London, 133
 alternative theatre, British, 76, 80, *see also*
 dramaturgy and politics
 American theatre, 104, 129, 151, *see also*
 under individual names
 Andrews, Alison, 148
 Andrews, Benedict, 152
 Antonelli, Dancoyote, 204
 Apples and Snakes,
 Writers on the Storm, 91
 Archer, William, 125
 Arden, John, 75–6
 Aristotle, 15, 25–7, 50, 56, 196
 Arnolfini Gallery, Bristol, 107, 116
 Artaud, Antonin, 191–2
 Arts Council England, 11, 13, 94, 111, 121,
 137, 148, *see also* funding, arts
 Arts Council Wales, 11
 Atlantic Theater Company, New York, 151
 audience, 21, 25, 29, 46
 and context, 22, 39–10
 and dramaturg, 160, 165–6, 170
 and programming, 13, 44, 114, 118–19, 143
 response, 24, 52, 56, 73, 80, 96–7, 195,
 201
 see also interactivity
 Audience Builder, 118–19
 authorship, 22, 80, 167–8, 177
 Ayckbourn, Alan, 118
 Bagouet, Dominique,
 Le Saut de L'Ange (Angel Jump), 105
 Ball, Mark, 116, 119
 Ballet, Arthur, 126
 Barba, Eugenio, 16, 35, 101
 Barker, Harley Granville, 125
 Barker, Howard, 133
 Battersea Arts Centre, 133
 Bausch, Pina, 36, 95
 Bayreuth, 109
 Beauvoir, Simone de, 115
 Beckett, Samuel, 138, 191
 Krapp's Last Tape, 195
 Play, 132
 Waiting for Godot, 31–12, 135
 Ben-Tovim, Ruth, 151, 160, 169
 Berlau, Ruth, 65, 68–9
 Berliner Ensemble, 42, 48, 51, 53, 56, 65,
 66–13, 75, 83, 112, 118, 149, 188
 Beaver Coat and Red Rooster (Biberpelz und
 Roter Hahn), 49
 Don Juan, 47
 Pauken und Trompeten (Trumpets and
 Drums), 70
 Urfaust, 49
 see also Brecht, Bertolt
 Berliner Festspiele, 112
 Berliner Schaubühne, 104, 128, 130, 151
 Berliner Volksbühne, 120
 Besson, Benno, 71–2
 Bhatti, Gurpreet Kaur,
 Bezhti, 144
 Biering, Tue,
 Come on Bangladesh, Just Do It!, 115
 Bille, Karen-Maria, 114–15, 117, 166
 Billinger, Richard, 110
 Billington, Michael, 90, 136
 Bing, Benno, 61
 Birmingham Repertory Theatre, 14, 119–20,
 131, 137, 142–44
 The Door, 120, 143
 Transmissions, 143
 Youth Theatre, 143

- Bizet, Georges,
Carmen, 157
- black British performance, 92–94, 142–3,
 144, *see also under individual names*
- Blast Theory, 204–6
The Day of the Figurines, 205
- Blood Group, 88
DIRT, 88
- Boal, Augusto, 202–3
- Bogart, Anne, 105, 168, 173
- Boltanski, Christian, 105
- Bolton Octagon, 141
- Bond, Edward, 133
- Boyd, Michael, 134, 168
- Burgtheater, Vienna, 101
- Bradford Art College, 79
- Bradley, Jack, 133
- Bristol Old Vic, 118–19
- Brecht, Bertolt, 24, 29, 42–73, 76, 105, 110,
 115, 124, 132, 155, 193, 206
 and dramaturgs, 50–1, 54, 59–60, 65,
 66–73, 103, 117, 150, 153–4
 as dramaturg, 44, 60–66
 dramaturgy, 28, 46–59, 61, 75, 102, 110,
 191–12
 influence on British theatre, 72, 74, 80–82,
 83, 84–6
Der Messingkauf (The Messingkauf Dia-
logues), 50–11, 63, 68, 102–13
 plays: *Antigone*, 55, 59, 67, 195; *Baal*, 61;
Coriolan (Coriolanus), 49, 51, 54–5; *Der*
Hofmeister (The Tutor), 53, 49, 67–8;
Der Gute Mensch von Sezuan (The Good
Person of Sezuan), 44; *Der Kaukasische*
Kreidekreis (The Caucasian Chalk Circle),
 44; *Die Dreigroschenoper (The Threepenny*
Opera), 57; *Die Tage der Commune (The*
Days of the Commune), 48; *Die Mutter*
(The Mother), 55, 57, 85; *Im Dickicht*
