
Contents

Acknowledgements	x
Introduction	xi
Part 1 Examiners and Supervisors	1
1 Examiners: What are they Looking for?	3
2 Working with Your Supervisor	9
Part 2 Generating and Developing Original Ideas	15
3 What Activities Suit You Best?	17
4 Types of Research	24
5 What Interests You Most?	33
6 Generating Your Own Ideas 1: Using Trigger Questions	40
7 Generating Your Own Ideas 2: Perspectives and Levels	47
8 Developing Your Ideas 1: Causal Relations	53
9 Developing Your Ideas 2: Conceptual Relations	61
10 Original Questions and Hypotheses 1: Using Analogies	72
11 Original Questions and Hypotheses 2: Working with Your Structures	78
Part 3 Deciding on Your Project	87
12 Searching the Literature 1: Knowing What to Look for	89
13 Searching the Literature 2: How to Search	95
14 Choosing the Topic 1: Feasibility	103
15 Choosing the Topic 2: Ethical Issues	107
Part 4 Organising Your Work	117
16 Planning Your Research	119
17 Managing Your Time	129
18 Your Retrieval System	141
19 Reading	148
20 Note-taking	155

Part 5	Doing Your Research	165
21	Qualitative and Quantitative Research	167
22	Secondary Sources	174
23	Primary Sources 1: Quantitative Research	180
24	Primary Sources 2: Designing and Distributing Your Questionnaire	185
25	Primary Sources 3: Qualitative Research – Interviews and Focus Groups	193
26	Primary Sources 4: Qualitative Research – Case Studies and Observations	199
Part 6	Planning Your Dissertation	207
27	The Main Components and Introduction	209
28	The Literature Review	214
29	Research Methods, Findings, Conclusion and Appendices	221
Part 7	Organising Your Thinking	231
30	Developing Consistent Arguments 1: The Components	233
31	Developing Consistent Arguments 2: The Connections	241
32	Using Evidence 1: Describing it	250
33	Using Evidence 2: Drawing Inferences	256
34	Using Evidence 3: Creating Causal Connections	263
35	Using Language 1: Clarity – Jargon	269
36	Using Language 2: Clarity – Manipulative Words	277
37	Using Language 3: Consistency	283
Part 8	Writing Your Dissertation	287
38	The First Draft	289
39	Style 1: Finding Your Own Voice	296
40	Style 2: Simplicity and Economy	300
Part 9	Plagiarism, Referencing and Bibliographies	307
41	Plagiarism	309
42	Referencing and Bibliographies	314
Part 10	Editing	323
43	Revision 1: The Structure	325
44	Revision 2: The Content	332

Part 11 Presenting Your Dissertation	339
45 Oral Presentations	341
46 Poster Presentations	349
Conclusion	358
Bibliography	359
Index	360

Chapter 1

Examiners: What are they Looking for?

In this chapter you will learn ...

- the key differences between an essay and a dissertation;
- exactly what examiners are looking for;
- what is meant by originality and how to achieve it;
- the range of abilities examiners are assessing.

A dissertation is quite different from anything you've been asked to do before. So your success will depend upon how well you make the adjustment.

The differences between essays and dissertations

The most obvious difference is size. Essays are relatively short – say, 2,000–3,000 words, whereas an undergraduate dissertation can be 8,000–12,000 words, perhaps more in some cases. This means we must analyse more extensively a larger body of material, critically evaluating it using more detailed and subtle arguments.

Genuine thinking

But along with its larger scope, a dissertation also affords us the opportunity to work more independently, so we can explore our own original ideas. This may sound odd, but it's designed as an opportunity to do some genuine thinking. Many of the courses in higher education allow students to slip into the comfortable, undemanding role as mere recyclers of received opinions, while teachers opt for the corresponding and easier task of teaching students *what* to think, rather than *how* to think.

After all, teachers are appointed for their research, so they are seen as the experts, the gold standard in ideas. Therefore, it seems sensible to reproduce their ideas, rather than think for yourself. Consequently, when many students are asked to express themselves they are not expressing *their* ideas, but what they think their teachers think they ought to think. They are not involved in what they are writing at a deeper level. They do not share the needs of a genuine thinker.