der Städte (In the Jungle of the Cities), 61;
Leben Eduards des Zweiten von England
(Edward II), 55, 57–9, 61; *Leben des*
Galilei (Life of Galileo), 43, 52; *Mutter*
Courage (Mother Courage), 44; *Trommeln*
in der Nacht (Drums in the Night), 61
see also Berliner Ensemble Brenton, How-
ard, 74, 83
Weapons of Happiness, 83
Pravda, 83
- British-Asian performance, 92–3, 138, *see also*
under individual names
- British Afro-Caribbean performance, 92–3,
see also under individual names
- Brod, Max, 64–5
- Brook, Peter, 95
- Bruckner, Ferdinand, 62 Builder's Association,
 The, 206
Alladeen, 206
- Cameron, Ben, 9
- Canny, Steven, 14, 172–3, 176, 178–10, 182,
 184, 186–8
- capitalism, 44, 76, 78
- carnival agitprop, 76, 79–10, 84
- Carp, Stephanie, 155, 157
- CAST, 78–9
- casting, 9, 53–4, 64, 70, 131, 152, 162,
 163–64, 179
- Castorf, Frank, 155
- Cattaneo, Anne, 7, 103–104, 106, 126, 151,
 154–5, 158, 166–7
- censorship, 90, 108–110
- Chang, He Yun, 201
- Chekhov, Anton, 118, 132
The Three Sisters, 93
- Chétouane, Laurent, 34
- Chichester Festival Theatre, *see Kemp*,
 Edward
- children's theatre, 122, 144
- choreography, 88, 95, 105, 159–160, 161–3,
 169, 193, 198, *see also dramaturgs and*
dance
- Church, Catherine, 177, 183
- Churchill, Caryl, 11
Cloud 85–6, 91
Far Away, 196
- Ciriaco, Gustavo, 201
- Cixous, Hélène, 87
- Cole, Helen, 116
- collage, 162, 164,
- comedy, 32, 46, 77–8, 194
- communism, 43, 71, *see also Marxism*;
 socialism
- Complicite, 95, 172–3, 176, 182
- computer games, *see video games* Congreve,
 William, 132
- Cooke, Dominic, 133–4, 136
- Coward, Noel, 118, 132

- creative producer, 104–6, 116
- Crimp, Martin,
Attempts on her Life, 195–6
- Crisis, 91
- cross-cultural performance, 92
- cross-disciplinary performance, 7, 34, 104–5,
 139, 170
- Cross, Felix, 92–3
- curation, 3, 29, 104–7, 115–16, 157
- D’Arcy, Margaretta, 75–6
- Daldry, Stephen, 11, 135–6
- Danish theatre, 114, 151, *see also under*
individual names
- Davies, Howard, 133
- Davis, Lucy, 133
- deconstruction, 96, 154–6, 158, 192
- Derby Playhouse, 140
- designers, 66–7, 105, 164, 173, *see also*
 Neher, Caspar; scenography
- Desperate Optimists, 75
Play-boys, 96–7
- Deutsches Theater, 61–2, 110
 Baracke, 130
- Devine, George, 132, 134–5
- devising, 87–8, 136, 145, 151, 162,
 172–88,
see also dramaturgs and devising dialectics,
 47, 51–12, 54, 103, 191, 205, *see also*
 Marxism
- Dickens, Charles,
Nicholas Nickleby, 82
- Diderot, Denis, 24
- Die Theater, Vienna, 115
- directors, 27–8, 45, 151, 164–5
 and devising, 180–2
 and dramaturgical analysis, 52, 60, 66, 70,
 194, 207
 and dramaturgs, 68, 72, 104, 120, 122,
 128, 132, 141, 156, 158, 161, 163,
 166–7, 170–3, 184–6, 196
 and playwrights, 129, 130, 145
- documentation, 67, 103, 168, 180–12, *see also*
 model books; production book
- Donmar Warehouse, *see* Royal Shakespeare
 Company, Warehouse Studio
- Donohue, Walter, 133–4
- drag, 85, 90
- drama, 10, 55–6, 95, 191–5, 200
compare postdramatic
- dramaturgical analysis, 22–41, 46, 53–54, 67,
 74, 95, 101, 105, 150–1, 158–160, 163,
 170, 173, 188, *see also*
 performance analysis
- dramaturgs
 and criticism, 24–5, 27, 42, 44, 102, 105,
 106, 110, 125
 and dance, 2–4, 9, 16–7, 104, 106, 151–2,
 159–60, 162, 164, 169–70, 172, 205
 and devising, 2–3, 12, 17, 104, 106–7,
 151–2, 162, 172–88
 and institutions, 101–124
 and live art, 3, 12, 16, 104–7
 and new writing, 9–13, 16–17, 24, 104,
 106, 113, 123–5, 149, 172
 definition of, 1–2, 15, 23, 101–107,
 127–8, 147
 freelance, 107, 120–23, 127, 147, 151
 history of, 23–29, 59–61, 106, 108–10,
 125–6
 in mainland Europe, 3, 8–9, 12, 104,
 128–11, 146, 147, *see also under*
individual countries
 in North America, 2, 4, 9, 103–104, 126, 129
 production dramaturg, 8, 17, 45, 60,
 72, 103, 104, 106–7, 124, 127, 130,
 150–71, 172–88, 197
 training, 69, 131
- Dramaturg’s Network, 17, 107
- dramaturgy
 and architecture, 2, 4, 6, 17, 29, 31, 35–6,
 43, 175, 179, 180, 183, 199
 and composition, 4, 5, 7, 12, 15, 21–41,
 43, 175, 184, 199, *see also* structure
 and politics, 14, 26, 39, 41, 44–6, 60, 63,
 67, 73, 74–97, 108–13, 149, 154–55,
 194, 200, 202–13
 and sociology, 40–11, *see also* Goffman,
 Erving
 and virtual reality, 94, 202–206
 black, 92
 women’s, 86–8, 93
- Dresdener Volksbühne, 68
- Dumas, Alexander,
La Dame aux Camélias (*Lady of the*
Camélias), 62

- Eckersall, Peter, 41
écriture féminine, 87
- Edgar, David, 11, 75, 78, 82, 85, 108, 137
Destiny, 82–3
May Days, 83
Nicholas Nickleby, 82
The National Interest, 78
- editing, 53, 64, 70, 118, 152, 162, 185, 194
- education, 103, 104, 107, 117, 119–20, 123, 157, *see also* dramaturg and training
- Eisler, Hanns, 66
- Elizabethan theatre, 26, 57–8, 59, 109, 133, 164, *see also under individual names*
- Engel, Erich, 62
- English Stage Company, *see* Royal Court Theatre
- English theatre, 11, 25, 58, 111, *see also under individual names*
- Enlightenment, 23–5, 27, 29
- epic, 44, 55, 58, 63, 80, 82, 85, 194, 195
- Etchells, Tim, 192–3, 202
- Eugene O'Neill Theater Center, 12
- Exeter Phoenix, 204
- expanded dramaturgy, 105, *see also* new dramaturgy; postdramatic
- Fabião, Eleonora, 153
- Falckenberg, Otto, 61
- Farquhar, George,
The Recruiting Officer, 70
- Featherstone, Vicky, 108
- feminism, 72, 83, 91, 94
 socialist feminism, 84–6
 radical feminism, 86–9
- Ferguson, Francis, 126
- Feuchtwanger, Leon, 61
- Fierce! Festival, Birmingham, 116
Faster, 175–6
- Fitzgerald, Tara, 118
- Forced Entertainment, 95, 193, 196
And On The Thousandth Night..., 196–7
Bloody Mess, 36
Frozen Palaces, 206
Nightwalks, 206
- Foreman, Richard, 196
- Forsythe, William, 153
- Foursight Theatre, 88
- Fox, John, 79
- fragmentation, 33–7, 192, 194
- Frank, Thomas, 3, 12, 14, 115–117, 119
- Frantic, 132
- Franz, Frauke, 144–6, 172, 176, 177–8, 185–6
- Fraser, Mat, 201
- Fraser-Munro, Ronald, 94
Quack FM, 94
- Frayn, Michael,
Copenhagen, 196
- FrenchMottershead,
My Word Is My Bond, 201
- French theatre, 108–9
- Freytag, Gustav, 29
- Frisch, Norman, 29, 155, 166, 168
- funding, arts, 3, 8, 10–13, 80, 83, 106, 108, 112–13, 115, 121, 123, 137, *see also* Arts Council England; Arts Council Wales
- Furse, Anna, 88
- Garriott, Richard,
Ultima Online, 203
- Gasbarra, Felix, 64
- Gay, John,
The Beggar's Opera, 57