Genuine thinking

- 1 You're using *your* ideas.
- 2 You're not just recycling the ideas of others.
- 3 You are guided by the evidence whichever way it points.
- 4 You're thinking about your thinking.
- 5 You choose the focus, direction and organisation of your work.

Your dissertation may be the first time you've been asked to do some genuine thinking. It gives you the opportunity not only to choose the topic and questions you want to investigate, but also to develop your abilities to interpret texts, weigh up empirical evidence and come to your own measured judgement. You are not just setting out a simple catalogue of what you believe to be right answers, nor are you just laying down a thesis and defending it. A mature thinker is guided by the evidence, whichever way it points. She doesn't just decide what she believes to be the case and then search around for the evidence to support it.

The process, not just the product

Genuine thinking is also characterised by the ability to think about our thinking: to be aware of the process of thought, not just the product. So dissertations are equally concerned with showing how we validate our results; that we understand and can justify the research methods we've chosen in order to gather and evaluate our evidence. It must be possible for anyone else to read the same passages from the literary, philosophical or historical texts we have chosen as our material and come to their own interpretation to compare with ours, or conduct the same empirical research to see how their results compare with our own.

A dissertation will involve you thinking about your thinking.

You decide on the focus, direction and organisation of your work. You choose the questions for which you want answers or the hypothesis you want to test. It gives you the opportunity to produce a substantial piece of independent work, which reflects a wider range of your skills and abilities. In the process, you will show that you can manage a large research project, organise your own schedule, set targets, maintain your motivation throughout and produce a well reasoned and organised presentation of the results. In short, you will show yourself, your examiners and future employers that you have the personal resources to take on a large project and succeed.

If this sounds daunting, it is only because the demands are new. They are not beyond your grasp.

Dissertations

- 1 Work independently.
- 2 Original ideas.
- 3 Genuine thinking.
- 4 You choose the topic.
- 5 Justify your research methods.

Examiners

But, of course, in practical terms, if we're going to do something well, we need to know why we are doing it: we need to know what examiners are looking for. In each stage of producing the dissertation, examiners will be looking for evidence that we can do all of the following:

- 1 **Identify a problem** – that raises particularly interesting issues worth researching.
- 2 **Analyse it** – produce significant, interesting questions that are capable of sustaining an in-depth investigation.
- 3 **Explore the literature** – in an organised, systematic way to show that our research is underpinned by existing theory. In effect we are showing examiners that we can educate ourselves about the topic.
- 4 **Design a research strategy** – that uses the most effective research methods to gather the evidence that answers our questions.
- 5 **Devise the most effective data-collection tools** – instruments, like questionnaires and interview questions, which are valid and reliable in gathering the evidence we need.
- 6 **Process the material** – analyse the evidence we gather and critically assess it.
- 7 **Draw conclusions** – on the basis of this material.
- 8 **Write the dissertation** – present our findings in accordance with the established academic practices.

The main purpose of dissertations is not just to communicate the results of your research, but, equally important, to show examiners that the methods underpinning your research have been chosen well and used skilfully.

The purpose of dissertations =

To communicate the results

+

To show you have chosen the most effective methods and used them skilfully.

Originality

However, your dissertation should show examiners not only that you have the abilities to convey complex ideas clearly and develop arguments consistently, but that you have come to new and interesting conclusions: you have broken new ground. For many students this is the most frightening of all their concerns. Your dissertation might not have the originality of a PhD thesis, but, still, it's assumed that it will advance, even in a small way, our knowledge and understanding of certain ideas, issues and methodology. It's a common feature of almost all research that progress is very often the cumulative effect of such small steps taken by many researchers.

If this seems daunting, it need not be. Although you're not just recycling the received opinions of those regarded as authorities in your subject, you will still need to show that your research builds on published research and uses established methods, so you don't have to start from nothing. And besides, there are many ways in which your work can be original: in terms of the subject you choose, in the way you approach it, the client group or material you focus on, and the particular data you collect.

6 Examiners and Supervisors

Remember, you don't have to show that what you plan to do has never been done before. In many cases what's original is that you have done it for yourself, rather than rely on other people's research.

Originality

- 1 The subject you choose.
- 2 Your approach.
- 3 The client group or material.
- 4 The data collected.

- You might apply an existing theory to a new area, or test other people's findings and ideas for yourself using different subjects.

Intellectual history**EXAMPLE**

You might know of a number of studies that have compared the work of the nineteenth-century English philosopher John Stuart Mill and the Scottish essayist Thomas Carlyle, but you know of no studies that have compared their respective theories of history.

- Your research might build on existing studies to follow up new leads, or to refine or qualify the findings of earlier studies.

The media**EXAMPLE**

There are studies that have explored the way media representation of women has changed over the last two decades, so you might decide to explore this, focusing on one particular type of publication – say, women's magazines.

- The instruments you design might yield new, surprising evidence.

Questionnaires and interviews**EXAMPLE**

You might design a questionnaire that asks questions not posed before, or examines a group not previously examined. You might conduct interviews using a series of well-crafted questions that reveal new, fascinating insights into a problem.

- You might devise exercises for subjects to complete, which produce evidence of behaviour from a perspective not seen before.

Study skills**EXAMPLE**

You might decide to test the belief that the study skills problems experienced by most students at universities are due largely to the neglect of these skills in schools. So you design tests and a questionnaire from which you discover that there appears to be not one cause but a number.

Abilities

Beyond originality, of course, examiners are looking to see how well you have developed a certain range of abilities. To give you a clearer idea of this, look at the table below.

Understanding	Show a clear grasp of the issues involved.
Analysis	Reveal the implications of the concepts used to define the problem and the possible causes that might explain it.
Creative abilities	Devise questions and hypotheses and the means of answering and testing them, respectively.
Problem solving	Recognise problems and their possible solutions.
Comparison	Identify differences and similarities.
Criticism	Critically assess evidence and arguments.
Inductive and deductive abilities	Come to conclusions based on relevant, consistent arguments and reliable evidence.
Self-organisation, self-motivation	Manage and sustain a large research project.
Writing skills	Present findings in clear, well-planned written work.

Every research project uses these abilities, albeit in different measures. A certain range of abilities will dominate some projects, while they are less obvious in others. For example, projects like the following are philosophical and literary in nature:

‘The Theories of History of J. S. Mill and Thomas Carlyle’

‘Novelistic sympathy and distance in the novels of George Eliot’

‘Literary influences on the Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood’

Although you would be using all the abilities in some measure, your work would be dominated by your analytic, comparative and deductive abilities.

In contrast, if you were working on projects like the following, which depend upon methods of gathering empirical evidence, your work would be largely taken up with your creative, problem-solving and inductive abilities.

‘The main causes of the study skills problems of university students’

‘The effects of the smoking ban on pubs and restaurants in Bolton’

‘Young people’s attitudes to, and experience of, racism’

In the following chapters you will see the importance of designing your project to ensure it not only interests you, but uses those abilities you enjoy using and are good at. To some extent the subject you’re studying will dictate this, but a lot is left to your own preferences. At an early stage it’s worth consulting your supervisor, whose experience will be invaluable in designing a project that matches perfectly your abilities and preferences.

Summary

- 1 A dissertation is your opportunity to show that you can genuinely think.
- 2 You have to think about the process as well as the product of your thought.
- 3 You can show your work is original in a number of simple ways.
- 4 Choose a project that matches your interests and abilities.

What next?

In designing such a large project that suits your particular abilities and sustains your interest you will have to draw upon the advice and experience of your supervisor. In the next chapter we will look at how you can get the best out of this important relationship.

Index

References to illustrations are printed in bold>.