 gay and lesbian performance, 90–112, *see also under individual names*; live art; dramaturg and live art
- Gecko, 132
- gender, 85, 90–111, *see also* feminism
 General Will, The, 78
- German theatre, 9, 16, 23–29, 43, 45, 47–8, 103–104, 108–10, 112, 125, 128–11, 133, 138, 151, 171, *see also under individual names*
- Gilpin, Heidi, 153, 169
- Glasgow Centre for Contemporary Arts, 126
- Godber, John, 118
- Goebbels, Heiner, 159
- Goebbels, Joseph, 109
 Goethe Institut, London, 119
- Goethe, Johann Wolfgang von, 24, 27–9, 109–110
Urfaust, 49
- Goffman, Erving, 5–6, 40
- Gold, Penny, 138
 Wanda, 34

- Gorky, Maxim,
Datsniki (Summerfolk), 156
Mat (Mother), 55
- Goulish, Matthew, 206–7
- Greek theatre, 26, 59, 109, *see also* Aristotle
- Greenhalgh, Jill, 87
- Greer, Bonnie, 92
- Greig, David, 115, 131
The Cosmonaut's Last Message to the Woman He Once Loved in the Former Soviet Union, 195, 196–7
- Greig, Noël, 139–10, 145
- Grieg, Nordahl,
Nederlaget (The Defeat), 48
- Grosz, Georg, 64
- Gutzkow, Karl, 45
- Half Moon Theatre, London, 122
- Hall, Peter, 132, 135
- Hamburger Nationaltheater, 23, 25–6, 102, 108
- Hampstead Theatre, 138
- Hanna, Gillian, 85
- Hare, David, 1, 11, 13, 19
Fanshen, 80–12
Pravda, 83
The Permanent Way, 194
- Harrower, David, 130
Blackbird, 152
- Harvey, Jonathan,
Beautiful Thing, 90
- Hasek, Jaroslav,
Osudy Dobrého Vojáka Svejka za Sultové Válek (The Fateful Adventures of the Good Soldier Svejik During the World War), 64
- Hauptmann, Elisabeth, 65, 68–9
 Hauptmann, Gerhardt,
Der Biberpelz (The Beaver Coat), 49
Rote Hahn (The Red Rooster), 49
- Hebbel-am-Ufer, Berlin, 120, 151
- Hegemann, Carl, 103
- Heiberg, Johan Ludvig, 114
- Heine, Heinrich, 45
- Hessische Theaterakademie, Frankfurt, 18
- Hilje, Jens, 103
- Hinton, William,
Fanshen, 80
- Hitler, Adolf, 43, 109, *see also* Nazism
 Holberg, Ludvig, 114
- Honecker, Erich, 156
- Hunt, Albert, *see* Bradford Art College
- hybridity, 89, 91, 95, 194–5
- Hytner, Nicholas, 133
- Ibsen, Henrik, 118
Vildanden (The Wild Duck), 30–31
- Improbable Theatre, 116
Life Games, 193
- improvisation, 94, 122, 130, 142, 161, 172, 180–181, 196–7
- installation, 200
- interactivity, 18, 148, 198–9, 200, 202–207
- intercultural performance, 92
- International Playwriting Festival, Croydon, 137
- Ionesco, Eugène, 135
- IperG, 205
- Irigaray, Luce, 87
- Irish theatre, 147, *see also under individual names*
- Jelinek, Elfriede, 196–7
- Joint Stock, 80–11
- Kali Theatre, 138
- Kane, Sarah, 89, 135
Crave, 33–34, 197 4:48 *Psychosis*, 33–34, 37, 197
- Kantor, Tadeusz, 95
- Kaynar, Gad, 39
- Keefe, Barry, 133
- Kemp, Edward, 107, 131, 150, 152, 154, 163
- Kerkhoven, Marianne Van, 21–2, 167
- Kleist, Heinrich von, 109–110
- Komissarzhevsky, Fyodor, 58–9
- Kotte, Andreas, 38
 Kramer, Larry,
The Normal Heart, 90
- Kristeva, Julia, 87
- Kugler, D.D., 167
- Kunstlerhaus Mousonturm, Frankfurt, 115
- Kushner, Tony,
Angels in America, 11
- Lacis, Asja, 58–9
- Lane, David, 121–23, 148
- Langdal, Peter, 156

- Lania, Leo, 64
- Lapine, Mark, 164
- Larson, Jonathan, *Rent*, 167
- Laube, Heinrich, 45, 101
- Lecompte, Elizabeth, 173
- Leeds University, Bretton Hall, 12