- abilities, 7, 29–30
 - and skills, 29–30
- abstract, 336
- abstractions *see* language
- acknowledgements, 336
- active voice *see* writing
- affirming the consequent, 245–8
- aims, 123, 127, 167; *see also* objectives
- all/some, 251–2
- analogies, 56, 75–7, 79–80, 256–8
- analysis, 7, 152–3, 154; *see also* conceptual analysis
- Animal, Vegetable or Mineral *see* Twenty Questions
- appendices *see* dissertation

- bar charts, 224–225
- begging the question *see* language
- bibliographies, 228, 319–21
- blogs, 98–9
- British History in the Nineteenth Century and After*, 271

- case studies, 167, 199–202
 - focus-down approach, 201–2
 - opening-out strategy, 202
 - structure, 201–2
- categorical claims, 242
- causal connections *see* evidence
- causal relations, 53–60
- chapters, 291
 - conclusions, 293
 - introductions, 293
 - paragraphs, 293; *see also* dissertation; planning; topic sentences; transitions; writing
- clichés, 302–3
- cognitive abilities, 142–3, 152–4
- communication skills *see* employability skills
- companion website, xvi–xvii, 12, 31, 69, 106, 115, 139, 147, 153, 154, 173, 220, 234, 239, 240, 248, 255, 262, 268, 275, 282, 285, 294, 306, 312, 315, 331, 337, 357
- concepts, 61–71, 83–5, 172, 238–9, 272
 - closed, 63–4
 - open, 63–71
- conceptual analysis, 53, 54, 57, 61–71, 168–9
 - the three-step technique, 64–70, 172, 279; *see also* concepts
- conceptual thinking skills *see* employability skills
- conclusion *see* dissertation
- conclusions *see* dissertation
- conditionals, 71, 73–86, 122
 - antecedent, 71
 - consequent, 71; *see also* hypothetical propositions
- confidence, 209, 343
- confidentiality *see* ethical issues
- contact record *see* retrieval system
- convergences, 58–60, 85–6
- conversion, 243–4
- cover page, 335
- creative thinking, 7, 40–6, 61–3, 72–86, 234
- critical thinking, 7, 152–4, 155, 160–3, 233–85, 297

- Darwin, Charles, xiv, 77, 206
- databases, 96, 98–9
- deadlines *see* time management
- deduction, 7, 235, 237–49
 - hypothetico-deductive system, 25; *see also* induction
- deep-level processors *see* ideas
- denying the antecedent, 245–8
- discussion *see* dissertation
- dissertation, 209–29, 289
 - appendices, 228–9
 - coherence, 143–4
 - conclusion, 210–11, 227–8
 - discussion, 226–7
 - final draft, 133
 - findings, 223–6
 - first draft, 289–90
 - introduction, 211–13
 - main components, 210–11
 - purpose of, 5

- research methods, 221–2, 290
- structure, 177–8; *see also* bibliography;
 - chapters; literature review; planning; references
 - writing
- distributing terms, 242
- divergences, 58–9, 85–6
- Doyle, Conan, 81
- Dunleavy, Patrick, 201–2

- Echlin, Helena, 272, 274
- economy *see* writing
- editing *see* revision
- Einstein, Albert, 63, 149, 271
- empathy, 47–51, 73
- employability skills, xv–xvi, 33, 43–4, 61, 103, 119, 168, 234, 269–70, 289, 326, 356–7, 358
 - communication skills, xvi, 269–70, 289, 357
 - conceptual thinking skills, xvi, 61, 357
 - flexible thinking skills, xvi, 103, 326, 357
 - initiative, self-motivation and self-awareness skills, xvi, 33, 168
 - leadership skills, xvi
 - logical reasoning skills, xvi, 234
 - numerical reasoning skills, xvi, 168
 - planning and organising skills, xvi, 44, 119, 168, 357
 - problem solving and creative thinking skills, xvi, 33, 43–4, 168, 357
 - teamwork skills, xvi
- epistemological assumptions, 21–2, 167, 221
- essays, 3–4
- ethical issues, 107–115, 222
 - autonomy, 110–12
 - confidentiality, 110, 113
 - deliberate deception, 112
 - dignity of the individual, 110
 - ethics checklist, 113–14
 - fairness and impartiality, 114
 - informed consent, 110–11, 112, 113–4
 - observational research, 111
 - privacy, 111, 113
 - universalism, 109–10
 - vulnerable participants, 107, 108, 111
- evidence, xi, 250–268
 - average, 252–3
 - causal connections, 263–8
 - describing it, 250–55
 - drawing inferences, 256–62
 - irrelevant inferences, 260–2
 - post hoc* fallacy, 266
 - special pleading, 264–5
 - typical, 252–3; *see also* all/some; qualifiers; statistics
- examiners, 5, 182
- experiments, 57–8
- exploratory reading, 51–2