- Leicester Haymarket, 140
- Lenz, J.M.R.,
Der Hofmeister (The Tutor), 48–9, 53,
 67–8
- Lepecki, André, 151–2, 161, 165–6, 169,
 182, 192
- Lessing, G.E., 11, 16, 23–27, 33–5, 42, 48,
 60, 95, 102–3, 108–110, 115, 125
Minna von Barnhelm, 24
- Levy, Deborah, 75, 86, 88
The B-File, 88–9
- Leyshon, Nell, 172, 177, 183–5
 lighting, 27, 69, 165
- Lilienthal, Matthias, 15, 120
- Lincoln Center Theater, New York, 151
- Lindgren, Torgny,
Light, 182, 184
- literary criticism, 24, 34, 38–9, 153
- literary management, 3, 9, 11 104, 107–8,
 113, 124, 125–49
 history of, 131–38
see also dramaturg and new writing Little,
 Ruth, 136, 198, 202
- Littlewood, Joan, 78
- live art, 90, 93–94, 95, 115–16, 198, 201, *see*
also dramaturg and live art
- Liverpool Live, 201
- Loher, Dea, 198
- Lone, Amber,
Paradise, 143
- Lone Twin, 6, 14
Sledgehammer Songs, 115
- Lone Twin Theatre,
Alice Bell, 158, 172, 174, 177, 181–12,
 184, 193
- Luckhurst, Mary, 108
- Lyddiard, Alan, 157
- Mabou Mines Theater, 153
- MacDonald, Claire, 148, 198
Utopia, 89
see also Space Between Words, The
- Made In Wales, 11
- Magdalena Project, 87, 89, 124
- Makishi, Stacy,
Fold, 91–3
- Malcolm, Claire, 117 Mamet, David,
Oleanna, 11
- Mannix, Aoife, 91
- Mari, Louise, 172, 176–7, 178–9, 181,
 182–13, 184, 186–7
- marketing, 104, 107, 114, 117–19, 120, 165–6
- Marlowe, Christopher,
Edward II, 55, 57, 58–9, 61
- Marxism, 44, 48, 51–2, 62, 69, 80–81, 83–6,
see also communism; socialism
- Massey, Garth, 40–41
- Masuch, Bettina, 15, 151, 159–162, 164,
 169
- Maxwell, Richard, 192, 196, 202
- McBurney, Simon, 176
- McCreery, Kathleen, 78–9
- McDermott, Phelim, 192, 193–94, 197
- McGrath, Kate, 175–6 McPherson, Conor,
The Weir, 196
- Meth, Jonathan, 121
- Meyerhold, Vsevolod, 42, 59, 73, 82
- Miller, Arthur, 132
- mimesis, 191–3, 198
- mise-en-scène, 53, 154, 197
- Mitchell, Julian, *Another Country*, 90
- model books, 59, 68
- modernism, 191, 199
- Molière, Jean-Baptiste, 152
Don Juan, 47
- Möller, Eberhard Wolfgang, 110
- Monk, Egon, 49
- Monstrous Regiment, 85
- montage, 63, 72, 194
- Morris, Tom, 133
- Morrison, Mem, 6, 14
- Moti Roti, *Alladeen*, 206
- Muldoon, Ronald, 78
- Munich Kammerspiele, 61
- Municipal Theatre, Frankfurt am Main, 101
 Murakami, Haruki,
The Elephant Vanishes, 178–180
- Murphy, Anna, 183
- Museum of Hyperformalism, 204
- music, 45, 66–7, 77, 145, 157–9, 181, 198

- narrative, 17, 36, 46, 50, 57, 139, 199, 200
 and dramatic forms, 56, 139
 and dramaturgical analysis, 139, 177–8,
 185
 and interactive media, 203, 206
 structure, 28, 32–3, 36–7
- narrator, 55–6, 192, 206
- National Lottery, 14, 137
- National Theatre, London, 72, 110–11,
 125–6, 131–3
- National Theatre Studio, 133
- National Theatre of Scotland, 123, 131
- naturalism, 30, 77, 82–3
- Nazism (National Socialism), 43–4, 109–10
- Neate, Patrick, 91
- Neher, Caspar, 59, 67, 105
- Newcastle University, 107, 117, 119
- new dramaturgies, 12, 35, 94–7, 169, *see also*
 expanded dramaturgies; postdramatic
- New Perspectives, 140
- New Playwrights' Trust, *see*
- Writernet New Writing North, 117, 123
- New York Festival, 164
- Nightswimming, 169
- nomadic subject, 91
- Norske Theater, Bergen, 30, *see also* Ibsen,
 Henrik
- North West Playwrights, 137, 141
- Northern Playwrights, 137
- Northern Stage, 107, 117, 150, 157, 165
The Black Eyed Roses, 157
- Norton-Taylor, Richard
The Colour of Justice, 194
- Nottingham Playhouse, 140–141
 Mixed Reality Lab, 205
- Number Eleven Theatre, Saskatchewan, 184
- Odin Teatret, 175
- O' Hare, Jeannie, 134
- Olivier, Lawrence, 125
- Ondaatje, Michael,
In the Skin of a Lion, 158, 181
 online communities, 203–204
- open dramaturgies, 34–5, 39, 89, 145, 197, 206
- Osborne, John, 132
Look Back in Anger, 118, 134–5
- Ostermeier, Thomas, 130
- Out of Joint Theatre, 194
- outside eye, 12, 66, 104, 160, 173
- Oval House Theatre, London, 5, 143
- Pacitti, Robert, 198
- Palitzsch, Peter, 54, 66–71, 76, 117–18
- Parker, Christian, 151, 167
- Paquet, Alfred, *Fahnen (Flags)*, 63
- Payne, Ben, 142–4
- Pearson, Neil, 118
- People Show, The, 79
- Perceval, Luk, 152, 162
- performance analysis, 4, 6, 37, *see also*
 dramaturgical analysis
- performance art, *see* live art; dramaturg and
 live art
- performance writing, 95, *see also* dramaturgy
 and new writing; text
- performativity, 85, 90, 94
- pervasive games, 205–6
- Peters, Christine, 119
- Pettengill, Richard, 153
- Pezhman, Arzhang, *The Bolt-Hole*, 143
LOCAL, 143
- physical theatre, 88, 133, 136, 145, 185, *see*
also devising
- Picasso, Pablo,
Guernica, 178
- Pilgrim, Geraldine, 200
- Pimlott, Stephen, 152
- Pirandello, Luigi, 62
- Piscator, Erwin, 8, 42–6, 62–66, 73, 82
 and 'dramaturgical collective', 61, 63, 65
Die Abenteuer der Braven Soldaten Schwejk,
(The Adventures of the Good Soldier
Schwejk), 64–5
Fahnen (Flags), 63
- Platel, Alain, 36, 151, 164
- Platform 4, 172, 177
Claustrophobia, 177, 183–84
- playwrights, 7, 9, 14, 24, 87, 65–6
 and dramaturg, 72, 106, 121–3, 125–49,
 167, 177
 and dramaturgical reworking, 50, 154–5
 and working practices, 63–64, 80, 125–49,
 194–5, 198, 207
- Playwrights Studio, Scotland, 126
- policy, artistic, 105, 107–13, 120
- Polka Theatre, 144–6,
Playgrounding, 144
- popular theatre, 77–10
- postdramatic, 95

- Postlethwaite, Pete, 118
- postmodernism, 33, 72, 75, 93, 95–7, 192
and politics, 96
see also new dramaturgies; postdramatic
- pre-production, 152, 158–60
- presence, 192, 206
- Primitive Science/fake Productions, 14, 172, 176
No, It Was You, 178
- production book, 152, 180–11, 184
- programming, 13, 48, 105–8, 111, 114–17,
123
- Provincetown Players, 9
- publicity material, 68, 70–71, 96, 103,
117–18, 152, 165–6
- public relations, 25–6, 62, 67–8, 102, 105,
116, 125, 165–6
- Punchdrunk, 199–200, 204
Faust, 199
- Queen Margaret University College,
Edinburgh, 126
- Queen's College, Belfast, 12
- Quirt, Brian, 169
- Raabke, Tilman, 197
- radical populism, 76–7, 79
- Radosavljevic, Duska, 14, 107, 117, 119, 150,
157, 165–6
- Ravenhill, Mark, 130, 136
Mother Clapp's Molly House, 90
- Reade, Simon, 134
- Red Ladder, 78–9
Strike While the Iron is Hot, 84–5
- Rehberg, Hans, 110
Reichsdramaturg, 109–110
- Reimann, Hans, 64–5
- Reinhardt, Max, 44, 61, 110
- repertoire, 12, 24, 46, 102, 131, *see also*
programming