- facts, 237–9
- feasibility, 103–5
- final presentation, 335–7
- finding a topic, 33–39
- first person *see* writing
- Flesch, R., 45
- flexible thinking skills *see* employability skills
- flow charts, 54, 55
- focus groups, 197–8
- formal requirements, 9–10
- forums, 98–9

- Galileo, 259
- general proposition, 122–3, 127, 139
- graphs, 224, 350, 354

- handouts, 343, 354
- hierarchies of ideas *see* ideas
- hypothesis, 19–22, 54, 122–3, 127, 136, 167, 222, 227, 350; *see also* research question
- hypothetical propositions, 115, 245–8; *see also* conditionals
- Huxley, Aldous, 270

- ideas, xi, xiii–xv, 3–4, 40–71, 141–7, 152–4, 358
 - catching them, 141–7
 - deep-level processors, 152–4, 358
 - developing them, 53–71
 - generating them, xi, xv, 33, 40–52
 - hierarchies of, 52, 54, 156
 - original *see* originality
 - processing, 152–4, 155
 - surface-level processors, 152; *see also* thinking
- Identikit, 45
- index-card system *see* retrieval system
- indexes, 98
- indicators, 70–1, 104, 122–3, 127; *see also* variables
- induction, 7, 235, 236, 250–68; *see also* deduction
- Induction and Intuition in Scientific Thought*, 56
- informed consent *see* ethical issues

362 Index

- initiative, self-motivation and self-awareness
skills *see* employability skills
- insights, 56–7, 141–2, 341, 343, 347
- Internet, 97–102
directories, 97–8
gateways, 97, 98
portals, 98
search engines, 97
search parameters, 97, 99
- interviews, 167, 170–1, 193–7, 347
conducting them, 196–7
organisation, 195
types, 194–5
- introduction *see* dissertation
- introductions *see* chapters
- jargon *see* language
- job interviews, 356–7; *see also* employability skills
- journal *see* retrieval system
- journals, 92–3, 98, 100, 102
abstracts, 98
electronic, 93, 98
- Kuhn, T.S., 79, 311
- language, xi, 239, 269–85
abstractions, 273–5
begging the question, 279–82
clarity, 269–82
composition, 284–5
consistency, 283–5
division, 284–5
equivocation, 283–4
jargon, 270–3, 296, 302
loaded language, 277–9
- leadership skills *see* employability skills
- legal requirements *see* research
- levels, 47–51, 73
- librarians, 96–7
- libraries, 96–7, 104
- linear notes *see* note-taking
- literature review, 89–90, 102, 133, 214–220, 221, 290, 347–8
- literature searches, 89–94; *see also* exploratory reading
- loaded language *see* language
- logical indicators, 301, 330; *see also* transitions
- logical reasoning skills *see* employability skills
- matrixes *see* note-taking
- Medawar, Sir Peter, 56, 82, 121, 271
- metacognition, 233
- methodology, 22, 124–6, 127, 347, 350, 355;
see also research methods
- motivation, 4, 7, 18, 33–5, 90, 106, 130, 143
- necessary and sufficient conditions, 247–8
- Newton, Sir Isaac, 257, 309
- notebook *see* retrieval system
- note-taking, xi, xv, 155–63
flexibility, 155
linear notes, 54, 155–6, **157**
matrixes, 156, **160**, 171
mind/concept maps, 160, **162**
pattern notes, 54, 155, 160–3, **161**, 171
time lines, 156, **158–9**
- numerical reasoning skills *see* employability skills
- objectives, 123–6, 127, 167; *see also* aims
- objectivity, 258–60, 297
- observations, 171, 203–4
- obversion, 244–5
- ontological assumptions, 19–23, 40
- originality, 5–6, 141–7, 152–3
- passive voice *see* writing
- pattern notes *see* note-taking
- patterns *see* structures
- personal development plan, xv
- personal weekly timetable, **132**
- perspectives, 47–9, 73–4
- pie charts, 224–225
- plagiarism, 309–13
definition, 309–10
six-point code, **312**
- planning, xi, 209–29
chapters, xi, 291
dissertations, 127, 209–29
research, 119–28; *see also* chapters;
dissertation; topic sentences;
transitions; writing
- planning and organising skills *see*
employability skills
- population, 180–1, 222
- post hoc* fallacy *see* evidence
- practising your talk, 341, 348, 356
- preparing your poster, 349–57
colour, 353, 354
components, 350–1
design principles, 353–4
diagrams, 354
elevator pitch, 355, 356
fonts, 353
layout, 351–2
navigation, 352, 354