- representation, 85, 88, 95–6, 191–98
- Retallack, Guy, 175–6
- Richard, Little, 78
- Richardson, Esther, 140–12
- Richardson, Tony, 134
- Rickson, Ian, 136
- Rior Group, New York, 151
- Ritchie, Rob, 135
- Rokem, Freddie, 154, 158–9
- Romantic movement, 109
- Rosenberg, David, 182
- Royal Court Theatre, London, 130–6, 143, 198
- Sunday Night Productions, 135
- Theatre Upstairs, 135
- The Site, 135
- Young Writers Festival, 135
- Royal Danish Theatre, Copenhagen, 114–15
- Royal Shakespeare Company, 82, 131,
133–4, 138, 151, 168
- New Works Festival, 134, 136
- Warehouse Studio, 133
- Royal and Derngate Theatres, Northampton,
140
- Rowohlt Verlag, 128
- Rülicke, Käthe, 54, 69
- Russell Brown, John, 132–13
- Scarlet Harlots, *see* Scarlet Theatre
Scarlet Theatre, 88
- scenography, 24, 27–8, 36, 45–6, 53, 64–5,
67, 145, 196, *see also* designers; Neher,
Caspar
- Schiller, Friedrich von, 27–9, 55, 103, 109
Maria Stuart, 152, 162
- Schimmelpfennig, Roland, 198
Die Arabische Nacht (Arabian Night),
195–6, 207
- Schlösser, Rainer, 109–10
- Scottish theatre, 126, *see also under individual*
names
- Scribe, Eugène,
Le Verre D'Eau (The Glass of Water), 29–30
- Second Life, 203–204
- semiotics, 37, 84
The Cheviot, the Stag and the Black, Black
Oil, 78
- Shakespeare, William, 57, 115, 118, 132, 134
A Midsummer Night's Dream, 93, 164
Coriolanus, 49, 51, 54–5, 62
Hamlet, 26
King Lear, 155
- Shannon, Richard, 145
- Shaplin, Adriano, 131, 151
- Shaw, George Bernard, 62
- Sherman, Martin, *Bent*, 90
- Shunt, 172, 176–7, 178–9, 180, 182, 200
Amato Saltone, 178
Bear Dance Bear, 182–13
Tropicana, 186–7, 199

- SimCity*, see Wright, Will
- Sims, The*, see Wright, Will
- Sirett, Paul, 134
- site-specific work, 136, 199–12
- SITI company, *American Silents*, 168
Cabin Pressure, 173
- Skopnik, Günter, 101
- Slättne, Hanna, 107, 121–3, 146–7
- Smith, Phil, 127
- socialism, 48, 72, 75, 77, 81, 83, 94–5,
 see also communism; Marxism;
- socialist realism, 48
- Sofaer, Joshua, 201
- Soho Theatre, 148
- Sonnberger, Andrea, 201
- Sony Net Services, 205
- Sophiensaele, Berlin, 115–7
- Sophocles,
Antigone, 55, 59, 67
Oedipus Tyrannus, 154, 158–9
- sound designer, 105–6, 162, 165, 173, see also
 music
- Space Between Words, The, 148, 198
- Spill Festival, 198
- Stagecoach, West Midlands, 137
- Stalin, Josef, 70
- Stanislavski, Konstantin, 85
- state-of-the-nation plays, 72, 80, 83
- Steffin, Margarete, 65
- Stein, Gertrude, 199
- Stinnerbom, Leif, 154, 158
- Strittmatter, Erwin,
Katzgraben (Cat's Grave), 70–71
- structure, 83, 85, 91–2, 169
 and devising, 173, 175–6, 182, 185
 and dramaturg, 106, 147, 168, 177
 and dramaturgical analysis, 22–41, 174
 and epic theatre, 56–7, 63, 80
 and new dramaturgies, 95, 200–201, 203, 206
 dramatic, 43, 74
 see also dramaturgy and composition;
 narrative structure
- Stuart, Meg,
Alibi, 159–160, 162
- Suzuki, Tadashi, 95
- Sweeting, Nick, 116
- Synge, J.M.,
Playboy of the Western World, 96
- Szondi, Peter, 10
- Tanz Plattform, Stuttgart,
DanceLab Dramaturgy, 169–170
- Tara Arts, 93
- Teare, Jeff, 11
- Temple-Morris, Boz, 176–8
- Tenschert, Joachim, 45
- terminology, 74–6, 79–80, 86, 90–92, 94, 95–6
 text, 86, 169
 and devising, 173–4, 179–180
 and dramaturgical analysis, 39, 105, 152,
 154–6
 and new dramaturgies, 192–98
 and process, 162–3
 dramatic, 33–4, 125, 129
 performance text, 135, 200
 see also dramaturgs and new writing;
écriture féminine; playwrights
- Theater der Welt festival, Stuttgart, 119
- Theater Pralipe, 157
- Theatre Communications Group, 9
- Theatre Genedlaethol Cymru, 112
- Theatre Workshop,
Oh, What a Lovely War!, 78
- Theatre Writers Union, 137
- Theatre Writing Partnership, 124, 140–12
 Eclipse Writers' Lab, 142
 Get Shortie season, 141
- Thing plays, 110
- Third Reich, see Nazism; *Reichsdramaturg*
- Thomson, Lynn, 4, 101, 167
- Tieck, Ludwig, 109
- Tinderbox Theatre, Belfast, 107, 121, 146–7
 The Joint Dramaturgy Project, 146
- Tisch School of Art, New York, 151
- TNT (The New Theatre), 127
- tragedy, 26–7, 34, 194
- translation, 106–7, 152, 179
- Tricycle Theatre, London, 194
- Trott, Lloyd, 151
- Tschumi, Bernard, 6, 47, see also dramaturgy
 and architecture
- Tynan, Kenneth, 10, 110–11, 125–6,
 131–12
- Ulbricht, Walter, 49, 70
- Ultima Online, see Garriott, Richard
- University of Aberystwyth, 148
- University of Bristol, 107
- University of Kent at Canterbury, 10

- Uprichard, Heather, 180, 186–7
urban planning, 105–6
- Valentin, Karl, 58–9
verbatim theatre, 194
verfremdung, 28, 55–8, 72, 85
Verity Bargate Award, 137
Verma, Jatinder, 92
video games, 202–13, 205–6
Vinaver, Michel, 196
Vincent, Charlotte, 160
Vincent Dance Theatre, 151, 160
 Broken Chords, 160, 169
visual dramaturgy, 35, 199
visual theatre, 88, 136, 145, *see also*
 devising
Voltaire, 26
Vuyst, Hildegard de, 151, 161, 164, 169
- Wagner, Kitte, 7, 151, 156, 162
Wagner, Richard, 109
Walcott, Derek, 92
Wandor, Micheline, 76
Weaver, Lois, 91
Weber, Carl, 46, 69
Weimarer Hoftheater, 27
Wekwerth, Manfred, 54, 66, 71
Welfare State, 75, 79
 The Loves, Lives and Murders of Lancelot, Barrabas Quail, 79
Welsh theatre, 111–12, *see also under*
 individual names
well-made play, 29–31, 64, *see also* Scribe, Eugène
Wells, Ker, 184–5
Wesker, Arnold, 132
West, Darron L., 173
Wexler, Barrie, 204
Whelan, Gregg, 184
Whittington, Amanda, *Satin n Steel*, 141–12
- Whybrow, Graham, 108, 130, 136
Wiens, Wolfgang, 103, 128, 163
Wilder, Thornton, *Our Town*, 155
Williams, David, 14, 158, 172, 174, 177, 181–4, 186–7, 192
Williams, Tennessee, 115
 A Streetcar Named Desire, 118
Wilson, Robert, 199
Winters, Gary, 184
Wood, Annie, 145
Woods, Sarah, 104, 130, 143, 172
Woolrich, Cornell,
 Rear Window, 178
Wooster Group, The, 36, 166, 173
 Route 1 & 9 (The Last Act), 155
 LSD – Just the High Points, 155
Worker's Theatre Movement, 77, *see also*
 agitprop
workshops, 80–11, 129, 132–13, 142, 145–7, 159, 162
wrighting, 177, 184, 185
Wright, Nicholas, 133
Wrights & Sites, 201
Wright, Treveyan, 120
Wright, Will,
 The Sims, 202–3
 SimCity, 203
Writernet, 92, 121–2, 141
- Yale School of Dramaturgy, 12
Yorkshire Playwrights, 141
Young, Marcus, 201
Young, T.R., 40–11
Young Vic, 198
YouTube, 203–204
- Zade, Maja, 128–9, 130, 151–2, 154, 162, 163–6
Zadow, Max, 201
Zarrilli, Phillip, 34
Zimmerman, Simon, 111
Zuckmayer, Carl, 62