- presenting your poster, 354–6
- question and answer session, 356
- software, 352
- text boxes, 353
- what is a poster, 349–50; *see also*
 - handouts; hypothesis; methodology;
 - referencing; research question
- preparing your talk, 341–8
 - compiling your notes, 345–8
 - conclusion, 343–4, 346–7
 - delivery, 344
 - difference between readers and
 - listeners, 344
 - how to present it, 344–8
 - introduction, 343, 346
 - pace, 344
 - planning, 341–3
 - question and answer session, 347–8
 - quotations, 345
 - repetition, 344
 - sentences, 344, 345
 - slides, 344–5
 - what to include, 341–4; *see also* handouts;
 - literature review; methodology;
 - sources; stress
- presentations, xi, 341–57
 - oral, xi, 341–8
 - posters, xi, 349–57; *see also* practising your
 - talk; preparing your talk
- primary sources *see* sources
- problem-solving, 7, 78–86
- problem-solving and creative thinking skills
 - see* employability skills
- processing *see* ideas
- processing terms, 242–3
- project box *see* retrieval system
- project schedule *see* time management
- punctuation, 301
- qualifiers, 241–2; *see also* all/some
- qualitative research, xi, 104, 167–73, 176–9,
 - 193–206, 222–3; *see also* case
 - studies; focus groups; interviews;
 - observations
- quantitative research, xi, 104, 167–8,
 - 171–3, 178–9, 180–92, 222, 223–4;
 - see also* questionnaires; sampling;
 - tests
- questionnaires, 167, 183–92, 347
 - designing, 186–7
 - distribution, 185–6
 - processing results, 192, 205
 - types of question, 189–92
 - writing questions, 187–9
- reading, xi, 148–54
 - purposeful, 150–1
 - relevance, 150–1
 - reliability of sources, 149–50
 - scan, 150–1
 - skim, 51–2, 150–1
 - word-for-word, 150–1; *see also* exploratory
 - reading
- record sheets *see* retrieval system
- referencing, 228, 314–19, 350
 - footnote system, 315–17, 318–21
 - Harvard system, 317–19
- Relativity: The Special and General Theory*, 271
- reliability, 126, 127, 167, 175–6
- research, 70–1, 141, 167–206
 - activities, 17–22
 - empirical, 28, 30–1
 - interests, 33–9
 - legal requirements, 107, 108–9
 - preferences, 27–9
 - safety, 112, 114
 - significance, 126, 212
 - theoretical, 27–8, 30; *see also* ethical issues;
 - hypothesis; methodology; qualitative
 - research
 - quantitative research; research methods;
 - research question; triangulation
- research design *see* methodology
- research methods
 - comparative, 26–7
 - descriptive, 26
 - evaluative, 27
 - experimental, 24–5
 - historical, 26
 - naturalistic, 25
 - phenomenological, 25
 - practical, 25–6
- research proposal, 94, 102, 119–28, 289
- research question, 19–22, 54, 56, 58, 59,
 - 73–86, 89–91, 122–4, 136, 167,
 - 226–7, 350
- retrieval system, 141–7, 163
 - contact record, 146
 - index-card system, 102, 144–5
 - journal, xv, 143–4, 205, 290
 - notebook, xv, 142–3, 152, 205, 290
 - project box, 145
 - record sheets, 145
- revision, xi, 292, 325–337
 - content, 332–7
 - structure, 325–31
- Rodgers, John, 298
- Russell, Bertrand, 61, 271

364 Index

- sampling, 180–2
 cluster, 181–2
 matched, 181–2
 non-random, 181–2
 random, 181
 stratified, 181
- scan, 92
- searches *see* literature searches
- secondary sources *see* sources
- self-reflection *see* thinking
- sentences, 300–1, 332–3, 334
- simplicity *see* writing
- skim *see* reading
- Sokal, Alan, 150, 175, 274
- sources, xi, 174–206, 347
 primary, xi, 167, 172, 174–5, 177–8, 222
 secondary, xi, 167, 172, 174–9, 222
- special pleading *see* evidence
- statistics, 253–4
- stocktaking *see* time management
- stress, xi–xiv, 120–1, 341, 343, 344, 347
- structures, 53–86, 156, 171
 bottom-up strategy, 79–81
 the four strategies, 78–85
 top-down strategy, 84–5
 working with them, 56–7, 71–2, 58–86
- Studying for a Degree in the Humanities and Social Sciences*, 201
- style, 296–306; *see also* writing
- subheadings, 209–10, 220
- subjectivity, 258–60
- sub-questions, 51, 122–3, 124, 127, 129, 136, 139, 167, 216, 22
- supervisor, xi–xii, xv, 7, 9–14, 133–7, 168, 181, 183, 205, 223, 290, 314, 348
- support groups, 11
- suppressed premises, 239–40
- surface-level processors *see* ideas
- table of contents, 336
- tables, **226**
- tautologies, 279–82
- teamwork skills *see* employability skills
- The Art of Clear Thinking*, 45
- The Problem of Thor Bridge*, 81
- The Problems of Philosophy*, 271
- thesis, 122–3, 127, 139, 167
- The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, 79, 311
- thinking, xi, xiv–xv, 56, 62, 72–86, 142–4, 160–3, 215–6, 233–85, 357, 358
 and writing, 269–70, 332–4
 arguments, xi, 233–49
 as structure, 327–31
 components, 233–40
 connections, 241–9
 deductive, 235, 237–49
 genuine thinking, 3–4, 358
 inductive, 235, 236, 250–68
 memory, 53
 principle of induction, 236, 297
 relations between ideas, 53–60
 self-reflection, xv, 4, 233; *see also*
 affirming the consequent;
 concepts; conversion; creative
 thinking; critical thinking; denying
 the antecedent; distributing terms;
 evidence; language; metacognition;
 necessary and sufficient conditions;
 obversion; processing terms;
 suppressed premises; truth; validity
- timeline, xii–xii
- time lines *see* note-taking
- time management, xi–xii, 104–5, 127, 129–40
 deadlines, 130–3
 project schedule, 131–9, **134–5**
 stocktaking, 130, 133–6; *see also* personal
 weekly timetable; project schedule;
 weekly work schedule
- title, 91, 127, 335–6
- topic sentences, 330
- transitions, 293–4, **295**, 330, 346
- Trevelyan, G.M., 271
- triangulation, 169, 171, 192, 205
- trigger questions, 40–6
- Trilling, Lionel, 83
- truth, 235–6
- Twenty Questions, 45
- validity, 126, 127, 235–6
- value judgements, 258–60, 280
- values, 237–9, 280
- variables, 71, 104, 122–3, 127; *see also*
 indicators
- Venn diagrams, **243–5**
- vulnerable participants *see* ethical issues
- weekly work schedule, **138**
 what if questions, 56, 71, 75–86
- Whitehead, A.N., 305
- word count, 334–5
- words, 302–4, 333
- writing, xi, xiv–v, 211–12, 289–306
 active voice, 296, 298–9, 333–4, 344
 a form of thinking, xi, xiii–xv, 269–70, 358

- economy, xi, 305–6
- first person, 297–8, 344
- passive voice, 298–9
- simplicity, xi, 300–4
- talk in print, 292, 326
- write early, 137, 289–90
- write freely, 292
- writing lightly, 299, 326
- your own voice, 292, 296–9, 357; *see*
 - also chapters; clichés; dissertation;
 - language; planning; punctuation;
 - sentences; style; topic sentences; transitions;
 - words
- Writing to Learn*, 272
- Zinsser, William, 272